THE DEVIL, LUTHER AND THE QUEEN OF WHORES

A JESUCHRISTIAN ANALYSIS OF THE 39 ARTICLES OF THE ANGLICAN CHURCH



INTRODUCTION

I was led to the Anglican Creed by the Old Situation Room of High Treason on Catholicism that I was detecting here and there as I was reading the History of England. I had no idea of the existence of such a situation by the Catholic Englishmen lived ever since the Elizabethan Reformation. I was intrigued by the nature of that Anglican Creed which brought forward such an anticatholic situation, equal, in many ways, to the Imperial Decree of the Caesars on the Christians during the Age of the Persecutions. Finally, I made it and got myself together in order to reach the soul behind such a monstrosity. You will find this soul in its entire nature long before you reach the end of this book.

Hypocrisy is the soil in which these articles were born. But it will be stupid not to see the long struggle of the Britons for Empire. Ever since the Saxons invaded the Island and made it theirs, the continual interference of the Catholic Church in the soul of the Island was seen as an obstacle to reach that goal.

The first condition to build an empire is to possess the soul of a nation. One Institution as the Catholic Church, promoting spiritual fraternity among the Christian European nations could only be seen as a threat to the Imperial Design of the Masters of the Crown of England. From the days of Wickliffe the Church-Crown struggle had never ceased. The fall of the Bishops of Rome in the Borgian Age, with the consequent Continental Reformation, brought to the lords of the Island the chance they were looking so long ago for: "The Breaking up of the International bond by the Christian Spirit patronized". Therefore, the forever state of War between U.K. and Continental Europe, her financing the Religious Wars, her rising against the Right of Men by France defended at the price of her exhaustion, and so on and so forth.

Though today the English people is far away from these Articles; and even from the Faith of the Christian Man. The fact that the English Nation behaved like an antichristian people, in perpetual war with the European Continental Civilization, this is a reality strong enough to put their history to the test in front of the mirror of Truth.

I may be not the most polite guy on earth at the time to push somebody to look at himself. But this it really doesn't matter. The mirror reflects not the ugliness of the hand, but of the face.

This said, let's go.

CHAPTER ONE Of Faith in the Holy Trinity.

It is of common sense, a fact, that History is a Book.

Why?

for Historians to falsify reality and maintain the people under the yoke? It looks very much like that, indeed.

The reading of the historical background of the Christian churches lies not. After the harvest of good men by the Tyrant Henry the VIII perpetrated, , plus the new bloody harvest of good men by Edward ordered, the generation which signed these Articles under the Imperial Rule of the Whore Queen, the gang of divines who put their names under these Articles was entire composed of these two kind of personalities, abhorred by God the most: cowards and murderers.

This truth, heavy like the entire weight of the universe, we see it operating at full power in the first of the Articles of Religion by the Antichristian Queen of the Anglican Church sealed. We read:

There is but one living and true God, everlasting, without body, parts, or passions; of infinite power, wisdom, and goodness; the Maker and Preserver of all things, both visible and invisible.

And in the unity of this Godhead there be three Persons, of one substance, power, and eternity: the Father, the Son, and the Holy Ghost.

Can be more ignorance found? God has no "Passions", God has no "Body", God has no "Parts"!. As a matter of fact the conclusion to reach out of this Dogma cannot be other that God does not exist.

Let's deal with the obvious:

"God is the Head of Christ. Christ is the Head of the Church?" How so? Saint Paul says: "God is the Head of Christ; Christ is the Head of the Church". Even so, the Anglican Gang (or were "divines") wrote: God has no body! (The meaning of the Tudorian Antichrist was "God is a-No-Body", but the Crown Bride of Satan thought that even a coward when pushed to a certain limit can play the hero). God had no Body! Ergo, the Church is not the Body of Christ; God is not the Body of God. Hence, ladies and gentlemen: The head of the Church can be anybody; it really does not matter if the first head was a serial killer monster, and the successor a Whore Queen of the kind of the Great Russian Empress, Catherine the Bitch. Why not? A head need a body, a body need a head. Why should have the Church of England as head that Jew, what was his name, Christ? Who cares, the Church of England can only have a English man as head. Christ go home!

Curiously, Saint Paul and with Him the entire Court of the Apostles, say: "God is the Head of Christ; Christ is the Head of the Church". Ergo the Church is the Body of Christ & Christ the Body of God; hence the Vision of the Godhead. Meaning, the Apostles in perfect and eternal Unity, say "God has a Body".

Let's get the bull by the horns. God says "I have a Body, Christ is my Body; and Christ is the Head of My Church". Of course this takes for granted that the

Holy Spirit came down from Heaven and took as His Body the Church, and God retains the position of Head to that Body.

Were the Apostles drunk, is the big Question. WEREN'T THEY?

Possibly Saint Paul and Saint Peter were eating a baby child, as Romans said Christians used to, or they were celebrating one of those orgies of which the Divine Queen Whore of the English use to give to her worshippers so many. Am I wrong?

How so?

Let's speak for real.

When you behead the Body of God, the Church being the Body of Christ, and Christ being the Body of God, you become the Head of the Beheaded Body of God. Hey man, you are God.

Ergo, we understand the falling on their knees of the House of the Lords of England in the presence of their God, Henry the Eight.

Again:

The Church is the Body of Christ, Christ is the Head of the Church, God is the Head of Christ God is the Head of the Church.

Because the Head of the Church is God, when becoming the Head of the Church you take God's place: you are God, the God of the English Church.

This was the logic of the Antichrist Tyrant Henry the Eighth, the Defendant of the Faith.

Well, who did suspect, let's give them this, that after a while the Godhead of the Anglican World would be a Goddess.

The Devil really had a lot of fun giving to the English Church by head the Queen of the Whores.

Will I have to get back to the premises? Is still the Anglican Church a body of cowards without that what a Christian man has to have to stand his ground and shout, aloud and proud: My Head is God!?

But ... once beheaded, can a body survive?

I guess not. The operation of the Anglican Church renouncing to Christ as Head and taking the Tudor King as the Personification of God was, indeed, a high deed of genetic enginery: cut off the original head and replace it with another, quick, in seconds! a marvel, the wonder of the sixteenth century. What a reign of Terror! The English Talibans of that day massacring an entire population in the Name of the Holy Ghost!

The theological background which served as Hospital for that big operation of beheading a body and bringing it back from the grave with the head of a king, it was a manipulation of a serious fact.

"The Bishop of Rome never was, and never will be, the Head of the Body of Christ".

Logic denounces this statement as the greatest stupidity never spoken, written and published.

Of course, only the Germans, masters in defamation and world genocides, superheroes of the Propaganda, could put into the heads of the European Christian nations such a vision of the Pope as head of the Body of Christ.

Idiocy a common factor natural to the families of the Teuton Race, the imposition of this Doctrine of the Devil, while being Satan's goal to behead the Body of Christ; this Anglican Doctrine could not win the day but by a complete

massacre over their own people, with which bloody ink the pages of the Book of History is always written, unfortunately.

From sanctity to Devil brotherhood was a very narrow line, and neither Luther nor Henry the VIII did feel mercy for the common people when their pride came to the task. Remember the so-called the Wars of the Peasants. If you do not know that Luther called on to the destruction of that army of dogs, and that Henry the Eight proceeded according to the same call, my friend, you got to go back to school. You got to relearn to read. A man who does not read behind the lines is what I call a perfect idiot. I agree with whomever wants me to agree with that the holy mission of the historians is to wash the crimes of their masters, O Cambridge, live forever! Not long ago the New Russian Czar wrote a Decree rising to High Treason to rewrite the History of Russia. Why? What has Russia to hide?

The picture doesn't lie. And the conclusion of this re-reading of the Anglican Creed incarnated in these 39 Articles will be this: Cowards and murderers gathered together in London under the Antichristian Goddess to sign the Final Act of Divinization of the Tudor House. "God has no Body".

The Whore Queen meant "God is a-No-Body"? A question of semantic?

But the parallel text of the Apostles "on the Church and Christ, Christ and God: Body and Head", blows away from the Intelligence the acceptation of such an Article, and breaks in the deepness of the Text to find in it the Seed of the Devil.

And from here we pass to another level.

It is obvious that the Creature, the sons of God, cannot attain Immortality without their Creator. Immortality without God means nothing else but an extension of Mortality.

Creation is based on the Immortality of the Creatures. But this Immortality cannot reach Eternal Life without enjoying the Indestructibility of God. We have here two Natures. God's Natures: Immortality from Indestructibility, and Creation's Nature: Immortality from the Creator.

It was obvious to God, as it is obvious to Wisdom, that after having raised the Nature of Life to Immortality, Next Step: Indestructibility could not be attained by the Creator but by becoming the Head of the Creation. By this Unity the Nature of the Creator embraces the Nature of the Creation to raise Immortality "from" Creation to Immortality "from" God.

Conclusion, no creature can attain Eternal life but in God, this is to say: Creation and Creator becomes one reality, One Indivisible Fact, Head and Body.

The Victory of God over Death was finally reached. Under this Conclusion of Wisdom, God came down from Heaven to give Himself a Body, the Body of Christ, Christ the Body of God. Where does the essence of this Article stand now?

But as the final goal of this re-reading the Anglican Creed is to open the eyes and the ears of the English Nation to the fact of the High Treason against the Crown of Heaven by the Anglican Church signed under the pressure of the Tudorian Terror, let's advance towards the Land of Immortality, our Future Home.

Immortality alone is based on the mastering of the Laws of Matter, Space and Time. It was not long ago (taking Eternity as measure) that God mastered these laws, and pushed Life into the dimension of Immortality. However, Immortality means not Indestructibility, a factor which belongs, exclusively, to God's Nature.

As God's Vision of Creation implied Eternal Life in the Unity of Creator and Creation as Head and Body, God engendered a New Creature, Christ, born to enjoy God's Indestructibility. With this Work, Immortality reaches its climax, and the Victory of God over Death has been sealed.

Now again, "let's ask to the heirs of those who kneeled before the Queen of the Britons and worshipped as their Godhead a human creature: ladies and gentlemen "God has no Body?"

Do you mean that Creation can reach Indestructibility without its Creator?

Do you mean than because by Faith's Immortality you can defy God and reach Indestructibility without God as your Head?

Do you mean that the Indestructibility of the Church of England depends not on God but on your Antichrist Queen?

I will say it again:

God is the Head of Christ.

Christ is the Head of the Church.

God is the Head of the Church.

Ergo, the Body of God is the Church.

Now, still do you sign the Article of the Antichrist Queen : "God has no Body"?

One more time:

The Church is the Body of Christ.

God is the Head of Christ.

Ergo: God is the Head of the Church.

So, ladies and gentlemen: Wasn't Elizabeth acting under the Command of Satan when taking away from the Body of the English Church her Divine Head, and rising herself as Head of the Body of Christ, which is the Church? Did she or did she not declare herself "God"?

However, God being the Head of the Church, just as the Apostles say, when giving yourselves a human as head, whether a tyrant, a whore or a queer it really does not matter - the properties of the beast is a fact beyond the idiocy of the sealers-; while doing so: is it the Church of England committed to God, or to the Devil?

It is more than obvious that from the standing point of view of the Devil's War on Christ that the policy of the Death's Prince was to attack and destroy the heart of the Catholic Church. In order to push the criticizers of the behaviour of those days' bishops of Rome: the Devil had to push the Popes away from the Covenant that Christ signed with His Blood between God and Man. This I say it not bringing condemnation on the Anglican Church, but in the name of the justification of such a Sin against the Lord by the fathers of the Anglican Church committed, equal, on all extremes, to the Sin of Adam and Eve, and accordingly in need of coming to Repentance and Forgiveness, and back into the Body of Christ, the Catholic Church.

Following Death's Policy, the Prince of Hell had to kindle the Greed of the Roman-Latin Race, under which vices the Servant became the Lord of the House in the name of the Invisibility of his Lord. Blinded by the infinite ambition that the Italians had inherited from their imperial ancestors, those who could not see the Lord how could see the Devil?

The Structure of the Devil's Plot against Christ's Body was of a very simple nature. Once those Romans, worshippers of empire and gold, had sequestered the Church, the hate caused by the infinite cupidity of the Italian Houses would cause a politico-religious earthquake of tremendous proportions, under which waves the soundness of the mind would be lost, and in the name of the Roman Church's corruption the Church founded by God Himself would be put in the hands of the Kings and Queens of that wicked Teuton race, good for nothing but to pillaging, massacring and world wars.

One wicked race, those Teuton Tribes, versus that Italian Families who dared to sequester the Catholic Church and put on Her account the crimes of the Roman Cardinals.

But beyond this, that the Bishop of Rome never proclaimed Himself the Head of the Body of Christ but the head of that corrupted body of Italian Cardinals, this is a fact not to forget. Policy and Religion hand by hand, the fact was smashed beneath the boots of the Germans.

Will we give up Democracy because a generation of wicked politicians?

Why then the nations gave up on the Catholic Church because the corruption of the Italian Cardinals?

Obliged to sanctity, our Intelligence got to keep in mind that he who wants to go to hell, hey man, the doors of hell are wide open, let him go! The Question is, who wants to go to Hell once known the whole thing?

To conclude with this first paragraph we, in the Unity with the Saint Spirit, say this:

"God has a Body, and this Body is the Three of the churches, whose Trunk is the Catholic Church".

And I conclude this first paragraph saying that the Creator is the Head of the Creation, thus when saying that "God has no Body" you say that you are not the Creation of God. Who's then your Creator?

And to sum up this in a physical structure: the Kingdom of God, we say:

The King God, Jesus Christ, is the Head of the Church, through whom Faith He show us the Way to Eternal Life: "Indestructibility our Home".

This settled, let's pass to the second statement: "God has no Passion"

2 "God has no Passion"

How? Christ did not die? The Evangelists invented the "Passion" of Christ? Or the real meaning of the statement was, and is, that Christ and God are not One Reality?

If there was Passion of Christ, there was Passion of God, isn't, fellows?

Then, when you deny "Passions" in God, do you deny the Unity of Christ and God?

Am I right or wrong?

After all you are the "divines", and me just another common with an uncommon head.

Did she Head of the Anglican Church because she was Queen, or she became heads of the Anglican Church because she was a Goddess?

Of course, I know, those "divines" weren't speaking of the Passion of Christ. They were "divines", and had Saint Tomas in the head. Which lead us to say: O man, with Theology we have come to deal?

Thanks God I am not a theologian. I will cut short the deal.

Creation is not a Passion?

Are we or aren't we created to the Image of our Creator?

Are t fellows our no *appassionato* creators, women and men who live and die for "passion"?

"Creation is not a Passion".

This is what those divine fellows meant?

I don't think they really meant that; I think they knew what they meant neither.

Their Tyrant Queen put her Creed on the table; they knew that their head was hanging on the wall, if they wanted to live they should better sign that Contract with the Devil; their Reward was the Empire.

They weren't superheroes, I understand; not everybody had the soul of a More, a true man, a real English man, when there were English in Paradise Island, in the days of old, before the Teuton Race came and burnt the Anglo Stock to the bones. Ashes to ashes, come on, sing the song.

"Creation is not a Passion", they said, do they still confess it?

Hello, some hundred years after, let's ask it again: "Is it Creation a Passion?"

Of course, I know, to deny this fact, "Creation is Passion", you have to deny the existence of the Universe as a Creation of God. Creation does not exist, ergo, God has no Passion. What a wit! One progresses going ahead, others walking backwards.

Being Creation a Passion, as many geniuses around the world can tell, I ask: Is it there any Creation higher than the Creation of the Universe? What would the Anglican Church call the Creation of the Universe? killing time crosswords?

Let's walk a little bit further: God has "no Passion" for Justice?

Why then He bothered Himself with the murder of Adam?

After all God has the Power of raising the dead.

God has "No passion" for Justice?

If Passion for Justice He has not why then His swearing on His Name and Head that Adam's Murderer would pay in Hell his deed?

God has "no Passion" for Justice!?

God has "no Passion" for Creation!?

According to this, Christ was the biggest fool ever born on Earth.

According to this Article God is an idol of letters sitting on a throne of words, watching the river flow, and ... we love you yeah yeah yeah ... While you don't fucking move.

Made to His image, Passion is, according to this Article, a seed of the Devil. And all of us who are attacked by the seed of the Devil, Passion for Justice, for Art, for Books, for Music, Painting, Architecture, Science, we all, Women and Men, we are all children of the Devil.

Obladi oblada, God Save the Queen!

God has no parts".

Here we come, the supreme statement ever: "God has no parts".

Yeah bro, "God has no parts".

I don't know in the English Language, but in the Spanish Language when we say that of someone: that he has no parts; we mean that "he has not bollocks"

Well, made to the Image of God, those who signed this third paragraph knew what they were talking about; they had "no parts"!

Even so they were divines!

How God could has no "parts"?

I mean, what on earth were they talking about?

OK, we understand, the axe was swinging from the ceiling, their necks were a thing rope, one single word offending their Goddess and, bye bye, it's an English pie.

Who wants to live forever?

Don't have to, but at least some years, right? Anything else?

O yeah, on your knees, kiss my ass!

"God has no parts".

God has no eyes?

If God has no parts, no eyes, no ears, no mouth, who said 'Let there be the Light', and so on?

He breathed on Man, and Man was made. But if he had "no parts" who breathed on Man this Desire for Eternal Life?

"God has no parts". God has no Body, God has no Head.

Ergo: IF

Christ is not the head of the Church, And the Church is not the Body of Christ: Christ is not the Body of God, And God is not the head of Christ

Bravo for the Antichrist.

After all everybody got a body, why the Antichrist could not have a body of his own?

From the moment that God became the head of Christ, and Christ the head of the Church, God has parts. This talking in a the holy way.

On the other, that God has no bollocks, "no parts", well, the signers of this Article were talking about themselves, weren't they?

4

The Devil is the Devil because he plays the Snake so well! To hide his Doctrine behind the Gospel, he said: "And in the unity of this Godhead there be three Persons, of one substance, power, and eternity: the Father, the Son, and the Holy Ghost". This is to say absolutely nothing. Or it was the Devil's mask behind which to hide its Doctrine on the Negation of Christ as Head of the Church.

This way of sounding so perfectly orthodox hid from the eyes of the Britons the real face of the Reformation began by the First of the Antichrist of the House of the Tudors.

And 5

The defence of the Anglican divines along the centuries can be centred in the author of the next lines. As we read on we see, first: He will never touch the Question of God as the Head of Christ, and the Church as the Body of Christ. Not at all. The author wastes all of his time trying to demonstrate that the Bible alone is enough to be the basis of the Faith.

Trying harder as men do when they want to blow off the truth, the sealer arrives to the point to deny the entire New Testament when asserting that in the

Old Testament the Doctrine of the Trinity is contained in full, and the existence of the Uncreated Son of God already given.

But we know that the enmity to death from the Jews to Jesus was based in the ignorance of the Existence of this Uncreated Son, on whom no news is given in the Old Testament. When Jesus came the Jews were pushed into the blue. The New Testament filled this gap and shows us the existence of the Uncreated Son of God not as a theory or one certain hypothesis to be considered, but as a Fact, a living reality.

Again, the New Testament does not speak of the Holy Spirit as the Catholic Trinity does.

The Church, hence, was the suite, in flesh and bones, not in letter, of God's Revelations in Time, to be continued not through thoughts but through Living men.

The Mystery of the Trinity enunciated in this Article cannot be proved on the Bible alone because the Bible and the Church are one reality, and God continued to reveal Himself to Mankind through His Church.

The Trinity is the revelation of God to Mankind through the Catholic Church, directly; a Revelation, indeed, which without the Bible could not have been done. Thence, when the Christians Churches rejected and rejects the Catholic Church, the Bishop of Rome the Chief of the Universal College of Bishops, they reject the Creation of God, a sin so terrible that not even the Uncreated Son, after coming from the death, dared to deny, insomuch as Peter had denied Him three times.

However, those Reformers loved to call "divines" themselves because they had raised Theology to the point of converting the water into wine, water being the truth and wine the lie.

The Bible is the work of God; He gave His Book to the Wife of His Son, the Catholic Church as Property; and with it a the necessary basis for the understanding of the Divinity of the Holy Spirit and the Unity of the Father and the Son in this Spirit, in which is realized the Union of Creation and Creator in one Eternal and Indestructible Reality, God the Head, Christ His body, and in Christ the entire Kingdom of God abides

Trying to understand without the Church the Mystery to the Church revealed by the Saint Spirit and from Her given to the Christian World, the authors of the 39 articles fell straight in the heresiarchism of the first days when they say: "Therefore we must conclude, that Christ is Jehovah".

Divine as they were, free from error because Divines, they came to proclaim that God while rejecting the Wife of His Son, He gave Him as new Wife: a Oueen of Whores.

To conclude this first chapter, the authors of these 39 Articles being unable to understand the Unity referred in the Mystery, which is called Dogma because its complexity, and believing himself superior to the fathers of the Church, they concluded that Christ is Jehovah, this is to say, that "The Son is the Father".

Amen.

CHAPTER TWO Of the Word or Son of God which was made very Man.

We have seen in the Article One how the "Anglican divines" were, aren't still? masters in hiding the point; but forced to be original they had to empty the Dogma of His Historical and Personal Value, degrading the Intellectual Greatness of the Fathers of the Church down to a mere extractive capacity.

Failing to explain how was it that the Jews could not made this extraction, they avoid the question coming out of their Article: "God has no Body, God has no Passion, God has no Parts", by closing the road to a future talk with the Catholic Church, raising Death Penalty Sentence upon any English daring to take the mask off from the face of their divines.

However, the Kingdom of the Alfreds and the Williamses and the Richards lived a Reign of Terror from Henry to Elizabeth equal to the Reign of Terror which the Jews suffered under the Hasmonean and the Two Herods. When the Lord came around all the good men were in Heaven, and all the cowards and murderers had invaded the entire structure of the national power. This was the historical background which made possible the publishing of these articles. Unworthy men, who escaped the Reign of Terror by kneeling before the Tyrant and his House, and here is good to remember the saying of the good men, "he who does not know how to die, he deserves not to live", those kind of men were the men who signed these Articles, men who had not Religion in their head, but Politics. And as such they were unworthy of the Spirit of the one on whom they said:

The Son, which is the Word of the Father, begotten from everlasting of the Father, the very and eternal God, and of one substance with the Father, took man's nature in the womb of the blessed Virgin, of her substance: so that two whole and perfect natures, that is to say, the Godhead and Manhood, were joined together in one Person, never to be divided, whereof is one Christ, very God and very man; who truly suffered, was crucified, dead, and buried, to reconcile His Father to us, and to be a sacrifice, not only for original guilt, but also all actual sins of men.

Most probably the citizens of the Fortunate Islands had never ever heard this childish statement. Most probably the Anglican Divines had this Revelation suddenly, out of the blue and into the green, so to speak. And the English common, in their misery, poverty and ignorance, after having being massacred by Henry, by Mary, by Edward, and then by Elizabeth, was left no choice but to kneel or to perish.

This statement was never heard in the lands of South Europe. Nop! This statement was revealed to the Teuton Race in the Fifteenth Century, and the Teuton Race had it bought from Christ and God, with their blood.

Now, where were the English and the Germans, and the Danes, and the Swedes and the Norwegians, while the Italians the French and the Spanish were feeding the lands with their blood, to give to Europe a Future and to the World a Civilization?

And yet it is of the conspicuous nature of the Politician Man to rob to the worker his salary and take from his immediate predecessors the glory due to

their hard labours. There was very little Religion in the Reformation and a lot of Politics.

It is from the very Ancient History known that the kings and queens of the world reached their climax by their acclamation as gods. The intimate connection between this constant deification of the queens and kings of the ancient gave birth to a mythology in which gods and men were so intermixed as to, as time passed by, not to know who was who. In the case of the Anglican Kings and Queens this retrogression to that very Far Past of the Mad Caesars was propitiated by the "divine reformers".

There was a huge property to be divided between the English aristocracies. There was a huge property to be divided between the German Princes. Politics, no Religion, was the root of the Reformation. And this is shown in the very first paragraph of this Second Article:

The Son, which is the Word of the Father, begotten from everlasting of the Father, the very and eternal God, and of one substance with the Father, took man's nature in the womb of the blessed Virgin, of her substance...

I mean, where is the fuss? What is the news?

They take the Dogma of the Catholic Church to raise Death Penalty Sentence against the Catholics?

By this Declaration the Anglican is as Catholic Man. Thence, why he does not call on his own head the death for High Treason against the English Crown?

But being the King of England, or the Queen, the head of the Church, it is given from this reading that the entire Anglican Church claimed herself High Treason Penalty against the Crown of Heaven.

If the Anglican Church wasn't, then was the Crown committing High Treason against the Crown of Heaven.

The Son was and is the King, the Universal King, Only King of the Creation of His Father.

By this Article the Church of England recognizes the Divine Nature of the Son but Deny to the Father the Power to give the Crown of the English to the Son.

This was, as a matter of fact, the Nature of the Rebellion of the Devil and the Fallen Angels. They rose against the Law by which all the Crowns of the Universe had to be put at the Feet of the Throne of the Son.

Even so, admitting Ignorance in the English Reformation, Ignorance clearly seen in the Coronation of Charlemagne, Ignorance by which it is confirmed the conclusion written in the First Chapter, this is to say, that the Bible, the Scriptures alone, cannot save the man, but without the Bible cannot be saved neither, the Catholic Church and the Bible One Single Reality made Flesh. Admitting the Ignorance of the Christian World as a reality established on Facts, the Division of the Churches one Fact among the many, even so the statement of this Second Article shows no religious spirit, but political spirit.

Of course, we cannot blame religious men for being cowards before a sentence of Death written on them by the political body, the Queen at the top, all of them with their hands full of blood. Those good old days of the Free Robbery of the Property of the Catholic Church executed in the days of Henry were not far back. Wolves had attacked the shepherds and divided the flock among them.

Now, what? Sign or die, gentlemen!

1.-The Son which is the Word of the Father...

What is this? You don't have to be a "divine Anglican" to see the Devil behind this line. Man, you got to be a perfect idiot. The Son is the Word of the Father"! What else? The Son is not His Father's Child, Love, and Life?

The Son is the Word of the Father!

I mean, we have here, once disentangled from its Historical and Religious Context, a line which makes no sense at all. "The Son is the word of the Father". Wow man, you got to be a genius to see a meaning in this sentence, or being exposed to a death sentence if you dare to say a "word".

Every time God utters a word

What happen with God, He has no Mouth of His own, or He sees us all unworthy of speaking to us?

But boy, are we not sons of God? What more a natural thing that a father speaking to his sons?

According to this Second Declaration of the "Anglican divines" God does not speak to his sons "face to face". Unfortunately we have in the Scriptures many declarations saying the contrary, God speaks to face to face.

Because God does so, speak face to face to His sons, and even to His Friends, God commits High Treason against the Crown of England?

What will it be, people of the United Kingdom: The Crown of Heaven or the Crown of Earth?

However, taking this Declaration from the Catholic Church Doctrine, we understand that the Son was the God who said "Let there be Light", and so forth, and according to this Revelation, Saint John said: "The Word became Flesh".

This Article mould into a political situation, detached from Religion, and only religious in the way the Pagan Religions served the Ancient States, this Article is a fraud.

Incapable to Understand the Dogma of the Catholic Church, and forced to abandon the Way of Christ, "Church and State have Jesus Christ as Divine Head", the Anglican divines copied a Catholic Declaration to cover up the Fact: and the Fact is that they were condemning the Catholic Church because a bunch of bad Christians, they were condemning God for having chosen Peter to be the Chief of the Body of the Bishops.

The Chief, never the Head!

The Head of the Bishops is Christ Jesus.

2.-... begotten from everlasting of the Father ...

Here we go again. *Begotten*? But we thought that the Reformation was made to correct the error of the Catholic Church.

I am begotten, you are begotten, he is begotten, we are begotten.

Begotten is the action by which the "son of God" is engendered in us.

Not to call "uncreated" to the Son was weakness in the Catholic Church. An error against which Saint Athanasius fought to the death but that in the name of the unity of the Empire wasn't introduced in the Nicene Creed.

The raging context between Arians and Catholics was threatening the Unity of the Empire. Constantine was a political man. "Begotten", "uncreated", what's the difference? The Empire will not fall in a civil war because a semantic dispute between religious fellows. This was the position of Constantine. Because "begotten" implied a material substratum the Arians were happy with this particle. And Saint Athanasius got angry. The particle missed in the Catholic Creed was and is "UNCREATED": "Engendered from the UNCREATED Nature

of the Father". Because this missing particle Athanasius went through exile after exile.

However the Nicene Creed had the seal of the Emperor, and the Athanasian Creed passed to the realm of the Theology. However when the Catholic Church says "begotten" she means "uncreated"; when the Arians said "begotten" they meant "created".

Now then, what they mean the "Anglican divines" to be the Son : "Begotten" as the Arians, or "Uncreated" as the Catholics?

It is more than evident, to me and to anybody who love Intelligence over all, that if the Reformers came about to correct the errors of the Catholics their first deed should have been to denounce the political aspect of the Nicene Creed and oblige the Catholic Church to change the Statement and write "uncreated" when is read "begotten".

Someone may think that the English means "Uncreated" when they read "Begotten". But this is false. In the Dictionary of the English "Beget" means "create, bear". And this is precisely the Creed of the Arians: "The son was begotten, not uncreated".

This difference marked the origin of the Controversy and the following Massacres, due to the natural enmity between Christ and the Devil. It was this enmity which was retaken by this Article; by calling the Son "begotten" the Political Body of the Kingdom pushed the English Nation to the side of the Arians, this is to say, to the side of the Devil.

Again, we must repeat it, the Sword was kissing the necks of the Anglican divines and not to sign this Article meant High Treason against the Crown. Hence they adopted the semantic position of Constantine. Today the religious body of the English nation has to open the mouth and say where they stand.

3.- the very and eternal God, and of one substance with the Father...
This is another joke. God can be created? How could the Son be begotten and at the same time of one substance with the Father?

If God is the very and eternal God, meaning that Eternity and Infinity had known no Other God, on which subject the very and eternal God, speaks clearly in the Scripture, how can be God, who is Uncreated, create a God of one substance with the Father?

This is just another proof that the Anglican Religion was not founded on Freedom but on Terror. The Conclusion of the Antichristian conjugation of these both statement, "begotten" and "of the same substance of the Father", is so evident a resurrection of the Arianism, that only by the Force coming from a tyrannical Political Body, whose Reign of Terror had filled one entire century, could be accepted as Christian. The sole idea of criticizing, exposed to High Treason against the Crown, blinded the Reason of the religious Body of the Kingdom and subjected the English common to a Church composed of Cowards who hid their hearts and souls after the mask of being the Anglican Church nothing else but the Reformed Catholic Church.

4.- ... took man's nature in the womb of the blessed Virgin, of her substance...

Really? So, God was made to the image of man, after all!

Because God made Man to His Image, would it not be better to say that Man, finally, took the Nature of God?

Man was created to be a son of God.

Man fell and became worse than a beast.

How could the Son take man's nature?

Was not the Reformation made to correct the errors of the Catholic Church?

Man took God's Nature! But God said, talking of His Messiah: "God with us".

Do I have to say what kind of nonsense will be this "God with us" if God was referring to Himself?

"God with us" to raise Man, finally, to the Image of God.

Even so, "To the Image of God", not "to the Nature of God".

God did not take man's nature, but became Man to raise the nature of Man to the Nature of God.

This is the Divine Doctrine of that Saint Spirit who came down from Heaven to Earth and filled the hearts and the souls of the Apostles, Founders of the Catholic Church.

This we know for sure, that the Apostles, in the Unity of the Son and the Father, were the Founders of the Catholic Mother Church, in which the Roman Church, as national church, introduced the errors of the Italian wacky intellect. Who were the Founders of the Anglican Church: A Tyrant, a monster, Henry the Eight; a Whore, Elizabeth the First.

This we know, that the Catholic Founders did not call on Terror to build the Catholic Church

This we know, Terror was the Way of the Anglican Church.

Let's keep on walking.

5.-: so that two whole and perfect natures, that is to say, the Godhead and Manhood, were joined together in one Person...

What were they talking about?

The Father, the Godhead, became man?

Just a line before they said that the Son became man.

We know, because the Catholic Church's Saint Spirit taught us so, that the Father is the Godhead, the Father is the Head of Christ, and the Son, the head of the Church.

"The Godhead and Man were joined in one Person?"

The Father, then, was the One who came down from Heaven and into the womb of the Virgin?

The Father and the Man became One Person?

This is what they mean to say?

Or this Article was written to proclaim the Divinization of the head of the Church of England?

But this is the antagonist position of the Roman Church. The Italian Intellect worked out the Mystery of the Incarnation this way:

Christ is God, Christ is the Head of the Church, We are the Church, We are God.

The Devil used this Roman way of thinking to cause Europe to vomit such Logic.

The English extended and appropriated for themselves the Roman Logic, this way:

The Head of the Church is God:

The King is the Head of the Church:

the King (Queen) is God.

Anybody raising any objection against this Logic committed High Treason against the God-Queen of the English.

We see then that this Article falls in one error after the other.

The Godhead and Manhood, implying that the Godhead became man, as the immediate paragraph instated, is false.

The Son and Man became One Person, Christ, and it is in this Christ Jesus where we find our Godhead.

Not in vain God put at the feet of His Son the entire Creation, as is written in the Psalms.

I understand, the Pride of the Teuton Race cannot accept but a relation direct and straight with God Himself, an intermediary Being such a big offense to a Superior Race! Consequently the Teuton Race had to destroy the Church in order to open a straight line of contact with the Godhead.

However, as Baptism cannot be imparted but by the Catholic Church and Baptism is the Door to Heaven, the rejection of the Catholic Church means the rejection of the Creation of God, a Creation not even by the Son rejected after His Resurrections, though great cause He had to do so given the behaviour of Peter during His Passion.

My advice?

Run for your soul, find a Catholic Priest, and ask him to baptize you there and then, immediately, without more preambles. Children need catechising, indeed, grown men and women know what they ask and why.

6.- never to be divided, whereof is one Christ, very God and very man...

Again and again we detect the null presence of a religious mind behind this article.

"Very man"?

In which way? The way of the men or the way of God's Man?

For all we know Man was created to reach the Image of God.

A joke from these English divines "Never to be divided"! And what were they doing? Uniting the Christian Nations? Or did they mean that when God said "Let's make Man to our Image" He meant to make to His Image one and only one man: The English? that because His failure to raise man to His Image He sent His own Son to proof Himself right, this is to say: that He can make a creature to His Image?

If so, we understand, God made it, tricky though. Because:

And 7.- ...who truly suffered, was crucified, dead, and buried, to reconcile His Father to us, and to be a sacrifice, not only for original guilt, but also all actual sins of men.

In other words, in Martin Luther's words: "Sin, sin, sin, till the sin fill your heart and your soul, your pockets and your mouth; sin, sin, sin, the more the better, because the blood of Christ cleanse even the rape of the Mother of God".

The Devil could not be more proud of himself after having written this last piece of Article: *but also all actual sins of men*. Thus, once the Baptism cleans our sins, we can keep on playing the game of sin, and the Blood of Christ will clean every sin we will engage in all along through our lives.

Once reconciled with the Father, the Law of Christ becomes dead letter? and the Freedom of the sons of God is related to the Love of the Father won for us by the Son and no "sin sin sin" can break the bond that Christ made between us and the Godhead with His blood.

Indeed, no crime however big broke never ever the bond between the Godhead of the Anglican Church and its body. As their God their Image?

But the more funny part is this last paragraph is "to reconcile His Father to us". I mean, God had a lot to fear from us. As a matter of fact, because "God has no Parts" and He was shaking from fear of men His Son came to calm Him down and prove Him that "we" are not so bad.

The Devil had so much fun. Not only the Teuton Race rejected the Creation of God, the Catholic Church, because the denials of the Italian Roman Church, they did more, they laughed in the face of the Godhead, "Come on, She is just a bitch, who needs a wife, the Lord needs no wife, don't be so touchy, why you want a Woman when you can have so many? And if you, O God, really wants to give a Wife to the Lord, take this, a real Whore, the Queen of the English. Hey man"

Again, boy, run for your soul, grasp a catholic priest by the arm, "Baptize me, father, now, here". Just say it: "I believe in the Uncreated Son and the Uncreated Father". And welcome to the Church, welcome to Paradise.

And here it goes the reinvention of themselves constantly made by the "anglican divines" in order to hide the real substratum which served as matter for the conception of a Church created not by God but by men.

As the Anglican Church grew and her priests have to reconcile their first creed with the level of knowledge on the Christian Mystery that the Continental Churches enjoyed ever since the Apostles, they re-adapted the meaning of the articles, to end up defaming the Catholic Church with her Negation of the Incarnation. Can you believe it? The author says that the Catholics deny the Incantation when adoring the Heart of Jesus, because adoring the Heart is worshipping a human thing, and as worship is due to God, then, ergo, ad *vomitam vomitandis*, the Catholics, the Founders and Inventors of the Dogma of the Incarnation: They, we deny the Incarnation.

English, run for your soul, you were deceived by the Devil.

CHAPTER THREE Of the going down of Christ into Hell

From the preceding two pages we can arrange the material in some specific packs. On one hand we have the need of the "Anglican divines" to disconnect the Bible from the Catholic Church, on the other hand we see the struggle of the representative authors, chosen as prototypes of the historico-theological position adopted by the Anglican Church on the Doctrine of Christ, in order to alienate this Doctrine from the victory of the Catholic Church over the constant and continuous waves of heresiarchs by Death brought to the battlefield. Once they were ready to make their insanity the common faith of the sheep, without which, the foot citizen, there can be no treasure to live upon, no flock no milk; not happy yet with smashing the laws of the scientific History of Christianity, the Anglican divines calls to their rescue the Father of all Lie, the Devil, when they copy from the hand of a fanatic the last paragraph of the second chapter, saying:

"The existing Roman Church attacks [the doctrine of the Incarnation] indirectly, by the worship which it renders to the sacred heart of Jesus. In truth, worship is due only to the divine person of Jesus Christ; the human nature in Him shares in it only because of its hypostatical union with the divine nature. It is not permissible to offer worship to the human nature of Jesus Christ, in itself and separately considered, much less to a single organ of His body. The Roman Church excuses this worship by saying that it has relation to the person of Jesus Christ. But the greater part of its writers at this day teaches, authoritatively, that the heart of Jesus is adorable by itself."

I mean, the level of idiocy that the Anglican divines gave to the common English man couldn't be measured, so high was it. According to this paragraph the English common was not even a human with a brain of his own; they could throw anything in their ears, and, following the behaviour of the Court of the Antichrist King, they would fall on their knees, instantly!

Is it a wonder to see the same nation that brought to the world a man like Newton bringing to the world a common without intelligence? Not at all!

Or was it Freedom they were lacking?

The stupidity in the structure of the paragraph from which, apparently, is seen the Catholic Church, the Founder of the Dogma, denying her own invention, required "parts" to follow the argument. But of course, once it was said that "God has no Passion", Passion being the Nature of Love, it is understandable that to Adore God as Love, which means because His Heart, be a thing horrible to the ears of the Devil.

Yet, the stupidity is not from the author of this paragraph, it is adjudicated to the common English man, counting on which stupidity their "divines" allow themselves to speak like true donkeys.

We can't say that their divines, when counting on the national stupidity, were right or wrong. From the Reign of Terror by the House of Tudors inaugurated and by their successors defended to the Death, we must say that this Terror was the Power the Anglican divines were counting on to smash the laughter.

The intellect of the English Man is of the most conspicuous kind, as Newton's, Darwin's, and so on and so forth, are there to show. Yet we have to agree in one feature very particular. This, when it came to Theology the English was a born-idiot. We have just to meet Isaac Newton in his fancies on religious history to discover this fact.

This Achilles heel is quite common. The Spaniards are a mess when they come to Economy.

The Germans, when they come to Power.

No one is perfect! But to make this imperfection the perfect excuse to be a donkey, a divine donkey though, says volumes about the nature of a nation's stupidity.

On the other hand it has been shown along these twenty centuries that there is no Intelligence as the Spanish's when they come to Theology. From Hosius to the *Filoque* the Christian Theology on the Trinity, which is the skeleton of the Christian Thought, shows that as much as every nation has an Achilles heel every nation has a very special gift too.

Who will say that the Germans are not the best when comes the time to organize things? The problem with the Germans is that their gift they always apply it to Absolute Power, hence the catastrophe.

The English Intellect is a wonder when it comes to Science, but that same intellect directed toward Religion makes of them a mess. Could be a man in his mind when saying that to adore the Heart of God is Idolatry?

This said, let's pass to the Third Article

As Christ died for us, and was buried; also it is to be believed that He went down into Hell.

The spirit of intelligence implies discernment beyond a beforehand prejudiced position, on any subject. As much as to reject "the Lie" the spirit of intelligence has to defend "the Truth".

Let's begin from the beginning.

Who saw Jesus going down to Hell?

He went alone, right?

This kind of statement, the Son of God descended into Hell, cannot be told without exposing oneself to laughter. What proof had the Catholic Church on Jesus going down to Hell?

It was in the power of the Keys of the Kingdom of heavens to raise to divinity the nonsense thoughts of the Apostles?

Why we care if the Son of God went down to Hell or simply slept a couple of nights before waking up and ascend to Heaven?

Why the Apostles had to place this Article in their Creed?

What was the meaning?

Faith is the door to Heaven, not to Hell. And anyway, they did not follow Jesus to the grave, not in those nights at least. What they knew? They were shaking, hiding away from the authorities, pissing on their loins, so to speak, while Jesus was cold dead. Who told them that Jesus went down to Hell? And why He had to go down there anyway?

To cut short, the answer belongs to that Saint Spirit who came down on the Apostles, and fill their hearts with Wisdom. They had seen, they had heard the Son of God, but they didn't know where He came from, what was He doing in Earth, why God chose His Own and Only Uncreated Son to rise Human Nature to God's Nature. What they knew?

They knew nothing. They were Jews following the Messiah, the King of Israel around whose Throne they would sit as His Ministers.

While He was with them, no one knew where Jesus was going, or why God chose His Son to be His Christ.

Suddenly He was gone. The Show was over. They were in the blue. No clue on what was next. There was nothing else to live for. Except to give it a try to His Promise: "The Saint Spirit will come upon you and He will tell you everything". Ergo, Revelation had to keep running. God has never been the object of a Science, and never will be.

God cannot be known by Man. Man receives the Knowledge of God from God. Theology, as Science, is the sword of the Devil. Theology as the treasure of the Knowledge of God from God's Revelation, is Salvation. Then?

The Answer to the Apostles' questions came down from Heaven. And with it the Doctrine of the Descent of the Son of God to Hell.

This doctrine was not an invention of the Christians; it was the Doctrine of the Apostles themselves. They taught the Christians of their generation to believe in the going down to Hell of the Son of God.

This told, one question comes straight to the intelligence: WHY had the Son of God go down to Hell?

Well, we will call Saint Paul to lend us a hand. He says that "God sent His Son to Earth to attain Perfection". We understand, no matter your national church, than this Perfection can't be understood in point of Nature. This Perfection was referred to Knowledge.

God decreed the expulsion of His rebellious sons from His Creation. God's Creation comprehends everything, the Land of the stars, the Land of the galaxies. Where, then, is God sending those sons who rejected His Fatherhood and declared themselves eternal enemies of His Kingdom?

We ask ourselves this question because the last Judgement implies two directions, one door goes to Heaven, one other door leads to Hell.

Did not the Son of God ask Himself: "What my Father has in Mind? Where is that Hell?"

We have seen in the *Divine History* how the discovery of the Science of Good and Evil shocked the Son. The Father knew the existence of the Tree of War from Uncreated Ages. He thought those Ages were gone forever and there was no need to introduce His Son into the Science of Good and Evil. Death came around and God was forced to do so. It was a shock for the Son to find out that the sons of God were fighting each other and had, actually, turn Paradise into a Hell. Still so, God kept faithful to Himself and decide to keep His Son away from the Science of God and Evil. Accordingly He decreed the Law by which "War" subjects the transgressors to Total Destruction. The enormity of this Law led the Son to find in His Father the Knowledge of the Tree of the Science of Good and Evil. The knowledge, but not the Experience.

By the rising of the Law to the Nature of God, God built a wall between Knowledge and Experience. Do know the deadly nature of it, but do not touch it.

We know what came to happen. Some among the sons of God thought nonsense that paternal care and engaged themselves in forcing the Son to have a shot of it. Man was used as a weapon, a declaration of war on God's Law.

God got mad. He swore that that was the drop that fills the cup. The enemies of His Law were enemies of His Paradise, their place was Hell.

The Son of God came to ratify the Sentence of God. Still, where is Hell?

From the *Introduction to the Creation of the Universe according Genesis* we know that the Creation is breaking through its own frontiers at the speed of

light; and on the other side of the Walls of Creation, where the transformation of the cosmic energy into matter is produced, there is an Abyss upon whose surface Darkness abides.

From the *Memoirs of God* we know that God Himself reduced to dead matter the Old Cosmos. From the Walls of Creation to the infinite horizons the Land of Galaxies is expanding, to the speed of Light, like a roaring living ocean. But from this Frontiers in expansion to that infinite horizons the space is surfaced by dead matter. In a word, the Abyss is a graveyard.

This told, where is Hell?

This the Son did not know when He came down to Earth.

And there was another thing that the Son of God had not seen face to face: DEATH.

DEATH was, and is, the real enemy of God's Creation. The Devil was just a pawn in the war board where GOD and DEATH fight for the Final Transfiguration of the Uncreation into Creation. This War was begun long time ago, deep in the Uncreation Ages, the day God had a dream: IMMORTALITY FOR ALL.

In the beginning God thought DEATH as the result of the configuration of the laws of matter-space-time. He struggled to master those laws till He could remove that configuration and bring forth a new one, one produced to engender Immortality. Later on He found that Immortality requires Indestructibility, and God jumped upon a new stage.

He made it too. He managed to shine His Nature on His Creation and give birth to a world born to never die.

It was not until He came to the Creation of Man that God saw DEATH face to face. As Life is an Uncreated Force, so is DEATH. The Battle for Mankind became the Final Battle for the Creation. God had to separate Life and Death, once and forever.

This was God's Battle. Creation is the Meaning of His Existence. What more natural that His Son came to see with His own eyes the existence of Death as an Uncreated Force whose existence had to be alienated from the Creation?

The Questions in the Son's Mind, what and where is Hell, found the answer right after He said: "In your Hand I commit my spirit".

Taking the question to ourselves, the descending of the Son to Hell, this is to say, the right knowledge of Hell's nature, which implies experience, was decided by God in order to produce in the Universal Judge a perfect Knowledge of the Sentence He had to utter.

That the Son, Jesus, knew by knowledge what the Hell was, is right. But God wanted His Son to know it by seeing DEATH face to face, in order to move His Heart to our side and have mercy on Mankind, a World thrown from paradise to hell because the malignant ambition of those sons of God of whom Satan became the head, and together: the Devil.

This is the Perfection Saint Paul was speaking, and was the Doctrine of the Apostles, a Doctrine not born in the brain of men whomsoever, but which came down from Heaven as Tongues of Fire to fill the hearts of the Apostles, the Builders of the Catholic Church.

Of course, when the Apostles were called to Heaven their Doctrines became Dogma and the Knowledge was sealed in The New Testament, for the Descendant of Christ to be inherited, read and proclaimed to the churches.

HELL being a place beyond Eternity and Infinity, it is obvious that the Son of God went Down to HELL, that place beyond Eternity and Infinity where, after the Final Judgement, those who were once sons of God are doomed to be

thrown, for never to come back. The Son had the Vision of Hell, the Experience from Knowledge, while watching DEATH face to face. This Vision was absolutely essential for the Perfection of His Rising to the Throne of the Universal Judge.

It seems obvious than after the Apostles were gone the Christians began to fear Hell. However, the Final Judgement is still to happen; ergo, the Devil is out there, and the souls of men are sleeping till the day of the Calling.

CHAPTER FOUR Of the Resurrection of Christ.

CHRIST did truly rise again from death, and took again His body, with flesh, bones, and all things appertaining to the perfection of man's nature, wherewith He ascended into Heaven, and there sitteth, until He return to judge all men at the last day.

"Am gonna go to hell, yeah, but see me walking, O Lord!", these were the words of Satan the day God signed His Sentence against one who once was "a son of God".

We understand from the Revelation in the Book of Job that the Fall of Adam was the last episode of a Fratricide History already going on between the sons of God long before Mankind came about. Because those sons of God have discovered the Tree of Death and some had found pleasure in its fruit: WAR; God, considering that the fighting between His sons had to be stopped, then and there, raised the Law by which any other future fight means Transgression of His Law on Peace, and Transgressors are exposed to Total Destruction.

God thought that with this Law on Peace, His sons would refrain themselves and stop their fighting.

But for no purpose. Satan, the head of the Beast, the Malignant, the Old Snake, was a son of God. And so was Adam. Until then the sons of God had fought between them as gods, War the big game. No blood had never rain on Heaven. The murder of the younger among the sons of God by an older generation of sons of god, not of this world, this Fratricide made God mad.

Of course God had and has the Power to bring out of the grave His creatures. This is not the question. The Question is that the murder of a son of God by another son of God was a declaration of War on the Soul of Peace. Despising the Law of God they had murdered a brother in his early days, what could they do next? They could devoured one by one all his brothers!

Beyond this thought the fact was that Adam was a son of God, killed by another of God's son on whom He had already before set His eyes with rage; to stop him going this further He had raised the Law on Peace: Death on War!

To raise Adam from death, forgiving him his transgression, this meant that God's Word can be swept away by the will of a creature. The Creator of the Land of the Galaxies put on His knees before one of His creatures. Absolute madness!

This "absolute madness" was the spark that opened God's eyes to the fact of Death as uncreated force, and not merely as the result of the laws of matterenergy, space-time.

But to land on the scenario before the Fall let's consider the Thought of God, as Creator and as Father.

After the Wars of Heaven it was a question of considering the foundations of the Creation. On one hand there was hatred, an infinite hatred, from God to War. The sole idea of His Creation falling in the abyss of War made God, as the Creator, mad.

On the other hand the forgiveness He had rained upon His sons, Satan the leader of the War Party, was making of the Creation a soil rich for the cultivation of the Tree of War. Pushed to the extreme, the conflict between God's Hatred of War and His Love for the creation, as Father, would push God to the point of rising against His own creation, to blow it off from the face of the Universe and begin another History.

As Father He doesn't want this. He loves His sons, He wants to be with them forever, but He had to find a way to stop this Hatred being poured into His Heart. Or else he would raise against His own sons.

God's Love for His Creation is related to the quality of the response taken; and if Hatred for His Law on Civilization is the response, the result can't be but a Cosmic Apocalypse.

He does not want this, nor as Creator nor as Father. But, in the days of Adam, God had to let alone His sons to consider by themselves the danger they were calling upon their heads. They were playing with fire.

God is Freedom. Creation is an Act of Freedom. To live by the Law or to die fighting the Law of the God-King, Jesus Christ, it is a personal decision.

A Civilization founded on Terror towards the Ruler it's not a Civilization, it's a hell. God brought Immortality to the Universe not to make of the Universe a playground for Death and War, but for Freedom and Intelligence. Love to the Law is the guarantee of Indestructibility. Love to Peace is the Door to Eternity.

God, indeed, had brought the Law forth. Death on the Transgressor, total destruction on the head of the Murderer of his brother. But if you want to have a response coming from Freedom and Wisdom, you got to go, let the one on whose head is written the Law to sit and make his mind. The door to Peace opens the road to Omniscience. The Door to War opens the road to Self-Total Destruction.

God gives Free Will, the greatest of the jewels the Creation can have. But God cannot change the nature of things. If a man wants to kill because he loves the sensation of power he gets from the killing, God cannot change this nature. He who loves War, knowing the Law against it, that individual cannot be brought back to his senses. Of course God can reduce that person to a state of robot thing. But then the Creation of Life to God's Image fails.

Once the Creation of Life was and is based on God's Image, meaning Free Will, the sons of God had to be left alone to make their minds, whether giving up to the Tree of the Science of Good and Evil, meaning the outlawry of War from the Universe, or rising against Peace, from Free Will assuming the consequences.

Love cannot be imposed by the Force of the Law, neither Faith by the Terror to Power. The Love for Peace and Civilization, the Love for Truth and Justice, the Love for Wisdom and Life, it is a response of the Free Will. And so it is the love for War and for a Global System based on privileges and the superiority of the ruling politic bodies; to love corruption and perversion as the foundation of that Global System, based on the Industry of War as engines of progress and the pillars of World Power, this is a decision to be strictly made by the individuals.

God, then, had to go.

The Scenario of the Eden Garden was set up on the basis of the Response of the sons of God to the Law on Peace and War given, a response to be born from Free Will. After all God was not going to give up Creation of Life at His Image. But if His sons declared themselves against His Creation, God had to do something.

As a Father, God's Hope was that the fear to the Law, conquered by the love for infinite freedom, would finally extinguish in His sons the passion for that big game, WAR.

WAR was the Door leading to Hell. The Door leading to Heaven was God's Omniscient Nature as the Road to Progress. No Limits. No Obstacle high or deep or wide enough to stop the Intelligence of God's Creation; for the Love of Peace and Justice and Life the reward was infinite intelligence and eternal growth in the Omniscience of the Universal Creator.

Creation is not a question or right and wrong. Creation is determined but by the Law of Perfection! Nothing is done until Perfect Knowledge of the subject is attained. God does not play dice. Neither you build the greatest of the bridges without counting on a perfect knowledge of every single detail to be put in motion.

As Creator, God cannot be all the time watching His back. "What will be my sons doing?". Creation means Absolute Concentration and into the sea of the action.

As Father God could not admit the killing of his sons by one of their brothers.

Let's say it again. God had the power, as we have seen in Christ, with the eyes of the Saint Spirit given to us, of calling Adam back to life. Taking as a game, such as Satan and his partners in the killing of Adam wanted God to take their action, the restoration of the Peace on Earth wasn't a big deal for a True, Living God. What was God's problem after all? Just a game, you know? The big game of war. It is just natural that in war some fall and some get hurt. So what? Then comes God, the Father, of infinite love, and heal all the bodies and souls. "Children, children! Be good!"

O come on! Where is the big deal!

Instead of getting angry, a very comprehensible thing, any father should, God got mad, and mad to death. WHY? Heal them, call them all, rise the dead from the grave. Restore peace. We were just playing!

The Choice was made! Freedom without the Power to make War is not freedom. Peace as a Universal Law based on Justice for All and Truth for Everybody, is insane. Better living in a Hell governed by the Law of War than living in a Paradise governed by Peace and Justice where Freedom for War is banished.

Adam had to die! Mankind had to be destroyed!

So, watch out, guys, because the Devil is around, free and crazy for a Nuclear Third World War! The Atomic Race, origin of the Climatic Change, is struggling to be opened and extended worldwide counting on the passion for Power of the Nations coming out from the Third World.

CHAPTER FIVE **Of the Holy Ghost**.

God had not only to perfect His Uncreated Son. His sons, from different creations, had to be perfected also. God saw Death face to face and shut in Himself until the day of the son of Man. Then He opened the eyes of His Uncreated son to the fact of Death as an uncreated force. Being by His Divine Nature Indestructible as His Father this took as occasion the Cross.

I said before that the going of Christ to Hell is a question beyond our competence. From an intellectual point of view that question required an answer from Father to Son. How the Father did proceed to attack this matter belong to the dimension of the Incarnation. It is not of my concern how God does turn water into wine.

Only a fool ask for a level to move the universe with, only a fool is stupid enough to declare that if he had been there, when God created the Universe, he could had given Him some advice about it.

The frontier of the Intelligence of the Creature is the Omniscience of God Himself, but this road is limited on both sides by Nature. The sole idea of comprehending the Nature of God is foolish.

We can't break into God's Mind but through God's Creation. This fact not taken as platform of motion the adventure fails and ruin is the answer.

Ergo, how the Father showed to the Son the nature of Hell is not of our concern, we concern with the Fact of the Incarnation, not with the How God made possible the coming into Flesh of His Uncreated Son.

This said, this Fact accomplished, God had to proceed with His House. Theory has failed. It was the hour of Experience, learning the hard way. To show Death as an uncreated force to the sons of God, all of them create, creatures of clay as we are, sons of God by adoption, God had to open the eyes to the fact of Death as the Force behind the enemies of the Holy Spirit.

Yes, Mankind was made the background of the Scenario where the sons of God, not of this Earth, had to see with their own eyes: DEATH.

To record this Fact, in order that we could open the Book and read I, we see in the first place, (Book of Revelations), God throwing the Devil out of Heaven and down to the Earth. It was the time for the Duel to death between the son of Man, son of Eve, and the Old Snake, Satan, the leader of the rebellion of "the gods" against the Law of the Holy Spirit. Because the time had come the Devil began the searching for the Son of Man, the Avenger of the Blood of his father Adam, and the Christ Messiah under whose flag of Salvation the Nations would be won to the Kingdom of God. We know what happened. Satan thought that by killing Jesus he would made fun of God. He killed Him. Immediately God ordered the imprisonment of the Killer, a time to last One Thousand Years.

This done, the Birth of Christianity, the War of Israel and the Empire upon the Catholic Church, Eastern and Western, called the entire attention of those sons of God who God sent to hunt the Beast.

It was a mystery to all why the Father and the Son had led Christ to the Cross. And this mystery came wider and wider as the imprisonment of the Devil, origin of the War on Christ of the Jews, not only did not cool down but became higher and higher. What was going on?

From the very beginning, right after the Fall, the sons of God had related

the falling of men and their keeping on falling lower and lower to the actions of that faction of the House of God devoted to put down the Law of the Holy Spirit. Men were not bad, men were ignorants. They did not know that the gods they worshipped were the gods that had sworn to destroy mankind. As a matter of fact the destruction of the human race was the door to salvation of Satan and his allies. The destruction of Adam's killer related to the birth of a son of the dead man, what if there were not mankind at all to bring forth anymore children? God had to put down His decree and forget about the Blood of his human child, probably. The more happy Satan was as his victory seemed to him at hand given the way God had had to turn His back on men. Playing with the ignorance of men the Rebels led the nations to blow away from Nature the Laws of Creation, and giving themselves to the realm of a law heinous to God in extreme, Satan kindled the fire by whose flames the word was destroyed by a Flood.

This flooding victory of the enemies of the Holy Spirit caused a tremendous disturbance in the soul of the sons of God who felt the tragedy of Mankind as their own.

So and so, Noah came around, and Abraham too. The Sentence against the Rebel was alive. God hadn't given up His Law after all. You know? a War is not won in a single battle. Sometimes does happen, but to this you got to destroy your enemy to the last man. In this case, destruction of the enemy was impossible. God cannot be destroyed. He could sit easy and calm waiting for the time to counter attack. And so we see Him in the Book of Job. In the hope of obliging God to cause a Second Flood, or something alike, Satan kept making a mess of Mankind.

God sent Moses and David, and the Prophets, and Daniel too. All that time the wars of men were related to Satan and his allies. If they were only alone probably men would change their ways.

The Hour of the Legendary Duel came about. Christ, the son of Man, and Satan, a son of God, were face to face. We don't need to mind again and again about the results. The Empire died and the King of Kings resuscitated as Universal King. The Word of God had journeyed through four millennia, through hell and death to conquer the enemy of the Holy Spirit's Law. As a result, God ordered the imprisonment of the Devil, head and body, and with it He called the attention of His House to what was going on in Earth.

What was happening here?

It was the son of God common thought that if men were left alone men would come to the Kingdom by themselves. Where was the Devil now? Did they or didn't they imprison the Devil? Why then men kept running in the same direction?

The Jews, pushed by Satan's force, were led to the edge of the Abyss, and gave death to the Christ they were fighting for so long. But now the Devil was nowhere to be found on Earth. What was the nature of the force that was it pushing the Jews to their doom? And where came from those monsters coming out of the shores? They could not say now that Satan and his generation were the Cause of the wars of men. Which was that Cause?

The way God has to show facts to His creatures is not the way of men. After having shown you the Need God had to open the eyes of His sons to the existence of Death as an uncreated force, and to insist on the fact of that this Need was for the Future of the Creation as much important as it was the Need of the Death of Christ for the Salvation of Mankind, I better stop here. I will get back to this subject somewhere along the line. By now let's put our eyes on the next Article of the Anglican Creed:

THE Holy Ghost, proceeding from the Father and the Son, is of one substance, majesty, and glory with the Father and the Son very and eternal God.

From which reading we come back again to the same conclusion: The Anglican Creed is a fake. The Reformation was supposed to be a departure from the Catholic Primitive Church. But here we have the Creed of the Medieval Roman Church. I mean, according to this Article, where is the difference between the Roman Creed and the Anglican Creed? "The father is God, the Son is God, the Holy Spirit is God". People called a Reformation what was nothing else but an Expropriation? If by this Article the Anglican faithful is a Roman Christian, why then rise High Treason against the conversion of the Anglican to the Romish Church? As a matter of fact, the Anglican, from this Article, is more popish than the pope.

Let's remember, by the way, that with this Law of High Treason against the Conversion to Catholicism the Anglican Religion equaled the Law of the most Fascist of the Islam Nations, Saudi Arabia, where the conversion from Islam to Christianity is rewarded with death penalty. This high treason law of the Anglican Church is the daily bread of the Taliban Terrorist Organization. Why the Talibans are considered a Terrorist Organization for doing exactly what the Anglican Religion commanded during the past three centuries, it is a riddle. No wonder, neither, in seeing the Anglican Empire boasting on being the right successor to the Roman Empire. Both persecuted the Church using the same law, High Treason, and paying the Conversion to Catholicism with death penalty.

Here let's point out that before the Reformation there was a first division of the churches, called the Schism of the East, and that before this schism and during the days of the Roman Empire's Persecution, there existed no other but the Catholic Church, the so called Orthodox Church, as distinguished from the Western Roman Church, coming into being long after the days of Constantine.

To top the pie let's say that this Article is not only popish to the bones, but bigot to the soul.

The Spanish Church, by the English recognized the cradle of the higher bigotry ever found, was the master mind in whom fires this Article was conceived. Probably the common English guy lives in the blue and beyond what his master tells him to think, believe and feel, the poor fellow can't even dismiss himself from being what he is, a puppet in the hands of his masters. High treason pending on every neck daring to put on question the nature of the National Religion it is a very convincing argument, I guess. The fact is the fact, the Spanish bigots where the guys who took out of the magician hat this cup of cherry wine. You don't have to remember, but if you do, remember the trouble this Article gave to both West and East in the days of old good Charlemagne.

Let me remind you. In those days the Iconoclast Emperors of the East Roman Empire were massacring, for the luxury of the feeling, the heirs of the most famous idols makers of the world, the Greeks. Islam was knocking on the door while the stupidity of the common Byzantine was reaching the clouds. The Byzantine was waking the flat line between Idolatry and true Adoration of the living God. Many left the religion byzantine and went to join the religion Islamic because that stupidity was bending to hell's side. To cut short the *iconomania* the Isaurian emperors took the stand and began to massacre good and bad,

equality being his flag.

A storm as such could not but reach the Roman Church, and the pros and cons were for very long, until finally Charlemagne played the Solomon of his days and gave an end to the question, at least to be observed in the West.

Around those days the Spanish Church added fuel to the burn bringing on stage the Coming of the Holy Spirit from the Father and the Son. The memoirs of the struggle are written. To break into the grave of a dead subject is insane. My point is, and I connect it to the Article on the table, that the same ignorance that was observed in the Byzantine Church it is the one observed in this Article. None of them knew or had a clue about who or what the Saint Spirit was or is.

The Anglican Church simply take the Creed of the Roman Church, add to it the tail by the Spanish Bigotry added to it, without rejecting it or accept it, and declare herself beyond good and evil. Twelve centuries blown off the History of Christianity without even give it a second thought. And how would they dare to do so? The axe was swinging across the hall and kissing the neck of "the divines".

Yes, ladies and gentlemen, the Father is God, the Son is God, the Holy Spirit is God. Wow, guys, what a Reformation! What a step farther! And all this without need of proving to be right to the common; after all the Roman Church had already implanted in the minds of the English Nation this Article.

Conclusion: All the Anglicans have been under High Treason against the Crown. They were Catholics, but not Romans, and yet, the Article is pure Romish. Why then the Crown did not let the axe loose?

Anyway, what means all this?

We observe how clever was the Anglican Reformer at the time to disentangle himself from any further thinking. The Divine Creed from the Saint Spirit to the Churches read: "Three different Persons and One God".

But to return to the Anglican Bigotry, in which the Anglican came to surpass the famous Spanish bigotry, we read "proceeding from the Father and the Son". It was this "proceeding" the cause of the discussion between the Churches. The West Roman had never thought about it. The Spanish Church made a point and was accepted. The Byzantine understood that with this "proceeding" they were lowered down a lot; from being in direct line with God Himself, suddenly they came to know that the guy on the other side of the line was the Son. What a humiliation, Lord! They would accept the Call but from God the Father alone.

The destruction of the Byzantine Empire was signed right there. And Why? Because the Spanish bigot came saying that being the Son the Head of Christ and the Church His Body, the Saint Spirit proceeds from the Son. Eternal Truth which in no way alienated the truth of the Father being the Head of the Son, and accordingly the Holy Spirit proceed from the Son and the Father, as very well says this Article.

Why then the accusation of bigotry from the English Church on the Spanish Church? The Roman Church, always very much entangled in Politics, tried hard as she could to stop this Spanish Article to be put on the table. The bigotry of the Spanish won the day, and the Roman Church accepted it as a matter of fact. As I said above, the Spanish theological Mind won the day from Hosius to this Article, which the English made his in all its extension.

However, the English rejected the Roman Part, Three Persons, and accepted the Spanish Part, "the Holy Spirit proceeds from the Father and the Son", this way avoiding entering into discussion upon the Nature of this Unity of the Three Persons in One God.

The unconscious played a tricky deal in doing so. Who is that Holy Spirit "proceeding from the Father and the Son, of one substance, majesty, and glory with the Father and the Son very and eternal God"?

The Universal Christian Creed coming from Heaven was precisely understood by the Fathers; by it the Church, the Body of Christ became Divine, ergo Indestructible and the Door to God.

We know the Name of the Father, Yahweh, and the Name of the Son, Jesus, and the Name of the Holy Spirit, Christ. We know also that Jesus is the Christ. We know that the Church is His Body. And we know, also, that the Head of Christ is God. Thence, if we separate from the Body of Christ, we separate ourselves from the Holy Spirit, this is to say, we have a Religion, but not the Religion of Christ.

God is in Christ, Christ is in the Church; the Church is His Body, the Body of Christ, and the Head of Christ is God, ergo, one more time, the rejection of the Orthodox Byzantine of the "proceeding" broke the contract between the Lord and the servants, and the rejection of the identification of the Person of Jesus as Christ, the case of the Anglican Religion, broke the Contract between the servants and the Lord.

You can't reject the Body without rejecting the Head. And accordingly you have to give to your church a head. And if in this sincerity you are not a hypocrite of the kind of the Byzantine, you have declare yourself out of the Church of God, which is Christ, the Head of the Catholic Church. This Article of the recognition of God but not of the Persons in God is High Treason against the Crown of the Son of God, the turning of Religion into Politics, and the common in a mass of slaves.

Al those who are under this Article need to receive Catholic Baptism. They rejected the Son as the head of the English Church, but the body receives the spirit from his head, and once they gave themselves to the Crown of England as its body, they became the body of the English crown. Baptism is necessary.

CHAPTER VI Of the Sufficiency of the Holy Scriptures for Salvation.

HOLY Scripture contains all things necessary to salvation: so that whatsoever is not read therein, nor may be proved thereby, is not to be required of any man, that it should be believed as an article of the Faith, or be thought requisite necessary to salvation.

One of the particularities of those peculiar guys calling themselves divines is the hundred ways they have invented to make us sleep when they begin to speak about the most important things for an intelligent human being: Faith, Salvation, Scripture, Paradise, Freedom, Justice. O well, about these two lasts they never say a word. With a tyrant as head of the source of their religious thoughts we are the fools in thinking that they would do so. Reformation was the greatest brain washing Operation never had occurred in our Civilization since the days of the Arians. Everybody is sending the divines to cook articles to hell. Because them, we have to fight back Death and send the Devil to Hell, once and for all.

Let's, then get out of our minds and begin from the beginning.

The Day that Mankind was created the Type of Civilization God had in Mind was the Relation between God and Men that we saw between Christ and the Church. Departing from this Fact the Apostles said that Christ was the Prototype of Adam. They showed us by what was visible, because it was Present, that was invisible, because it was Past. We can understand this from the very fact of God calling the entire family of the Nations: "Let's make Man to our Image", a son of God, a Kingdom, with a King, head of the Political Body of the Nations, related to God by his Adoption.

This way of seeing Mankind as an Individual, Universal Race, a tree of life, the tree of the nations, this way became a source of trouble when the direction of the History of the World was left in the hands of a son of God, head of his own kingdom, not of this Earth, who had no other intention that to cause the destruction of the human race. The Jews fell in the trap and began the story of a couple as the beginning of the human nations. Even to this day, when that idea is clearly considered madness, the Israeli orthodox party keep the smoke on the water; a smoke that the Christian inherited as part of the legacy of the Apostles being Jews by blood. The same ignorance that led the Jews to that mad port led the Christians too, with the difference that in the Christian Civilization the seed of changes was on the run.

The Restoration of Christ was, indeed, the coming of all the Nations to this Individual, Universal Being, the MAN, according the conception of Mankind by God, an infinite number of people behaving like a single person. This had to be made possible by the unity of every single individual in the same Spirit of Intelligence.

One and only same Spirit being the source of Freedom, Justice and Truth, understanding by this Truth: Knowledge, Science, and Wisdom, Mankind reaches the Image of Man in God, this way the individual and the universal abiding in the same Common Home-Soul, from this Soul every man and woman moves in a particular direction, inside the Common Universal Civilization.

Ever since the Devil came around the division among men was projected

upon the nations, and the schizoid civilization which sprang from it has been leading Mankind from war to war, the expectation of that Race not of this world being the construction on Earth of a scenario for a Nuclear War.

How could the Bible alone be the Road to Salvation?

Why then did God give a Bride to Christ, and why upon the Rock of the Faith of Saint Peter to begin the Edification of a New Temple?

Was the Bible written when the Firsts Generation of Christians offered their lives to God in the Name of the Salvation of the Tree of the Nations?

Where was the Canon then?

When did the Romans and the Spanish, and the French and the Greeks before the Byzantines, ever read the Bible?

Was it from the "letter" of from the "Spirit" that they received the Grace and the Grace?

They knew only one thing and this was that "Jesus Christ is the Son of the Living God". By the Power of God, not by the Power of the letter, did Christ won a World to God.

The Bible alone can save? Who then saved those First Generations by God taken to the Throne of Christ, to reign His Kingdom for the eternities to come? Without the Catholic Church England came to God?

If the Bible alone, without the Catholic Church, the Salvation is done, why God wasted His time building such a colossal structure, prototype of absolute power for all who search absolute power?

When the Soviets had to build for themselves a structure born to last forever, was it not the Roman Church the model they chose for it? Lenin became God and Stalin his prophet, and the Party the soviet church. Men built by themselves, in the midst of the roar of the barbarians ocean, such a Structure, even by those omnipotent soviets incapable of imitating?

Did the Bible sail that barbarian ocean without the Mother Church? The mission of the Church by God given as Wife to His Son was to reach the shores of England, divorce from the King of Heaven and prostitute Herself with the house of a tyrant?

If the Scriptures are the only boat a soul need to reach the shores of Paradise, we have to agree with ourselves that the Bible, being not known by the First Generations of Spanish, French, Italian and Greeks martyrs, the Boat that they took was not the work of God, but .. the Devil's?

What say you?

Of course, the Bible came about when the Salvation was not given any more through the Power of God in the Apostles, according to the Type of Christ Jesus. The Gospel was written in the hearts, in the blood, and was passed on from the Apostles to the Catholic Church, from whose mouth those who reached the Throne of Christ heard the Word of God. There was no Bible to save. The Church was the Door to Heaven. We can live without Bible while we have the Church; and we can live by the Bible if there were no priest. But if every priest makes his own Scriptures: the Bible, from a road to salvation, becomes a road to damnation. This is evident from the Division of the Churches, by which all are commit to Destruction by their transgression of the Law of Unity by God decreed: "A House divided in itself will not subsist".

Now, which is the House of God in Earth? Is it not the Tree of the churches? And the Trunk of this Tree of Life, which Fruit is the Faith, is not the Mother Catholic Church? Then? Your mother gave you the life and once you made it through, you sent your mother to Hell?

Well, very very English we can't call this attitude, can we?

But this is what, if taken as a Religious Movement, the Anglican Reformation was. From its Political dimension we got to get back as far as to the days of Abraham, when the son of the king of Ur proclaimed himself the head of the religion, and head of the government, ergo, God, to find the model of the Anglican reformation. Abraham was about to rise in Rebellion, the Cromwell of the moment, when God told him to lay down the weapon. Unfortunately this was not the reaction of the aristocracy of the days of Henry the Eight, all on his knees. Very faithful to God, indeed, God said "you will kneel before God"?

But beyond this, leaving to the Son of God the Final Judgment on the dead, it is clear that the behavior of the Anglican Reformation was the one of the son who receives life and ends making of his life the door to death for his mother.

The more so when the Scripture is a Book, and as such, while the author is not there to watch upon the fidelity to the text, anybody can make a copy, change whatever he likes, cut off some chapters, and interpret the meaning of the letter according to anybody's intention. To avoid this and to preserve His Book as the Original, received by the Apostles from their Hebrew Fathers, God gave Birth to the Church, whose History is a continuous struggle against the army of dealers of souls who century after century tried to remove from the Book of God what they thought wasn't in accordance with their conceptions of the Divinity and Religion. During fifteenth centuries the Catholic Church, presided by the Roman Bishops, though when talking about the Borgias, we can say on them the Word of their Lord: "DO what they say, but Do not what they do"; during fifteenth centuries the Catholic Mother Church had been fighting back Death and Devil, and because a Borgia Thing suddenly God decide to destroy His Own Creation, and pass the Glory of His Creature to Queens and Kings whose relation with Christ was to try harder as they could to cheat Him with His Wife and make of the Wife of the Lord their Home Royal Prostitute?

But let it say again: Everybody holds the nature of his head. A serial Killer, a mass-butcher of the poor, a thief of the things divine and sacred, a multiple assassin, Henry the Eight being the head of the Anglican Church, how could his body be saint, holy and sacred?

Even Cromwell, the leader of the generation upon whom the light of this truth shone its rays, was a monster, a blood drinker, the Irish Nation the witness against him. What else could you expect other from a church who sent his mother to hell?

However, let's talk about the amputation of the Book of God by the most faithful Martin Luther.

We know this: When God gave an end to His Book, he wrote a Warning, advising no man to add or take a single line from it. (Open Revelation, go to the last page and you read

- "Look, I am coming soon! My reward is with me, and I will give to each person according to what they have done. I am the Alpha and the Omega, the First and the Last, the Beginning and the End.
- "Blessed are those who wash their robes, that they may have the right to the tree of life and may go through the gates into the city. Outside are the dogs, those who practice magic arts, the sexually immoral, the murderers, the idolaters and everyone who loves and practices falsehood.
- "I, Jesus, have sent my angel to give you this testimony for the churches. I am the Root and the Offspring of David, and the bright Morning Star."

The Spirit and the bride say, "Come!" And let the one who hears say, "Come!" Let the one who is thirsty come; and let the one who wishes take the free gift of the water of life.

I warn everyone who hears the words of the prophecy of this scroll: If anyone adds anything to them, God will add to that person the plagues described in this scroll. And if anyone takes words away from this scroll of prophecy, God will take away from that person any share in the tree of life and in the Holy City, which are described in this scroll.

He who testifies to these things says, "Yes, I am coming soon." Amen. Come, Lord Jesus. The grace of the Lord Jesus be with God's people. Amen.

Here, in this American English text, we see the manipulation of the churches born from the Reformation. The Original Text says "BOOK"; already messing with the "BOOK", they had to change the Word of God in order that their flocks could not see the evil they were doing. But not because the world of Adam forgot the Decree of God upon them did God restrain Himself, neither because the Roman Empire dressed itself with the Holiness of the Orthodox Church did God abolish His Decree on the Roman Empire.

The Political Anglican Church, being more clever than the German Protestant Anti-revolutionary Church, or Lutheran, to maintain the English on his knees under their King and in open rebellion against the King of Heaven, the Universal Head of the Three of the churches, breaking with the first inspiration, ran rapidly to say:

"THIS is the first Article of the Church which can be called controversial. In some respects, it might have seemed natural to have put it as the first Article; as in the Helvetic Confession the first Article *is De Scriptura Sancta, vero Dei Verbo*. But our reformers wisely put forth, in the beginning of their confession of faith, those doctrines on which the Church universal for fifteen centuries had agreed, and which are the foundations of the Christian faith. Accordingly the first five Articles treat of the Trinity, the Incarnation, the Redemption of the world, the Sanctification of Christians, and the Judgment of all men. Unity on these points was of old times considered to constitute Catholic Christianity; and by declaring her orthodoxy on these Catholic doctrines, the Church of England, in the very front of her confessions, declares herself orthodox and Catholic".

This is to say: Our Catholic Mother Church was saint, but we send Her to Hell, and we take, by the Power of the King of England, the Ring of the Bearer of the Book of God by God given to Saint Peter and his successors as head of the Bishops. And God save the Queen!

However, this Article, "the Bible alone saves", was the essence of the Lutheran Creed. Why then not to follow the example of Luther and cut off from the Book of God those Chapters which did not make his delight?

Let's center our intellect in the exorcism practice by the Lutherans on the Maccabean Books. The basis for this telling to God, as Author, to go to hell, was based in ... in what?

How can we understand the killing of Christ by the Jews and their posterior massacres of the Christians, if we don't read the Maccabees?

If you don't read the Maccabees the conclusion comes straight, the Jews got to be burn. Not because Jesus said to them "You are children of the Devil" the Germans had the blessing of God to burn them all. Jesus said that in response to the accusation of adultery on His mother. They put Him on fire. When they asked Him "Who's your father", they were calling His Mother a

whore, and Christ was a Bastard. He got mad and said what He said because they messed with the most sacred people in this world for Him. He was not wrong, but the Jews weren't less children of the Devil than we all were before became Christians.

Now, how could the Germans ever understood "why" the Jews dared to touch the Son of God, His Divinity shown to them day after day, if they had not the Maccabean Books to follow the History of the Jews from Daniel and Ester to the days of Herod?

The Lobotomy on the History of the Jews blessed by the Lutheran Bible was the pan where the sons of Luther fried the six million Jews.

Take a look at this. How can a hero be turned into the most immoral coward?

We have a generation of wonderful warriors, led by the Hammer of God. Of course, we are not idiots, we know that no war is won without the loss of many good men. The harvest had been already going on since the days of Antiochus the Fourth. Many good women and men, boys and girls were massacred in those days. The Battle for the Independence that suited took more good men. The surrender of Judas and his 800 by the Pharisees was one episode among the many to follow. Though the Seleucid Empire was declining no historian is allowed to think that such a House of rulers were to dismiss itself for the sake of somebody's else freedom. The Independence was bought by the Jews at the price of many, many good men. A tremendous harvest had reached its end by the day the Hasmonean took the crown. And another was to begin. The silent civil war between the Hasmonean and the Pharisees lasted seventy years, during and throughout which the cream and the cherry was taken away from the pie of freedom. When Queen Alexandra died the Civil War definitely broke out, and the valleys of the Holy Land were one more time given to drink the blood of the children of Abraham. Brothers against brothers. The drama of Cain versus Abel was a never-ending story in the house of the Jews. The Lord God of Abraham, Moses and David had mercy on them and brought Pompey the Great to stop the Abomination. Unfortunately a World Civil War was in the womb. And profiting of its madness a heinous event took place: A Palestinian took the Crown of David, an Arab sat in Jerusalem as Supreme Ruler. For forty years the son of Antipater, Herod, massacred the Jews without mercy. At the end of the forty years of Herod's tyranny no good man remained in the Holy Land able to stand on his legs like a man. All of them had made the choice of the English during the days of Henry, to live on their knees. Not in vain speaking of the days of Christ the Prophet said: "There is not a single good man ...'

Once Martin Luther had told the Germans that, not the Church from Fifteenth centuries, but he got the Power, of the Holy Ghost to say what was and was not from God, how could the Germans answer this Why "Why could the Jews, after seeing what they saw" dare to put their hands on Jesus?

Martin Luther not only mutilated the Book of God cursing the Maccabees Historical Chapters, but even tried to mutilate the New Testament, putting a ban on the Hebrews, the letters of James and Jude, and, of course, on the Book of Revelations.

As from the beginning so in the Reformation times, the same Spirit who was given to the Church to maintain the Book of God as received by the Saint Spirit of the Apostles, rose the forces of the Church to keep the Book of God in His Original Form, in which we have receive it, and the Anglican Church, in this Article, adopted as the Divine Canon. This said, let's the "divine" talk. As always we well hear him dismissing the great battle of the Catholic Church through

fifteen long and bloody centuries in order to proof that the English and only the Anglican Church is the right heir of the Primitive Church, not the Catholic Church, but the Anglican Church. The mystery is how did they demonstrate to themselves that the Catholic Church and the Primitive Church is not the same Church. Anyway, God was with them, God was the Anglican Church's Head, their God said so, and he who dared to say other, head off. They weren't fool, better to live on your knees that to die with the boots on.

This Debate is open because the Day of the Freedom has come. One more time it will be asked to the Anglican Church: Who is your Head?, and one more time: Who is the Catholic Church? According to the Answer the Lord will act.

In the meantime I keep on saying to the small people: Run, the big people all they want is your gold; only the King of Heaven wants your Freedom; and as He is the King of Heaven, so be on Earth.

CHAPTER VII Of the Old Testament.

THE old Testament is not contrary to the new; for both in the old and new Testament everlasting life is offered to mankind by Christ, who is the only Mediator between God and man, being both God and man. Wherefore they are not to be heard, which feign that the old fathers did look only for transitory promises. Although the Law given from God by Moses, as touching ceremonies and rites, do not bind Christian men, nor the Civil precepts thereof ought of necessity to be received in any commonwealth; yet notwithstanding, no Christian man whatsoever is free from the obedience of the Commandments which are called moral.

The reading of this Article and its Anglican way of explanation, declaring the Need of sticking to the Ten Commandments and the lawful rejection of the entire Mosaic Code related to the Priesthood of the Old Temple, bring us to the point of declaring this Anglican creed more popish than the pope, pure Catholicism running through the lines, except when it comes to deal with the Souls of the Jews on stage.

Offensive to the self-conception of the northern tribes of modern Europeans the idea of a Jew, whether Moses or David it does not matter, with a vision of Eternity infinitely more developed than the one traditionally ascribed to the Holy Reformation, the commentator of this Article waste no time in reducing Moses's Mind to the figure of a barbarian folk, one level higher than the Greek's, for example, always higher that the Latin's, and of course, incapable of untying the sandals of the head of the Anglican Church when it comes the time to speak about the Immortality of the Soul.

In the meantime, while disfiguring the Soul of the Old Testament Personages, the commentator loses no time in throwing stones onto the Catholic Church. The *leit motif* of the Anglican Church, more Catholic than the Catholic, more Orthodox than the Orthodox, the Anglican display of eloquence had no other target than to displace the Catholic Church in order to take her place in the mind of the nation. Even so, we would clap hand on her honor if the displacement were not attained by a reign of terror. Moses, Job, David, the Prophets, were all figures of the Anglican Messiah, and as such tools invested of a very superfluous knowledge of the Life Eternal.

To make a stand in the right direction I grant this: How could I, a son of God, reject an article which was the Milk with which my Mother in the Spirit milked me while a baby boy?

Let's then, profiting of the election of a new chief for the bishops servants of Christ Lord, to enter in the definition of Christian Tradition, in its relation with the Scriptures.

It is Tradition the servants of Christ gathering to elect their Chief. You can't find in the Scriptures this Model of Succession to the High Priesthood. All we see in the Scriptures is the Revolution by which a son of David, Jesus, became High Priest, and passed His Succession to another man from the house of Abraham too, Peter. Until that day the Succession of the High priesthood was passed on from father to son in the inside of the circle formed by the bloody

progeny of the brother of Moses. Jesus came and abolished that Old Model. Ergo the Need of His death from the point of view of the sons of the brother of Moses.

The Scriptures says nothing at all about the inner configuration of the New Model Jesus brings on as result of His Revolution. That He brought with Him a New Temple, it can't be denied. That the New Temple meant a New Priesthood, only the Devil will reject. But God said nothing, not a word, about this New Priesthood's Succession. He just said, "upon this Rock, (the Faith of Saint Peter), I will build my Church".

Does this means that because in the Scripture is not given the Plan for the Building of the New Temple, with its New Priesthood, God left to every man to build by himself and for himself his own church? Did God said "I will build the my Church" and then, because the Jews, His Intention became dust?

If God speaks of the Future how could be the Scriptures contains His Plan for the Salvation of Mankind After Christ?

From the very beginning the Fathers of the Church saw in the Old Testament the Plan for the Redemption of Man, and in the New testament the Accomplishment of that Plan. The Redemption done, then the Future began. A future unknown to all, open only to God.

Of course that for the Salvation of my soul I only need to believe that Jesus was and is the Son of the living God. What was the news in the Reformation? Were the Germans and the English saying anything the Spanish and the French they didn't know?

But it comes to happen that there is a world out there, and all around me too; and if I were alone, I shall need no one, no church, no priest, nobody at all to live forever. The Mission of Christ was to put me in Paradise. Unfortunately from the top of the mountain I could see a world crawling, making its way between the fires of misery, war and hunger. According to the Reformation Creed that is not my problem. Some are born to eat all the cake and others to eat the worms. More or less to this comes the doctrine of the Reformation, especially the Calvinist, to the adoption of the Europeans of the creed on the migration of the souls from the Hinduism. He who is poor he is poor because in other life he was a sinner. The Europeans changed the foundations calling the Will of God as the source of the reason why a man is poor and the other is rich.

Again, of course by the Apostle's Creed my soul is riding the road to eternal life. But there is a war raging down there. The Battle for the Salvation of Mankind is on. I have been saved to become a soldier in the armies of the Lord. Because the Scriptures says no word on this Battle for Mankind I have to stay on the top of the mountain, watching the river of blood flows?

The Bible, as Book of God, begins with the Son of God as the Omnipotent Arm of the Creator, "Let there be Light", the Mouth on which lips the Omniscient Creator of the Cosmos puts His Words, "Let's make Man to our Image", and ends displaying the Nature of "that Spirit of God which hovered upon the waters of the Creation", the Holy Spirit made Flesh and bones, blood and Soul, for all the sons of God, from this and from the worlds created before our world, to see with our eyes the Nature of that Sanctity which was spoken when God said to Moses: "Be saint, as I am". Once this revealed to the eyes and ears of the entire Creation, the Battle for Mankind begun.

God had never given up His Creature; God had never surrendered Mankind in the arms of Death. He said it all the time, "still a while, my sons, and I will fly to you with the wings of the eagle on the heinous snake. Still a

while, my children, be strong; and you, Peter, fortify your brothers, keep in them the love for God, our Father".

How could then the Scriptures contain all the necessary means for the Salvation of Mankind? The Scriptures is the Calling from God to all the generations, for coming and sign in His Armies, one as priest, another as laborer, one as politician, another as teacher, one as scientist, another as housewife, every man and woman on his post and all together advancing on one single direction: The Salvation of the Fullness of the Nations. God leads, Gods builds, Gods strengthens the bleeding heart.

Where in the Scripture is written that the churches of the nations will give themselves a human as king, and this human be head of the church?

Where does the Scriptures says that the churches will gather together to choose a chief to their bishops?

This is Tradition. This is to say, this was the Future of the Church in the Head of Christ Jesus. God gave the Rock of Foundation to His Church, upon it the Church had to be built. And though the Apostles began the Edification, as it is written, there were but tools in the HANDS OF THE ONE who said, On this Rock I will build my Church".

Returning to the Anglican Creed referred to the Scriptures alone : Is there any word on HOW HE WOULD BUILD HIS CHURCH?

This is "Divine Tradition": the Work of God during the centuries after the Birth of Christ.

The other "tradition": if Jesus used to comb his hair to the left or to the right, if to pray you have to sing or to speak, or simply open your heart and soul before God, if the day of the Resurrection or of the Passion got to be celebrated in Thursday, Friday or Sunday, this is theologian's idiocy.

When confounding Divine Tradition, the Tradition that matters in the Christian Catholic Church, with the theologian's tradition, the Anglican Creed committed a tremendous manipulation of the Meaning of the Scriptures, a step necessary to hide the real meaning of that Act of Supremacy by which the English declared himself on High Treason against the Crown of the Universal King of the Christian World.

The Future of the Church was not written in the Bible, but Her Birth, Foundation and Eternal Life it what was registered by the Apostles, the Pen with which God gave an end to His Book.

Again, one more time, if you are alone in the world, you need nothing to know beyond that God has a Son and this Son is of the same Uncreated Nature of God. The entire Work of the Christian Catholic Church is to engender in you this simple Faith. This is the Faith the Creation lives on. Why would you need anything else? And you would need nothing else once in Paradise, I grant you that. The problem, bro, is that here, right now, there is no Paradise, except for your king and your queen; hell and death is all around, and if you are a son of God, the Call of the Lord is not to sit on the top of the mountain, but to come down, get your weapons and line on, you are another soldier in the armies of the Lord.

Anyway, one point that strike me a lot very much it's got to do with the intellect of the historian community of the English kind. The manipulation of the Scientific History by the English divines on the Question of the Appropriation of the Anglican Church of the Canon of the Bible so visible, why they say no word? Beyond to be Catholics, or Orthodox, or Episcopalian, or whatever, the Scientific Truth of History go to bed with no one. Why then the

famous English historian school let the truth be taken, as truth were a whore, to the harem of the English crown?

But, of course, I forgot that there had been a Sword swinging across the sun of the English Religious Intellect ...

How could I expect the lords being on their knees and their servants living on their legs.

CHAPTER VIII Of the Three Creeds.

THE Three Creeds, Nicene Creed, Athanasius' Creed, and that which is commonly called the Apostles' Creed, ought thoroughly to be received and believed: for they may be proved by most certain warrants of Holy Scripture.

Indeed the wonder was not "the man" in himself but "his doctrine". "Love vour enemies, don't call fool vour fellow man". Man, who wonders the Jews loving "his doctrine"? It is easier to hate your enemy, to pay eye for an eye, to give back the blow. Everybody else is a pig, a dog; we are the champions of the world. Why bow the head? Man is nothing, Power is everything, Gold buy Power, get more gold to buy more power. What a joke! The drama of mankind! Life found in suicide, freedom in slavery, survival in transgression of the Laws of Nature. As in the world of the Hinduism gold is the visible mark of the sanctity of the men, so in the Anglican Church Power became the mark of Faith. Is really necessary to know whether the gold comes from? Look at the country of the Chosen of God for Glory, Switzerland, founded on Gold Blood. So what? The Bank of the tyrants, dictators, corrupted politicians and all kind of murderers and thieves, Switzerland, the House of the Chosens of God, born to taken possession of the Glory of the sons of God. What a joke Calvin made of the doctrine of Jesus Christ! You want to enter in Paradise? Sell everything and follow me, said the man. Calvin came and said, yeah yeah, give me all your gold, he is right.

Look out the manipulation of the historical truth the Anglican Church made within this Article. The Lord King did not bother about taking the Lord God for a fool. It comes to show that though the Athanasian Creed is called so, as a matter of fact Athanasius was not his author. The "fool" falls in the trap in which the other sank when wanting to take the glory of Homer down to the dust. The "fool" waste no time in trying to demonstrate, based in the divinity to him conceded by his Lord King, his supreme head, that the name of a thing has nothing to do with the thing, and because Socrates wrote nothing we got to give to Plato all the credit and bother not, at all, about Socrates. A Divine English indeed! To top the pie the "fool" insert the Three Creed in their original languages. We have to suppose because the English being a Superior Race, enlightened for universal supremacy by their Lord King the English lad can speak as many languages as he desires, living and dead. As a matter of fact the English man is known all over the world for his imperium over the languages of the nations, all of them speak French from the cradle, and do master Spanish while pulling the teeth, and when they begin to show hairs where hair grows, they already speak German, and Italian too, and so many more. Only us, the continental Europeans are brute enough to speak only three and four languages, so brute indeed! Old Greek and Latin the English know by heart. Or was it that the Anglican Church is a Religion for Imperial Aristocracy, and to the Imperial Aristocracy spoke the author of this commentary to the Articles of the Lord "Oueen"?

Again, if for a fellow born and bred by Catholic minds the Catholicism of this Articles is evident to the extreme, the silence of the famous English historian school on the manipulation by their Divines made when trying to

alienate Athanasius Creed from Athanasius' Life and Athanasius's Life from the History of Europe, this silence cannot but shock my intellect. From which shock my spirit comes out walking in peace when its eyes take a look at the picture of a nation on her knees before a monster. The road to the Historical Religious Truth closed to them, it is not to me a mystery that the genius of the English, unable to look at its own nation, ended unearthing from the grave the History of the Old World. And if I have to believe one of my favorite English Historians when he said that one of the greatest error of his nation was to ban God from the History of the Nations, how could I not see in this living on their knees the exercise necessary to dig in the dust in order to unearth the treasures from the remote Past. After all God uses the tools as He finds it; He arrives to His goal no matter the tool be brute or fine. What happened with the tool later on it seems a secret to Him only. The Law of Christ is to denounce the sin and be good to the sinner. The Law of the Lord King of the English was to denounce the sin and cut the head of the sinner. But what, God said that ever man will respond before Him for his deeds, but Luther said that Faith is enough, ergo: God has to get out of the way and let in the faithful. What will be?

Now, the Article says that there are Three Creeds. And this affirmation is a Lie. There was not Three Jesus. There is only One Jesus, and this Jesus had and has only one Creed, and this is the One and Only Creed of His Church. This Only One Creed was the Creed of the Apostles, the Creed of Nice, the Creed of Athanasius. Athanasius had no other Creed than the Creed of the Apostles. And the Creed of the Apostles was the Creed of Jesus Himself. And this Creed, speaking as a Christian Man, was and is that the Father and the Son Live in the same Spirit: God. God is Spirit, God is Saint, the Saint Spirit is God. This Spirit of God lives in the Father and in the Son. The Nature of God is the Nature of the Father and the Nature of the Son. As we adore the Father, so we adore the Son. For us, the Creation, to understand this Truth with the eyes, this Spirit became Christ, in whom the Father and the Son lived, and ergo He said: Who sees the Son sees the Father. This was the Creed of Jesus, this was the Creed of the Apostles, this is the Creed of the entire House of the God.

The rest, you or me trying to understand this Truth is madness and as such it led men tar away from the Nature of this Eternal and Omnipotent Truth.

This is the Cred that the Holy Spirit brought to the Apostles and they transmitted to their disciples, and from them this Cred went to the Council of Constantinople and Nice, where the Unity of the Empire was pushed ahead and the most fundamental Part of the Creed: "Uncreated Son", was sacrificed, and "only begotten son" came in its place. Violation against which Saint Athanasius rose like a thunder and all his life fought back that particle, with his life devotion showing to the Church her error when giving way to Constantine's Need. The Church looks to Eternity, her Truth is beyond the Needs of a certain century and moment.

What is the Creed of the Anglican Church, then? Because in the Article Two we read :

"The Son, which is the Word of the Father, begotten from everlasting of the Father...". And this, divines, was the Creed of the Arius. To beget means "creation", and this is what Arius defended. Arius believed that the son was "begotten from everlasting of the Father", meaning that the Son is a creature, superior to all, created before all, but a creature after all. It was this Particle, "begotten", the one which kindled the fire in Athanasius and was the cause of his exiles and his continuous struggles with the emperors and the contemporizing bishops, until finally Athanasius' "uncreated God from

uncreated God" was received in the Creed of the Catholic Church.

Tree Creeds? There is only one Creed and there will never Two. And is this: There is One God and Creator of all, the Father; there is One King and Lord of all, the Son; Christ, Head of the Church, in Whom the Father and the Son lives Forever in Infinite Unity, is the Saint Spirit of God made Man. The Catholic Christian Church, Wife to the Lord, is the Tree of Life, whose Fruit: Faith, brings eternal life to us, men.

Where then comes from the division between the shepherds and servants of the Lord? Why so many words to proclaim orthodoxy out from this Unity? How could be divine one whose head is a man? and how could a body be saint when has by head a monster?

The Catholic Church was founded by the Saint Spirit made Man, from whom came the Anglican Church?

It is understandable that to disentangle themselves from this obvious question the divines had to wash their own brains before to wash the people's brains. In this they are not the only ones. Yet the time has come for every church to look at herself in the mirror of the Truth.

Critical as I am with the Nicene Particle, to see these divines trying to prove if Athanasius wrote his Creed, this only show me the way they had to avoid the real question behind the Athanasian Creed: "the Son, Uncreated God from uncreated God", and this says very much on the relation between the Anglican Church and the Truth. Their masters in History already denying the relation between God and the History of the Nations, it comes hard to believe that their disciples could see God in the History of the churches. There is no zeal of confession or any kind in this Article: They believe in all and they believe in nothing. Who then could proof them wrong, or deny them to be right?

Very English, indeed.

CHAPTER IX Of Original, or Birth-Sin.

ORIGINAL Sin standeth not in the following of Adam (as the Pelagians do vainly talk), but it is the fault and corruption of the nature of every man, that naturally is engendered of the offspring of Adam, whereby man is very far gone from original righteousness, and is of his own nature inclined to evil, so that the flesh lusteth always contrary to the spirit, and therefore, in every person born into this world, it deserveth God's wrath and damnation. And this infection of nature doth remain, yea, in them that are regenerated; whereby the lust of the flesh, which some do expound the wisdom, some sensuality, some the affection, some the desire of the flesh, is not subject to the law Of God. And although there is no condemnation for them that believe and are baptized, yet the Apostle does confess that concupiscence and lust have of itself the nature of sin.

In arriving to this station the question comes out straight ahead and knock down the soul. "All right all right, with all of you of that superior species called divines, the issue is this: what about the nature of the Transgression which caused God to get mad to hell, and forgetting that He was God He responded to the Fall like a barbarian upon the murder of his young son?". This, my dears, is the real issue, and all you do is to take people into the jungle of your greed for gold. What a shame Faith turned into a gold mine, the Church into a business "only for divines".

Glorious bishops, slaves of the kings and queens of England, your Lord, I ask you: an apple was the cause of the Fall?

Are you out of your fucking head?

I understand that the Jews had to wall the access to the Mystery of the Fall of Man, and from the ancient times they kept the History of the Fall to themselves, because imagine if suddenly the world took the crazy stand to begin to realize that the Ancestor of that people was the one who brought hell upon all the nations all the world. Imagine that this was done before Christ came around. The Final Solution by Antiochus IV would had been taken as a task by the entire world. Would you find ta descendant of that wreck in our days?

Of course not. Mankind had no know the truth, God had to touch the hearts of the nations in order to repress that natural feeling toward total destruction of the Jews in response to the Deed of their Father.

From the beginning we see in the Bible the descendants of Adam hiding themselves from the world, they don't come back into the world scene until very late. It was with Abraham that the Ancestor is taken publicly, no need to hide anymore. Even so, the Fall had to become a Myth and behind its wall the Jews hide from the world. And so the Story of the Apple began. A mean, what the fuck, God, the Creator of the Cosmos, man, of Infinite Intelligence and of Omnipotent rise against the Future of the World of the Humans because a motherfucker idiot ate an apple. The Gentiles had a laugh. "Yeah, boys, we are pigs and dogs, but you are born idiots". God, the Supreme Head of the gods, the Creator of the Universe and the Maker of the Universe, the Source of Life on

Earth, getting mad because a man ate an apple, are those Jews good in the head?

Catholicism was the boat for the Jews to sail the centuries. Catholicism was the Boat God gave the Jews to walk their valley of misery. From the Absolute Hatred of Luther and Protestantism against the Jews we can count how many Jews would had made to the Land of their fathers. NONE. All right, Catholicism could not avoid some excesses, but on the whole the attitude of Catholicism towards the Jews can be represented in their Expulsion from Spain by the Catholic Kings. What else but their total destruction deserved those who sold Spain to the Islam and under the Islam brought horror during centuries upon the Spanish Nation? Judas had sold not a man but an entire Nation. But God forbade His Catholic Servants to pay back according human justice, with their Expulsion was enough.

Unfortunately, it could be no other way, the Catholicism inherited the Apple Idiocy. Jews were the Church's Builders. The Church could not avoid to take as her legacy the Apple Myth. However, the Reformation was made to take a step ahead, wasn't it? Why then the Reformers, in question of Theology, became "those divine idiots" who robbed from the Catholics the Apple Grail?

In the excursus which follows the declaration of the ninth article the author sticks to the doctrines of the Catholics Fathers, always taking care to make theirs the glory of those saints, while at the same time keeping the policy already seen in the eighth article, "we don't give a fuck about nothing, we believe in everything, we want the empire, and God save the Queen God". Absolutely repugnant!

But if the work of the Devil makes me sick, would I be a son of the Lord if I did not untie this Myth, giving it its political place in the History of Mankind?

God gave a Universal and Eternal Law, when He said, "Don't eat, you will die". By this Law: any son of God, no matter Time and Space, rising War on his brother, put himself under the Law and by his Transgression will receive Death, non-exception, from the Son of God who sits on the Throne of the King to the most humble among the sons of God. Peace reign Forever. Justice is her Foundation, and Truth the Rock on whose Indestructible Nature those foundations are established. This is the Apple. This was the Apple. This will be for eternal times the Apple. This is the Law on War by God decreed and given to all the sons of God, from this creation and from the creation before ours.

Now it comes the real thing: Why the Father of the Jew3 transgressed the Law?

And in this matter we take the Answer of God Himself: One of the sons of God, not on this creation, deceived the king of the First Kingdom the Earth ever knew. "God did not included Holy War in the dimension of the Law!", Satan said to Eve, "Mankind has been created to form a Kingdom, the duty of the king is to bring all the nations into the Kingdom of God, the denial to come into it allowed the king to rise Holy War on the infidels".

This is the Original Scenario, this is the real History of the Fall, Holy War was, is and always will be a Crime against God and Mankind.

The details, how Satan, a son of God, managed to breaks the Peaceful Policy of the King of Mesopotamia in order to make him understand that Holy War is the Right of the King, this is not of your concern. What indeed is of your concern is that you did so, you all declared Holy War on Catholicism, and this you did all of you knowing that the Devil was to be freed at the beginning of the Second Millennium. I will not excuse neither the Roman Church who knowing this Fact gave herself to reshape the Church at the image of the Temple of

Jerusalem. The Lord said with plenty words: "Watch, Watch, because the Devil will be around at Nighttime"; after His Resurrection, from His Throne, he gave the date: "First Century, Second Millennium". 1054, the Schism of the East. Later on the Devil attacked the heart, the Roman Bishop. Sixteenth century, Reformation, the Great Division of the Western Churches, Holy War! Wars of Religion! The Apple was back again! Germany, Switzerland, England, Scandinavia, all the nations fell in the trap, the Scenario of the Eden was produced, this time at a big scale.

This is the Issue about the Original Sin or Birth-Sin. Do you think that the greatest man ever born from a man, the Father of the Jews, by God Himself bred, could not win his day, and you, born from barbarian wombs, bred by barbarian milk, could win yours?

However, if really be so, the Teuton be a superior race, I will kill my words in the tip of my fingers.

Now what? Do you think that the Lord is there, sitting in His Throne, watching the river flows, while His son is calling the Churches to Unification in the face of all the world?

Watch out divines, you have been blinded by the Devil, and you don't see the fact, God is a Fire, or will I say God is on Fire?

I guess you think that the vision Moses had was a circus thing. Let me ask you this, why do you think that God chose to come Himself to Avenge the blood of his son Adam?

Because God had no other son able to do so? Let me tell you, divines; anyone of the sons of God, whose names you have in the Book, anyone of them, if called to do so, could had strangle the Devil with just a hand. Why had God to call on His Son? You don't understand it, do you? The HATE against the Murderer of Adam and Transgressor Forever, a HATE that God had been containing in His Heart during Millennia, was the source of the Fire Moses saw, and the reason WHY He decided to come and be the Son of Man. He wanted to strangle the Beast with His own hands. He would not allow no one to do so. Adam was His son, it was for God to drink the sweetest of the wines, VENGEANCE.

Now, dear divines, and all of you Servants of the Lord, it is to God to do the Will of God. I am the One who announce the Will of my Father but it is to the Lord to make it happen. He said it to all of you: The Devil had to come to divide the churches in order to cause their Destruction buy their Disobedience to the Decree of Unity. Watch out, I say, because the Lord will not discuss with you, on no subject. You are called to Unification, you all will get together around the Bishop of Rome, to become One Only Church, the Catholic Christian Church, the Tree of Life, Faith its Fruit, from which comes eternal life to all men. Don't even dare to put on the table conditions or articles for discussion. My Father is on fire. The Branch on which He puts His Hand will get burn, and turned into ashes no man will know of it in the eternity to come.

People of England and of the Commonwealth, don't ask, just run to the Catholic Church, get yourself a priest and get baptize, you have to be born again. You didn't, the Devil deceived your fathers. On your Faith your fathers will be justified.

CHAPTER TEN. Of Free Will.

Let's read the Article:

THE condition of man, after the fall of Adam, is such, that he cannot turn and prepare himself by his own natural strength and good works to faith, and calling upon God; wherefore we have no power to do good works, pleasant and acceptable to God, without the grace of God by Christ preventing us, that we may have a good will, and working with us, when we have that good will.

The last line "that we may have a good will, and working with us, when we have that good will" in the word of the "Anglican divine", got to be taken as this:

"The doctrine of Scripture, however, is evidently expressed in the words of our Article. God must give the will, must set the will free from its natural slavery, before it can turn to good; but then it moves in the freedom which He has bestowed upon it, and never so truly uses that freedom, as when it follows the motions of the Spirit. Yet clearly there remains some power to resist and to do evil. For, though "those that have no will to good things God make fall them to will; . . . Yet, nevertheless, He enforces not the will." And so, although He must work in us, yet we, under His influences, must strive and press forward, not resisting Him, not neglecting, but stirring up His gifts in our hearts".

Which translated to the common people's language give is this: "God leave us alone to fight back Death". Because "He enforces not the Will".

The guy miss the points. The Article means the point. And the pint is missing because the Article speaks not about Religion but about Politics. God leaves us alone once He have us His Son and then He gave us the God save the Queen to conquer death and makes our way to Paradise, and without the King no salvation came be attained.

Do you remember the Article of the Old Roman Church: "Without the Catholic Church there is no Salvation". The Anglican Church turned it in this: "Without the Crown of England there is no Salvation for the British Subjects".

God does not enforce the Will!

The guy is not talking on God. The Article is not speaking about God. I show you why.

God created the Heavens and the Earth, took Him millions and millions of human years to rise this Wonder of wonders, our Universe, plus the millions of years of minute He spent in cultivating the Tree of the Species, finally He came to the Man, and He had no Intention of enforcing Man's Will in order to harvest the most precious Fruit coming with the Act of Creation? : One word, just one word; "FATHER!".

These Anglican divines were no good in the head. They believed that the Creation of Life is just a killing-time game for God. He has nothing better to do. He shakes boredom by calling the galaxies and the clusters, and once He uses it then He begins to lose interests, by the time when Life comes about by inertia, only by inertia, He calls people to be at His Image, but not really with Passion, because God is not Passion (Article One), but just to see what happen. After all He might like it or not the result of His Work. He is God, He can decide to blow off from the face of the Cosmos His work, who would stop His Arm in doing so?

God does not enforce the Will?

So, what is Christ all about?

But again, I don't want to rain fire on those who were slaves of their master, and by their own will, not enforced by God, made the choice of living on their knees before the Tyrant or to die like a son of man before God. Let's them

reach the point they were missing. After all Ignorance is the mother of corruption, and having been told that "Faith, which gets corrupted", once Faith became corrupted under the Roman Church of the Borgias and Popes alike, all the churches became corrupted, which means that Ignorance became Universal. God healed the wound caused by this corruption through the Trento Council, but those which stood away healed themselves the best they could. This Article proves it.

Now, why does God create at His Image and likeness?

According to the Defendant of the Devil, Calvin, "to manifest His Omnipotence calling some to glory, some to Hell". The Devil, once a son of God, was called to Hell, and so Adam was. They did not transgress any Law, God is a Motherfucker Terrorist rising to conscience to better enjoy the misery of those called to Hell.

The Reformation, stranded between a Devil rising hell on the Catholic Church, and the Devil rising Hell on Christ, because Christ was the one called to glory, not him, Satan, gave birth to a people standing in the middle, the Anglicans.

Let's however take the question by its root.

God is Freedom. Is there anybody crazy to add a word? I mean, He is Omnipotent, He is Indestructible, He is Omniscient, He is Uncreated, He is Eternal, what else means Freedom if not God's Freedom?

This Freedom allows God to do anything. As matter of fact, according to God's Memoirs, He went so far as to destroy the Old Cosmos, a Cosmos without beginning, uncreated as Himself. He created a New Universe for Himself. Where are the limits to His Power? This is the big deal.

Calvin got the same religion as Mahomet when treating the subject of God's Freedom. Islam adores God because He is Omnipotent and acts according to this Infinite Power. God's Action has nothing to do with Justice. The Truth is God's Truth, and this Truth is that God's Law are rooted in His Infinite Power. Ergo, God is a Terrorist and his people are terrorist because God made men to His Image.

Both Calvin and Mahomet missed the point.

The point is this: God is Love. Love for Life, Love for Justice, Love for Freedom, Love for Art and Science, in a word: Love for Creation. Love is Passion. And what is most vital, transcendent and gorgeous: "God is Father".

God created Man to love Justice, Truth, Art, Science, Intelligence, Wisdom, Peace, Law, Truth, Life, Freedom, as much as He does. The Soul of God is the Soul of Man, the Spirit of God is the Spirit of Man, this is why men we are lovers of art, science, justice, peace, freedom, truth, life... Nature gets impregnated of God's Soul to give birth sons of God. And as the species passed on the individuals the essence and substance of what the species is, so the Creature receives from Nature the Soul of the Creator.

This is the first stage upon which God walks to enforce the Will of His Creation. The son tends to his father not because there is a law written obliging the father to do so. Not at all. Nature is impregnated of fatherhood and sonship. Mankind did not tend to God buy the law of an outside power. Nature is the Power that threw Man in the arms of God, his Father.

First point then, God enforce His Will on His Creature by impregnating the Creation with His Soul. The First Word Mankind uttered was "God", and the Second "my Father". Man was born.

Now, God uses His Freedom according to His Wisdom. Has God the choice of loving War, Corruption, Destruction?

How could He not?

Does He have the Will of getting away from Justice, Life Peace, Fatherhood, Creation?

Of course not!

God's Will of keeping on His Will hating War, Destruction, Corruption : is God.

Can God be the father of the Devil?

No!

Does Good have the Will of becoming the father of the Devil?

No!

This is God's Freedom. His Will and God became One Thing. The Saint Spirit became to the surface. It was in God from Eternity. And it became God. And then it became Man: "Christ". God's Spirit became a Person. For us all to see God's Spirit with our eyes, and to live Forever in Flesh before the eyes of God's Creation.

Now to the second point.

The Creation of Man didn't happen in a hidden corner of the Creation. As I said in God's Memoirs the entire House of God was invited to see the Creator in Action, a thing never seen before by any son of God. Man was born in the heart of a Universal Revolution. Never before had the Creatures seen their Creator in action, God in His Full Cosmic Power, the Uncreated Omniscient of the Lord of the Galaxies moving upon the Waters of the Creation. The wonder is beyond words.

What concern to us as living guys, not as dead men, is that those sons of God to whom God's call was aiming, when He said "let's make man to our Image", had a History. They had been created in different times and spaces, had their own Universal History, and their Common Divine History too. The Creation of our Heavens and Earth was part of their common experience. God's calling them to come down to Earth and get close to the families of the Homo Sapiens World this was a two side effects revolution. The natural motion from the Sapiens to the Man as we know us from ever since was accelerated. Man's Will was enforced by Love and Nature to run to His Creator's Arm with a word in his mouth: Father.

Free will?

Man's Free Will was beyond Good and Evil, he knew not the Science of Good and Evil, men waged not war on men. To call the struggle of the Homo Sapiens for the mastery of the Animal Kingdom War is one of those mistakes the modern science, prejudiced already against the Church, gave her strength. In the struggle of the fathers of men to master the world there was no such a thing like War. Man was created to be the Master of Nature. Nature did not rise to stop men, Nature spread at men's feet the road to it.

Man's Will had nothing to do with Free Will. Man was free. Free from War, free from sickness, free from corruption, free from vices. Man was son's Nature. And now Man was Good's son. Man had inherited from His Divine Creator God's Will. The Will of Man was for Freedom, Justice, Peace, Art, Knowledge, Life. There was no point in making a choice. Who wants to die?

Yet the Science of God and Evil existed. God could not hide from His House the existence of that Science. War had been already tasted by the sons of God. Man had to know the existence of the Science of God and Evil. The method of taking Man to the knowledge of Good and Evil's Science was a fathers way. No father takes the finger of his son into the electric plug thing in order to show him the deadly power of electricity. No father will let his child ignorant of the

existence of that power. God wanted Man to know the Science of Good and Evil according to a father's method. Does exists, you don't have to taste it, you would die. Where is the son who challenges his father wisdom on this kind of matter?

Turning this Myth into History we say that once the First Kingdom Earth ever knew was built, the Law of the King was the Law of God. Peace was the Bridge built for the families of men still living the Old Sapiens way to come into the Kingdom of God, kingdom of Adam. Universal Brotherhood in God's Fatherhood was the root of Justice. Everything belongs to the Father, everything belong to the sons of God. Freedom was the Road to the kingdom. God's Law prohibited the use of Force for it. No! The would come all by themselves. Let them live in their mountains and in their plains. The Spirit of God is like the wind, it always comes, you don't have to tell the wind to come.

Free Will?

Is it there a better Freedom than God's Freedom? Would you choice another one? Can we conceive a better Freedom than the Freedom of the sons of God?

Mankind have that Freedom. Between better and better where is the Free? Why to choose between the apple and the orange when both are in the table and both are yours? Only a madman can make a question of free will the eating of one or the other, now or later?

God does not enforce the Will of Man?

Ladies and gentlemen, the Freedom of Man is the Will of God. The Will of Man comes from the Freedom of God. How can you call yourselves divines and make of the apple and the orange a question? Call yourselves the real word!

There was, notwithstanding these facts, some on whom God's enforcement had been broken. For them was the choice. God's Will had been effaced from their minds and hearts. They wanted to have their own will. They wanted to brake with God as their Creator. God made His Will. They wanted to make their own will.

God had been trying to take them away from that land of madness with the Love of a Father. It was the time to speak to them as the Lord of the Creation. "If you transgress the Law of My Kingdom waging War on no matter who, you will be destroyed, not by people, but by God".

All right, don't stick your finger in the plug, son, cut the crap, God! They weren't children no more. They had tasted the Fruit of Death, War, and they like it, and they wanted War to be served at their table at free will.

Free will, as you see, my bros and sis, is an invention of the Devil.

Holy War was the Temptation of the Devil. And ever since, the children of Adam by blood have been waging Holy War on the world. Is their curse.

We don't need choice. We don't need free will. We need Freedom. We have Freedom. Can a slave have Free Will? Don't have a born Free Man: Freedom!?

We are born Free and we discuss on Free Will?

Free Will for what?

To follow the Devil's wisdom?

By the Devil we were sold as slaves to Death. By Christ we were bought to Freedom for Life. There is no choice left to Free Will in the soul of a Christian man once He made it from slavery to freedom. We chose to be free, to enjoy God's Will, live by His Freedom. The Free Will is a thing of the Devil.

Reality is that we live in an immortal soul the life of a mortal body, and out there a war is raging. The Devil's Will is for Hell and Mankind Absolute Destruction. Christ's Will is the Salvation of the Fullness of the Nations. Is there any choice for men to be made?

You tell me. My Freedom is based and founded on God's Will. God's made by Free Will His choice between Good and Evil, War and Peace, Justice and Corruption, Creation or Destruction, Death or Life. He chose Life, and am here because His Freedom. Between what I have to choose: War and Peace, Death and Life, Corruption and Justice? Am a son of God, so you, where is the Free Will Question now?

Even so you say, well, but there are two things on the table.

And my answer is: You looking at them with the Devil's eyes.

Free Will? : the day you are baptized your Free Will dies to resurrect a Free Man at the Image of a Free son of God.

However, you put yourselves at the side of the Devil's table. It is your choice Today to rise to the condition of Christ and say: "Be your Will, not mine". Go, get baptized, you divines, Unify with the Catholic Church, now. It is not a question of Free Will, is the Will of God.

As always I let you with the "divine" commentator of this Article, slippery as always, journeying through the battle the Catholic Church fought and won, to end saving that God does not enforce His Creation to live His Freedom.

What will be then, the Freedom of the Devil?

CHAPTER XI Of the Justification of Man.

Given that Freedom is the Door to Justification, taking God's Freedom as that Door, and that Justification leads to Freedom, the Freedom of Man, in order to close the question of the Free Will and to take a firm stand on the next scenario, natural cause of the Division of the churches according to "the divines majesties", I have to ask:

Are you born free? Freedom is the substance of Free Will.

Are you born slave? Slavery is the absence of Free Will.

What was the discussion about?

Born a free man how can someone think of me without free will?

The quid is that the Free Will of the Creature is the Free Will of his Creator.

Adam was born with Free Will, Freedom was his soul. Adam didn't fall in the trap of Holy War as the Door to Paradise because his Free Will, but because he was manipulated, and he was manipulated because he had not knowledge about the evil that a son of God can do. On this basis God did not put together Adam and Satan in the same basket. Satan did what he did with full conscience on the effects his deed would bring. Adam had no clue about the nature of the effects his deed was to bring into the world. Ignorance being the narrow line separating Premeditated Crime from Involuntary Crime, by Adam's Ignorance, though the Necessary Sacrifice of Expiation, Justification came upon the Scene. Thence, Salvation.

Freedom was lost. From Adam to Christ every single man and woman was a slave. Slaves to the Power of Death.

Freedom the necessary existential state for Free Will, born slaves, no men had Free will. Ergo, God had to enforce the Birth of Freedom in the World. The Creature slaved to a Power beyond his comprehension, it was absolutely necessary that the Creator Himself came to the rescue. Men could not free themselves from the chains of Death. No matter what it would take, God had to come Himself to break those chains.

Christ was the First Born Free Man in Planet Earth since the Fall of Adam. He was the First Man who had an absolute perfect Knowledge of God, Man and the Universe, and accordingly it was in his hands to Restore the Natural Course of the Creation. The Free Will of God is the dimension where the Creation reaches Unlimited Freedom. Freedom and Free Will, both comes in the same pack, and both from the same Heart.

Christ had no Free Will but the Free Will of God, ergo He had God's Freedom.

The Creator and the Creation are one single Reality. The Creator is the Head and the Creation, His Body. From here, Christ is the Head of the Church and the Church the Body of Christ. From here. God is the Head of the Kingdom and the Kingdom the Body of the King. Ever since, and Forever, the Lord is the Source of the Freedom of the World and the World is the expression of His Free Will.

Free Will? What did understand Satan by it? Freedom to be an Olympian god beyond good and evil? Freedom to make of War the big game of the kings?

What did understand the Reformation by Free Will? The Power to destroy in the name of the Superior Race of the Teutons, Anglo-Saxons and Germans, the Unity that Jesus Christ came to tie?

Free Will?

Am born free, Freedom is my source, what are they talking about? They call themselves "divines" in order to call me nuts, and as such a donkey am not able to understand what Freedom is to me? Do I need a somebody to tell me what it is inside me? Who Luther and Calvin and the "English divines" thought they were?

But I understand, if my head is not God, but a man, king or whoever, I am what that head tell me he is. Am I wrong?

If my head is pure, my hands are pure. But if my head is full of blood, how cad my hands be clean?

I understand that you got to be "a divine" to convince me that even if my head is the head of a serial killer my hands can be clean as a white sun. And I see that having done so, for the price of an empire bought from the Devil, you have the right to call yourselves "divines". As a matter of fact this is what Satan thought of himself when he killed Adam: "Am I divine or not, guys?"

We see, then, that the discussion on Free Will is not Christian Speech, but Satan's Speech. We were created with the Soul of God's Free Will as the source of our Freedom. And we were Freed to enter in the Free Will we were deprived of, and enjoy Christ's Freedom to its ultimate expression.

However, and I begin to direct the lines to the Article on the table, not because the Teuton Race from the very beginning of its existence decanted the expression of their freedom to the side of the Devil, fighting to the death for having for themselves the Free Will of Satan, acting under which Two World Wars and a IV Reich already active, was made; not because this romantic crusade was invested and dressed with the sacred mantle of the name "divines", the truth is less firm and clear. And the truth is that Faith was the Promise of True Freedom, Freedom at the Image of the Glory of the Freedom of the sons of God.

How can Free Will and Freedom walk together in the Path of Life while the Intelligence of all things is kept away from Mankind?

By the Fall, Death bought a world for her son, Satan. By His Cross, the Lord bought a world for God. Even so, He who buys, is the Master, and he who is bought, is the slave. Ergo, the Christian Man was maintained in slavery, in the realm of Christ's Divinity, Faith the door to Paradise, in the Hope of the Coming of the Day of the Glory of the Freedom of the sons of God, this is to say, the entire Creation has been with the heart in the mist at the expectation of the Birth of the sons of Christ, born form Her Wife.

Until this Day Faith was subjected to Corruption. On which subject there is no need to talk, the Division of the Churches speaks volumes about.

From this Division, indeed, Destruction follows, according to God's Decree : "A House divided in itself, will be destroyed", and, in fact, this was the target of the Devil in producing the Reformation, as much as the necessary Age of the Pornocrat Popes.

All the Servants, from the existent Division inferred, without exception, are under the Disobedience of their Lord, who said it very clear: "The Devil will come to produce the Division, and by it destroy my Work".

Why then will not the Destruction follow?

Because the Ignorance found in Adam is the Ignorance to be found in the

churches. However, once the son of Christ is born and the Unification of His Father announced to the tree of the churches, the branch to stay away from Obedience, that branch will be cut off from the Tree of Life and thrown to the ground, piled and left awaiting the Final Sentence on it in the Day of the Universal Judgment.

Now we can enter in the Question of the Justification of Man

WE are accounted righteous before God, only for the merit of our Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ, by faith, and not for our own works or deservings: Wherefore, that we are justified by faith only is a most wholesome doctrine, and very full of comfort, as more largely is expressed in the Homily of Justification.

Of course it will be not me if I enter in the discussion following the rules of the slaves of the House of my Father. The Justification of Man comes from the Law by Moses received. Ignorance is the Key of Forgiveness. Adam did not received eternal damnation because and just because he was deceived by a son of God who dressed himself like a Messenger of God bringing the good news of Holy War as the door and road to the Paradise of Heaven. Had Adam any share in the Rebellion of Satan and his race against the Law of Peace, Ignorance not found, Christ would have been never called on to bring Salvation from Expiation, hence the Need of the Cross.

And this, the Ignorance of Adam, this is to say, the Ignorance of Mankind on the History of the House of the sons of God, plus the Ignorance coming from the absolute absence of experience in the Science of God and Evil, these two factors came to rise in God, during the moment of His Rage, the Speech of Redemption with which we are all so familiar.

These facts known, and known from the days of the Apostles to all the Servants of Christ, how could the Teuton Race bring forth a question by the fathers of the Church already resolved, and by the Church Catholic preached ever since Her Lord rained on the Apostles His Spirit?

Justification by Faith?

Of course not. The Justification of Man comes from the Ignorance of Adam, whose Ignorance was transmitted to the World as a whole.

Faith Justify?

Faith justify nothing.

Faith brings eternal life.

Ignorance brought Justification, universally extended all over Mankind, Past, Present and Future, by the Sanctity of the One who bought with His blood a world of slaves, to keep on living like a slaves until the Coming of a Day of Divine Freedom, Freedom at the Image and Likeness of the sons of God. In the name of this Hope of Freedom, born to pour Universal Salvation on the Human Race, the sons of God, of the house of Abraham, son of Adam, son of God, watered the Future with their blood.

How so, are you ignorant of how all the things were made, and you call yourselves "divines"?

How can the born Servant be free?

And how can you serve the Lord if you are not Servants of the Lord?

Don't you ever read the Bible : "You shall look after your Husband, who will be your Lord".

You, who call yourselves "divines", are you are so nuts as to think that God was talking about the woman? Do you deny that God was seeing the entire

Future of the Nations walking before His eyes while He was spoken to Adam, Eve and Satan? How so, He was calling Christ, while Adam was not even a father, and He was not calling the Church to be Christ's Wife?

O I understand, you want to serve the Lord but for a Teuton Man to be a Servant that is a terrible offence. You want to serve the Lord but not as His slaves.

Well, leave the House of the Servants of Christ. He is the Lord, and all who serves Him he does serve Him as a slave to his Master.

Is not the hand moved by the head, and the legs, and the hearts, and all parts of the bodies? Does the hand move the head?

The head is the lord of the body. How can the Lord be the Head of the Church and the churches, His Members, move independently, each one according her "Free Will"?

A hand going one direction, and the other on another, and so the legs, how will you call that sickness? Madness? Stupidity? Possession?

If you were the servant's Devil, imagine, how could you paralyze Christ's Salvation but immobilizing His Body by the Division of the churches?

You see, "divines", you have never been free. I give you this, between being slave to the Power of Death, or being Slave to the Power of Christ, Hell the reward of the Devil's servants, Eternal Life the reward of Christ's slaves, you have improved very much the situation of the Human Race. But you got to give me that if you had never broken the Unity, at this day the situation room of the Nations would be a very different one.

Division of the Christian Nations the way to World War, are you surprised to find the Nation most faithful devoted from her birth to the Devil's Work, Germany, heading those World Wars, while being used as the army of Hell raised to efface from the History of Mankind the Nation of the Jews?

Didn't you know that the Mercy of God has to rain upon the sons of Abraham that same Justice by all the Nations reached from a son of that same Abraham?

Don't you know that the Devil is in hurry moving the pieces on the table for finishing what the Germans did not, and in a hurry because its time is reaching the end to its Liberation given?

There is only one way to Justification: Baptism. The rest, to discuss how Justification came about, it is Devil's business. Action is needed. The Day of the Freedom is born. Satan knows it and knows is time is coming to an end, he got to destroy Israel before the Lord and His House: Unify all the churches.

What say you?

What you said is known, what you say too, what's next is the question. For all who wants to know the exact position of the churches of the Anglican Branch, here I insert the Last of the Speeches on Salvation and Church, given on the 29th of May 1982:

"the Eve of the Feast of Pentecost, was a day of great significance for the Anglican and Roman Catholic Churches on their path towards unity. In the footsteps of St Augustine of Canterbury whom his predecessor Pope Gregory the Great had sent from Rome to convert the English, Pope John Paul II visited Canterbury. There, in the church founded by Augustine, he and the present Archbishop of Canterbury, Dr Robert Runcie, along with representatives of the English churches and of the whole Anglican Communion, proclaimed and celebrated the one baptismal faith which we all share. The Pope and the Archbishop also gave thanks to God for the work of the first Anglican-Roman

Catholic International Commission (ARCIC I) whose Final Report had just been published, and agreed to the establishment of a new commission (ARCIC II) to continue its work.

The primary task of ARCIC II is to examine and try to resolve those doctrinal differences which still divide us. Accordingly, at the request of the Anglican Consultative Council (Newcastle, September 1981), we have addressed ourselves to the doctrine of justification, which at the time of the Reformation was a particular cause of contention. This request sprang out of a widespread view that the subject of justification and salvation is so central to the Christian faith that, unless there is assurance of agreement on this issue, there can be no full doctrinal agreement on this issue, there can be no full doctrinal agreement between our two Churches.

We have spent more than three years on this task. The doctrine of justification raises issues of great complexity and profound mystery. Furthermore it can be properly treated only within the wider context of the doctrine of salvation as a whole. This in turn has involved discussion of the role of the Church in Christ's saving work. Hence the title of our agreed statement: Salvation and the Church. We do not claim to have composed a complete treatment of the doctrine of the Church. Our discussion is limited to its role in salvation.

In our work, particularly on the doctrine of justification as such, we have been greatly helped by the statement Justification by Faith agreed in 1983 by the Lutheran-Roman Catholic Consultation in the USA (Augsburg Publishing House, Minneapolis 1985). This illustrates the interdependence of all ecumenical dialogues an interdependence which is an expression of the growing communion which already exists between the churches. For the search for unity is indivisible.

A question not discussed by the Commission, though of great contemporary importance, is that of the salvation of those who have no explicit faith in Christ. This has not been a matter of historical dispute between us. Our ancestors, though divided in Christian faith, shared a world in which the questions posed by people of other faiths, or none, could scarcely arise in their modern form. Today this is a matter for theological study in both our Communions.

Although our first concern has been to state our common faith on the issues in the doctrine of salvation which have proved problematic in the past, we believe that the world, now as much as ever, stands in need of the Gospel of God's free grace. Part of the challenge to Christians is this: how can we bear true witness to the good news of a God who accepts us, unless we can accept one another?

The purpose of our dialogue is the restoration of full ecclesial communion between us. Our work has recalled for us still wider perspectives? not only the unity of all Christian people but the fulfilment of all things in Christ.

We trust that God who has begun this good work in us will bring it to completion in Christ Jesus our Lord".

Mark my word, "divines", get unify, now!, forget about discussions. It may happen that while you are discussing the doors get shut, and once shut, they will open no more. Not that I say so; you who are so wise and have in the Scriptures your Salvation, read with open eyes the Word of the One whom you say is Your Lord.

Here is the Full Text:

Salvation and the Church Introduction

- The will of God, Father, Son and Holy Spirit, is to reconcile to himself all that he has created and sustains, to set free the creation from its bondage to decay, and to draw all humanity into communion with himself. Though we, his creatures, turn away from him through sin, God continues to call us and opens up for us the way to find him anew. To bring us to union with himself, the Father sent into the world Jesus Christ, his only Son, in whom all things were created. He is the image of the invisible God; he took flesh so that we in turn might share the divine nature and so reflect the glory of God. Through Christ's life, death and resurrection, the mystery of God's love is revealed, we are saved from the powers of evil, sin and death, and we receive a share in the life of God. All this is pure unmerited gift. The Spirit of God is poured into the hearts of believers? the Spirit of adoption, who makes us sons and daughters of God. The Spirit unites us with Christ and, in Christ, with all those who by faith are one with him. Through baptism we are united with Christ in his death and resurrection, we are by the power of the Spirit made members of one body, and together we participate in the life of God. This fellowship in one body, sustained through Word and Sacrament, is in the New Testament called koinonia (communion). "Koinonia with one another is entailed by our koinonia with God in Christ. This is the mystery of the Church" (ARCIC I The Final Report, Introduction 5). The community of believers united with Christ, gives praise and thanksgiving to God, celebrating the grace of Christ as they await his return in glory, when he will be all in all and will deliver to the Father a holy people. In the present age the Church is called to be a sign to the world of God's will for the healing and re-creation of the whole human race in Jesus Christ. As the Church proclaims the good news which it has received, the heart of its message must be salvation through the grace of God in Christ.
- The doctrine of salvation has in the past been a cause of some contention between Anglicans and Roman Catholics. Disagreements, focusing on the doctrine of justification, were already apparent in the Church of the later Middle Ages. In the sixteenth century these became a central matter of dispute between Roman Catholics and continental Reformers. Though the matter played a less crucial role in the English Reformation, the Church of England substantially adopted the principles expressed in the moderate Lutheran formulations of the Augsburg and W?berg Confessions. The Decree on Justification of the Council of Trent was not directed against the Anglican formularies, which had not yet been compiled. Anglican theologians reacted to the decree in a variety of ways, some sympathetic, others critical at least on particular points[1]. Nevertheless in the course of time Anglicans have widely come to understand that decree as a repudiation of their position. Since the sixteenth century, various debates on the doctrine of justification and on related issues (such as predestination, original sin, good works, sanctification) have been pursued within each of our Communions.
- 3. In the area of the doctrine of salvation, including justification, there was much agreement. Above all it was agreed that the act of God in bringing salvation to the human race and summoning individuals into a community to serve him is due solely to the mercy and grace of God, mediated and manifested through Jesus Christ in his ministry, atoning death and rising again. It was also no matter of dispute that God's grace evokes an authentic human response of faith which takes effect not only in the life of the individual

but also in the corporate life of the Church. The difficulties arose in explaining how divine grace related to human response, and these difficulties were compounded by a framework of discussion that concentrated too narrowly upon the individual.

- 4. One difficulty concerned the understanding of the faith through which we are justified, in so far as this included the individual's confidence in his or her own final salvation. Everyone agreed that confidence in God was a mark of Christian hope, but some feared that too extreme an emphasis on assurance, when linked with an absolute doctrine of divine predestination, encouraged a neglect of the need for justification to issue in holiness of life. Catholics thought that this Protestant understanding of assurance confused faith with a subjective state and would actually have the effect of undermining hope in God. Protestants suspected that Catholics, lacking confidence in the sufficiency of Christ's work and relying overmuch on human efforts, had lapsed either into a kind of scrupulosity or into a mere legalism and so lost Christian hope and assurance.
- A second difficulty concerned the understanding of justification and the associated concepts, righteousness and justice. Fearing that justification might seem to depend upon entitlement arising from good works, Reformation theologians laid great emphasis on the imputation to human beings of the righteousness of Christ. By this they meant that God declared the unrighteous to be accepted by him on account of the obedience of Christ and the merits of his passion. Catholics took them to be implying that imputed righteousness was a legal fiction, that is, a merely nominal righteousness that remained only external to the believer. They objected that this left the essential sinfulness of the individual unchanged, and excluded the imparted, or habitual and actual, righteousness created in the inner being of the regenerate person by the indwelling Spirit. Anglican theologians of the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries saw imputed and imparted righteousness as distinct to the mind, but indissoluble in worship and life. They also believed that, while we are made truly righteous because we are forgiven, we know ourselves to be in continuing need of forgiveness.
- 6. A third difficulty concerned the bearing of good works on salvation. Reformation theologians understood the Catholic emphasis on the value of good works and religious practices and ceremonies to imply that justification in some degree depended upon them in such a way as to compromise the sovereignty and unconditional freedom of God's grace. Catholics, on the other hand, saw the Reformation's understanding of justification as implying that human actions were of no worth in the sight of God. This, in their judgement, led to the negation of human freedom and responsibility, and to the denial that works, even when supernaturally inspired, deserved any reward. The Anglican theologians of the Reformation age, taking "by faith alone" to mean "only for the merit of Christ", also held good works to be not irrelevant to salvation, but imperfect and therefore inadequate. They saw good works as a necessary demonstration of faith, and faith itself as inseparable from hope and love.
- 7. Although the sixteenth-century disagreements centered mainly on the relationship of faith, righteousness and good works to the salvation of the individual, the role of the Church in the process of salvation constituted a fourth difficulty. As well as believing that Catholics did not acknowledge the true authority of Scripture over the Church, Protestants also felt that Catholic teaching and practice had interpreted the mediatorial role of the Church in such

a way as to derogate from the place of Christ as "sole mediator between God and man" (1 Tim 2:5). Catholics believed that Protestants were abandoning or at least devaluing the Church's ministry and sacraments, which were divinely appointed means of grace; also that they were rejecting its divinely given authority as guardian and interpreter of the revealed Word of God.

8. The break in communion between Anglicans and Roman Catholics encouraged each side to produce caricatures of the other's beliefs. There were also extremists on both sides whose words and actions seemed to confirm the anxieties of their opponents. The renewal of biblical scholarship, the development of historical and theological studies, new insights gained in mission, and the growth of mutual understanding within the ecumenical movement enable us to see our divisions in a new perspective. We have explored our common faith in the light of these shared experiences and are able in what follows to affirm that the four areas of difficulty outlined above need not be matters of dispute between us.

Salvation and Faith

- 9. When we confess that Jesus Christ is Lord, we praise and glorify God the Father, whose purpose for creation and salvation is realized in the Son, whom he sent to redeem us and to prepare a people for himself by the indwelling of the Holy Spirit. This wholly unmerited love of God for his creatures is expressed in the language of grace, which embraces not only the once for all death and resurrection of Christ, but also God's continuing work on our behalf. The Holy Spirit makes the fruits of Christ's sacrifice actual within the Church through Word and Sacrament: our sins are forgiven, we are enabled to respond to God's love, and we are conformed to the image of Christ. The human response to God's initiative is itself a gift of grace, and is at the same time a truly human, personal response. It is through grace that God's new creation is realized. Salvation is the gift of grace; it is by faith that it is appropriated.
- 10. The gracious action of God in Christ is revealed to us in the Gospel. The Gospel, by proclaiming Christ's definitive atoning work, the gift and pledge of the Holy Spirit to every believer, and the certainty of God's promise of eternal life, calls Christians to faith in the mercy of God and brings them assurance of salvation. It is God's gracious will that we, as his children, called through the Gospel and sharing in the means of grace, should be confident that the gift of eternal life is assured to each of us. Our response to this gift must come from our whole being. Faith, therefore, not only includes an assent to the truth of the Gospel but also involves commitment of our will to God in repentance and obedience to his call; otherwise faith is dead (Jas 2:17). Living faith is inseparable from love, issues in good works, and grows deeper in the course of a life of holiness. Christian assurance does not in any way remove from Christians the responsibility of working out their salvation with fear and trembling(Phil 2:12-13).
- 11. Christian assurance is not presumptuous. It is always founded upon God's unfailing faithfulness and not upon the measure of our response. God gives to the faithful all that is needed for their salvation. This is to believers a matter of absolute certitude. The word of Christ and his sacraments give us this assurance. Throughout the Christian tradition there runs the certainty of the infinite mercy of God, who gave his Son for us. However grave our sins may be, we are sure that God is always ready to forgive those who truly repent. For the baptised and justified may still sin. The New Testament contains warnings against presumption (e.g. Col 1:22 ff; Heb 10:36 ff). Christians may never presume on their perseverance but should live their lives with a sure confidence

in God's grace. Because of what God has revealed of his ultimate purpose in Christ Jesus, living faith is inseparable from hope.

Salvation and Justification

- 12. In baptism, the "sacrament of faith" (cf. Augustine Ep. 98,9), together with the whole Church, we confess Christ, enter into communion with him in his death and resurrection, and through the gift of the Holy Spirit are delivered from our sinfulness and raised to new life. The Scriptures speak of this salvation in many ways. They tell of God's eternal will fulfilled in Christ's sacrifice on the cross, his decisive act in overcoming the power of evil and reconciling sinners who believe. They also speak of the abiding presence and action of the Holy Spirit in the Church, of his present gifts of grace, and of our continuing life and growth in this grace as we are transformed into the likeness of Christ. They also speak of our entry with all the saints into our eternal inheritance, of our vision of God face to face, and of our participation in the joy of the final resurrection.
- In order to describe salvation in all its fullness, the New Testament employs a wide variety of language. Some terms are of more fundamental importance than others: but there is no controlling term or concept; they complement one another. The concept of salvation has the allembracing meaning of the deliverance of human beings from evil and their establishment in that fullness of life which is God's will for them (e.g. Lk 1:77; John 3:16-17; cf. John 10:10). The idea of reconciliation and forgiveness stresses the restoration of broken relationships (e.g. 2 Cor 5:18 ff; Eph 2:13-18). The language of expiation or propitiation (hilasterion etc.), drawn from the context of sacrifice, denotes the putting away of sin and the reestablishment of right relationship with God (e.g. Rm 3:25; Heb 2:17; 1 John 2:2, 4:10). To speak of redemption or liberation is to talk of rescue from bondage so as to become God's own possession, and of freedom bought for a price (e.g. Mk 10:45; Eph 1:7; 1 Pet 1:18 ff). The notion of adoption refers to our new identity as children of God (e.g. Rm 8:15-17.23; Gal 4:4 ff). Terms like regeneration, rebirth and new creation speak of God's work of re-creation and the beginning of new life (e.g. John 3:3; 2 Cor 5:17; 1Pet 1:23). The theme of sanctification underlines the fact that God has made us his own and calls us to holiness of life (e.g. John 17:15ff Eph 4:25 ff; 1 Pet 1:15 ff). The concept of justification relates to the removal of condemnation and to a new standing in the eyes of God (e.g. Rm 3:22 ff, 4:5, 5:1 ff; Acts 13:39). Salvation in all these aspects comes to each believer as he or she is incorporated into the believing community.
- 14. Roman Catholic interpreters of Trent and Anglican theologians alike have insisted that justification and sanctification are neither wholly distinct from nor unrelated to one another. The discussion, however, has been confused by differing understandings of the word justification and its associated words. The theologians of the Reformation tended to follow the predominant usage of the New Testament, in which the verb dikaioun usually means "to pronounce righteous". The Catholic theologians, and notably the Council of Trent, tended to follow the usage of patristic and medieval Latin writers, for whom justificare (the traditional translation of dikaioun) signified "to make righteous" Thus the Catholic understanding of the process of justification, following Latin usage, tended to include elements of salvation which the Reformers would describe as belonging to sanctification rather than justification. As a consequence, Protestants took Catholics to be emphasising sanctification in such a way that absolute gratuitousness of salvation was threatened. On the other side, Catholics feared that Protestants were so

stressing the justifying action of God that sanctification and human responsibility were gravely depreciated.

- 15. Justification and sanctification are two aspects of the same divine act (1 Cor 6:11). This does not mean that justification is a reward for faith or works: rather, when God promises the removal of our condemnation and gives us a new standing before him, this justification is indissolubly linked with his sanctifying recreation of us in grace. This transformation is being worked out in the course of our pilgrimage, despite the imperfections and ambiguities of our lives. God's grace effects what he declares: his creative word imparts what it imputes. By pronouncing us righteous, God also makes us righteous. He imparts a righteousness which is his and becomes ours[2].
- 16. God's declaration that we are accepted because of Christ together with his gift of continual renewal by the indwelling Spirit is the pledge and first instalment of the final consummation and the ground of the believer's hope. In the life of the Church, the finality of God's declaration and the continuing movement towards our ultimate goal are reflected in the relation between baptism and the eucharist. Baptism is the unrepeatable sacrament of justification and incorporation into Christ (1 Cor 6:11; 12:12-13; Gal 3:27). The eucharist is the repeated sacrament by which the life of Christ's body is constituted and renewed, when the death of Christ is proclaimed until he comes again (1 Cor 11:26).
- 17. Sanctification is that work of God which actualizes in believers the righteousness and holiness without which no one may see the Lord. It involves the restoring and perfecting in humanity of the likeness of God marred by sin. We grow into conformity with Christ, the perfect image of God, until he appears and we shall be like him. The law of Christ has become the pattern of our life. We are enabled to produce works which are the fruit of the Holy Spirit. Thus the righteousness of God our Savior is not only declared in a judgement made by God in favor of sinners, but is also bestowed as a gift to make them righteous. Even though our acceptance of this gift will be imperfect in this life, Scripture speaks of the righteousness of believers as already effected by God through Christ: "he raised us up with him and seated us with him in the heavenly realms in Christ Jesus" (Eph 2:6).
- The term justification speaks of a divine declaration of acquittal, of the love of God manifested to an alienated and lost humanity prior to any entitlement on our part. Through the life, death and resurrection of Christ, God declares that we are forgiven, accepted and reconciled to him. Instead of our own strivings to make ourselves acceptable to God, Christ's perfect righteousness is reckoned to our account. God's declaration is sometimes expressed in the New Testament in the language of law, as a verdict of acquittal of the sinner. The divine court, where the verdict is given, is the court of the judge who is also Father and Saviour of those whom he judges. While in a human lawcourt an acquittal is an external, even impersonal act, God's declaration of forgiveness and reconciliation does not leave repentant believers unchanged but establishes with them an intimate and personal relationship. The remission of sins is accompanied by a present renewal, the rebirth to newness of life. Thus the juridical aspect of justification, while expressing an important facet of the truth, is not the exclusive notion in the light of which all other biblical ideas and images of salvation must be interpreted. For God sanctifies as well as acquits us. He is not only the judge who passes a verdict in our favor, but also the Father who gave his only Son to do for us what we could not do for ourselves. By virtue of Christ's life and self-oblation on the cross we

are able with him to say through the Holy Spirit, "Abba, Father" (Rm 8:15; Gal 4:6).

Salvation and Good Works

- As justification and sanctification are aspects of the same divine act, so also living faith and love are inseparable in the believer. Faith is no merely private and interior disposition, but by its very nature is acted out: good works necessarily spring from a living faith (Jas 2:17 ff). They are truly good because, as the fruit of the Spirit, they are done in God, in dependence on God's grace. The person and work of Christ are central to any understanding of the relation between salvation and good works. God has brought into being in the person of his Son a renewed humanity, the humanity of Jesus Christ himself, the "last Adam" or "second man" (cf. 1 Cor 15:45, 47). He is the firstborn of all creation, the prototype and source of our new humanity. Salvation involves participating in that humanity, so as to live the human life now as God has refashioned it in Christ (cf. Col 3:10). This understanding of our humanity as made new in Christ by God's transforming power throws light on the New Testament affirmation that, while we are not saved because of works, we are created in Christ for good works (Eph 2:8 ff). "Not because of work" nothing even of our best achievement or good will can give us any claim to God's gift of renewed humanity. God's recreating deed originates in himself and nowhere else. "For good works": good works are the fruit of the freedom God has given us in his Son. In restoring us to his likeness, God confers freedom on fallen humanity. This is not the natural freedom to choose between alternatives, but the freedom to do his will: "the law of the Spirit of life in Christ Jesus has set me free from the law of sin and death ... in order that the just requirement of the law might be fulfilled in us" (Rm 8:2, 4). We are freed and enabled to keep the commandments of God by the power of the Holy Spirit, to live faithfully as God's people and to grow in love within the discipline of the community, bringing forth the fruit of the Spirit. Inasmuch as we are recreated in his "own image and likeness", God involves us in what he freely does to realise our salvation (Phil 2:12 ff). In the words of Augustine: "The God who made you without you, without you does not make you just" (Sermons 169,13). Thus from the divine work follows the human work: it is we who live and act in a fully human way, yet never on our own or in a self-sufficient independence. This fully human life is possible if we live in the freedom and activity of Christ who, in the words of St Paul, "lives in me" (Gal 2:20).
- 20. To speak thus of freedom in Christ is to stress that it is in Jesus Christ that the shape of human life lived in total liberty before God is decisively disclosed. Our liberation commits us to an order of social existence in which the individual finds fulfilment in relationship with others. Thus freedom in Christ does not imply an isolated life, but rather one lived in a community governed by mutual obligations. Life in Christ sets us free from the demonic forces manifested not only in individual but also in social egotism.
- 21. The growth of believers to maturity, and indeed the common life of the Church, are impaired by repeated lapses into sin. Even good works, done in God and under the grace of the Spirit, can be flawed by human weakness and self-centeredness, and therefore it is by daily repentance and faith that we reappropriate our freedom from sin. This insight has sometimes been expressed by the paradox that we are at once just and sinners[4].
- 22. The believer's pilgrimage of faith is lived out with the mutual support of all the people of God. In Christ all the faithful, both living and departed, are bound together in a communion of prayer. The Church is

entrusted by the Lord with authority to pronounce forgiveness in his name to those who have fallen into sin and repent. The Church may also help them to a deeper realisation of the mercy of God by asking for practical amends for what has been done amiss. Such penitential disciplines, and other devotional practices, are not in any way intended to put God under obligation. Rather, they provide a form in which one may more fully embrace the free mercy of God.

- The works of the righteous performed in Christian freedom and in the love of God which the Holy Spirit gives us are the object of God's commendation and receive his reward (Mt 6:4; 2 Tim 4:8; Heb 10:35, 11:6). In accordance with God's promise, those who have responded to the grace of God and consequently borne fruit for the Kingdom will be granted a place in that Kingdom when it comes at Christ's appearing. They will be one with the society of the redeemed in rejoicing in the vision of God. This reward is a gift depending wholly on divine grace. It is in this perspective that the language of "merit"[5] must be understood, so that we can say with Augustine: "When God crowns our merits it is his own gifts that he crowns" (Ep 194,5.19). Christians rest their confidence for salvation on the power, mercy and loving-kindness of God and pray that the good work which God has begun he will in grace complete. They do not trust in their own merits but in Christ's. God is true to his promise to "render to everyone according to his works" (Rm 2:6); yet when we have done all that is commanded we must still say: "We are unprofitable servants, we have only done our duty" (Lk 17:10).
- 24. The language of merit and good works, therefore, when properly understood, in no way implies that human beings, once justified, are able to put God in their debt. Still less does it imply that justification itself is anything but a totally unmerited gift. Even the very first movements which lead to justification, such as repentance, the desire for forgiveness and even faith itself, are the work of God as he touches our hearts by the illumination of the Holy Spirit.

The Church and Salvation

- 25. The doctrine of salvation is intimately associated with the doctrine of the Church, which "is the community of those reconciled with God and with each other because it is the community of those who believe in Jesus Christ and are justified through God's grace" (ARCIC I, The Final Report, Introduction 8). The Church proclaims the good news of our justification and salvation by God in Christ Jesus. Those who respond in faith to the Gospel come to the way of salvation through incorporation by baptism into the Church. They are called to witness to the Gospel as members of the Church.
- 26. The Church is itself a sign of the Gospel, for its vocation is to embody and reveal the redemptive power contained within the Gospel. What Christ achieved through his cross and resurrection is communicated by the Holy Spirit in the life of the Church. in its life the Church signifies God's gracious purpose for his creation and his power to realise this purpose for sinful humanity. It is thus a sign and foretaste of God's Kingdom. In fulfilling this vocation the Church is called to follow the way of Jesus Christ, who being he image of the Father look the form of a servant and was made perfect by suffering. When for Christ's sake the Church encounters opposition and persecution, it is then a sign of God's choice of the way of the cross to save the world.
- 27. This once-for-all atoning work of Christ, realised and experienced in the life of the Church and celebrated in the eucharist, constitutes the free gift of God which is proclaimed in the Gospel. In the service of this mystery the Church is entrusted with a responsibility of stewardship. The Church is called to

fulfil this stewardship by proclaiming the, Gospel and by its sacramental and pastoral life. The Church is required to carry out this task in such a way that the Gospel may be heard as good news in differing ages and cultures, while at the same time seeking neither to alter its content nor minimise its demands. For the Church is servant and not master of what it has received, Indeed, its power to affect the hearer comes not from our unaided efforts but entirely from the Holy Spirit, who is the source of the Church's life and who enables it to be truly the steward of God's design.

- 28. The Church is also an instrument for the realisation of God's eternal design, the salvation of humanity. While we recognise that the Holy Spirit acts outside the community of Christians, nevertheless it is within the Church, where the Holy Spirit gives and nurtures the new life of the Kingdom, that the Gospel becomes a manifest reality. As this instrument, the Church is called to be a living expression of the Gospel, evangelised and evangelising, reconciled and reconciling, gathered together and gathering others. In its ministry to the world the Church seeks to share with all people the grace by which its own life is created and sustained.
- 29. The Church is therefore called to be, and by the power of the Spirit actually is, a sign, steward and instrument of God's design. For this reason it can be described as sacrament of God's saving work. However, the credibility of the Church's witness is undermined by the sins of its members, the shortcomings of its human institutions, and not least by the scandal of division. The Church is in constant need of repentance and renewal so that it can be more clearly seen for what it is: the one, holy body of Christ. Nevertheless the Gospel contains the promise that despite all failures the Church will be used by God in the achievement of his purpose: to draw humanity into communion with himself and with one another, so as to share his life, the life of the Holy Trinity.
- The Church which in this world is always in need of renewal and purification, is already here and now a foretaste of God's Kingdom in a world still awaiting its consummation - a world full of suffering and injustice, division and strife. Thus Paul speaks of a fellowship which is called to transcend the seemingly insuperable divisions of the world; where all, because of their equal standing before the Lord, must be equally accepted by one another; a fellowship where, since all are justified by the grace of God, all may learn to do justice to one another; where racial, ethnic, social, sexual and other distinctions no longer cause discrimination and alienation (Gal 3:28). Those who are justified by grace, and who are sustained in the life of Christ through Word and Sacrament, are liberated from self-centeredness and thus empowered to act freely and live at peace with God and with one another. The Church, as the community of the justified, is called to embody the good news that forgiveness is a gift to be received from God and shared with others (Mt 6:14-15). Thus the message of the Church is not a private pietism irrelevant to contemporary society, nor can it be reduced to a political or social programme. Only a reconciled and reconciling community, faithful to its Lord, in which human divisions are being overcome, can speak with full integrity to an alienated, divided world, and so be a credible witness to God's saving action in Christ and a foretaste of God's Kingdom. Yet, until the Kingdom is realised in its fullness, the Church is marked by human limitation and imperfection. It is the beginning and not yet the end, the first fruits and not yet the final harvest.
- 31. The source of the Church's hope for the world is God, who has never abandoned the created order and has never ceased to work within it. It is called, empowered, and sent by God to proclaim this hope and to communicate

to the world the conviction on which this hope is founded. Thus the Church participates in Christ's mission to the world through the proclamation of the Gospel of salvation by its words and deeds. It is called to affirm the sacredness and dignity of the person, the value of natural and political communities and the divine purpose for the human race as a whole; to witness against the structures of sin in society, addressing humanity with the Gospel of repentance and forgiveness and making intercession for the world. it is called to be an agent of justice and compassion, challenging and assisting society's attempts to achieve just judgement, never forgetting that in the light of God's justice all human solutions are provisional? While the Church pursues its mission and pilgrimage in the world, it looks forward to "the end, when Christ delivers the kingdom to God the Father after destroying every rule and every authority and power" (1 Cor 15:24).

Conclusion

32. The balance and coherence of the constitutive elements of the Christian doctrine of salvation had become partially obscured in the course of history and controversy. In our work we have tried to rediscover that balance and coherence and to express it together. We are agreed that this is not an area where any remaining differences of theological interpretation or ecclesiological emphasis, either within or between our Communions, can justify our continuing separation. We believe that our two Communions are agreed on the essential aspects of the doctrine of salvation and on the Church's role within it. We have also realised the central meaning and profound significance which the message of justification and sanctification, within the whole doctrine of salvation, continues to have for us today. We offer our agreement to our two Communions as a contribution to re conciliation between us, so that together we may witness to God's salvation in the midst of the anxieties, struggles and hopes of our world.

Notes

- 1. The Council of Trent's Decree on Justification was issued after seven months' work on 13 January 1547 and should be read as a whole. It is printed in Denzinger-Sch?tzer, Enchiridion Symbolorum Definitionurn et Declarationum (=DS) (Herder, Freiburg 1965), DS 1520-1583. English translation in H. Schroeder (ed.), The Canons and Decrees of the Council of Trent (Tan Books and Publishers, USA, 1978); extracts in J. Neuner and J. Dupuis (ed.), The Christian Faith in the Doctrinal Documents of the Catholic Church (Collins, 1983) Nos. 1924-83. The principal documents and authors for Anglican consideration of the subject in the period before 1661 are the Thirty-nine Articles (1571); Cranmer's Homily "Of Salvation" (1547), to which Article 11 refers; Richard Hooker's Learned Discourse of Justification (1586); Richard Field, Of the Church, III Appendix, chapter 11 (1606); John Davenant, Disputatio de Iustitia habituali et actuali (1631, translated by Allport, 1844 as Treatise on Justification); William Forbes, Considerationes Modestae et Pacificae I (posthumously published 1658, translated 1850 as Calm Considerations).
- 2. For Richard Hooker, "we participate Christ partly by imputation, as when those things which he did and suffered for us are imputed unto us for righteousness; partly by habitual and real infusion, as when grace is inwardly bestowed while we are on earth, and afterwards more fully both our souls and bodies made like unto his in glory" Laws of Ecclesiastical Polity, V. lvi. 11).
 - 3. Cf. Article 10 of the Thirty-nine Articles: "we have no power to do good

works pleasant and acceptable to God, without the grace of God by Christ preventing us, that we may have a good will, and working with us (cooperante), when we have that good will." This echoes Augustine's language about "prevenient" and "co-operating" grace (De Gratia et libero arbitrio 17, 33).

- 4.Simul iustus et peccator is a Lutheran not a characteristically Anglican expression. It does not appear in Trent's Decree on Justification. The Second Vatican Council (Lumen Gentium 8) speaks of the Church as "holy and at the same time always in need of purification" (sancta simul et semper purificanda). The paradox is ultimately of Augustinian inspiration (cf. En. in Ps. 140, 14 f and Ep. 185, 40).
- 5. Misunderstanding has been caused by the fact that the Latin mereor has a range of meanings, from "deserve" to "be granted and "obtain". This range is reflected in patristic and mediaeval Christian Latin usage. By "merit" the Council of Trent (DS 1545) did not mean the exact equality between achievement and reward, except in the case of Christ, but the value of goodness, as being, in the divine liberality, pleasing to God who is not so unjust as to overlook this work and love of the justified (Heb 6:10).

CHAPTER XII Of Good Works.

ALBEIT that good works, which are the fruits of faith, and follow after justification, cannot put away our sins, and endure the severity of God's judgment; yet are they pleasing and acceptable to God in Christ, and do spring out necessarily of a true and lively faith; insomuch that by them a lively faith may be as evidently known, as a tree discerned by the fruit.

Good work is to land in a New World and proceed to the total extermination of the Natives. Good Work is to rise World War, not once, but twice. Good work is to sit and turn the back on the massacres of a tyrant upon a defenseless population, talking about Syria. Good work! Now, what is bad work?

Bad work is to say to a man born Christian "sin, sin, sin, don't worry, the blood of Christ washes all the blood in your hands from your murders. Bad work is to command the Princes of Germany to butcher by the hundreds of thousands those "dogs", actually they were peasants, and peasants claiming bread and land to work. But this kind of work, of course, is considered bad from the point of view of the Catholic Satan; from the point of view of the God of Luther, Germany and the Reformation, those were good works, and good works by the Blood of Christ to be washed right away, the more it washes the more is glorified the Lord. Hey man Halleluiah, let's kill nonstop!

The Wars of Religion, the Holy War by the Reformation decreed in the name of God. O Satan, How clever you were!

Ever since the Reformation, Germany have been waging War on Europe and the World nonstop.

Now we understand why, because the Blood of Christ washes even the continuous violation of the Mother of God, and if so, boy, rape the Wife of the Lord as many times as you like, the Blood of Christ, well, you know, Christ was nuts, it washes your pennies too.

One wonder what crime doesn't wash the blood of Luther's Christ.

Let me put it this way. Is anybody there who thinks that knowing what we know today about that motherfucker son of God called Satan, if Adam knew this would he had given a fuck for Satan's masquerade coming in the name of God?

Though we knew the German Race from before the Reformation, as a matter of fact no one knew that that same holy people claiming to be the New Israel, ergo had to blot off from the face of the world the Old Israel, were the People to lead the entire Mankind to total destruction.

They said that they had prophets, because the Spirit of God, why then no one saw the Devil in Luther and his Nation crawling like a snake toward the House of World Slaughter?

Good work?

Good work to take away from a father his children?

Good work is not necessary for salvation?

And what was the Cross, another masquerade?

The same Devil who manage to fuck the man who God Himself had modeled to His Image, would he failed to deceive a nation of barbarians whose only passion was to drink blood, the Germans?

Theologically speaking Luther was the perfect madman, a most beautiful son of the Devil. To destroy the Church by Christ founded had not the Devil to raise War on the Lord's Wife?

If the Devil had spoken to the Catholic Church sweet words of love, by logic we would infer that she was not the Wife of the Lord. But from calling Her a whore and raising War on Her, we infer that She is the Wife of the Lord, and Her death was absolutely necessary to the Devil's Plan.

The existence of the Civilization is based on the Life of the Catholic Church. If she were destroyed the entire world would sink in the Devil's hand; immediately God would turn His back on Earth and this creation should be forgotten.

Luther was a lawyer who had mistaken his way. He dismissed his own life because a storm, the idiot! He had to fall in schizophrenic.

Take any lawyer and shut him up in a cloister cell for life, let's see how long it takes him in becoming a total madman ready to do anything to get free.

Luther came out full with the Hate of the Devil against the Wife of the Lord.

The Teuton Destiny, or is it Fate?

What is good work?

You who are so clever, better than a machine to remember numbers and give no word, why so?, anyway, you haven't read the Bible, if you had you would had read what is written on God Works: Go away, sons of the Devil, because I was hungry and you rose the price of the bread to hell, I was naked and you took away my work with which to get dressing, I was thirsty and you turned my water into alcohol, I was in jail and you left me there without making me justice ... and so on and so forth.

The question that Luther and the Reformation came to put on the table is that Jesus, who said those words, was the Anti-Christ, because as a matter of fact he who is justified by the Blood of Christ he got the right to piss on the words of Jesus.

This operation of separating Jesus from Christ is so old as the first heresiarchs, and it was to the last Pope, Old Benedict XVI, in his youth to fight back the last try of the Devil in the realm of the Theology of the Liberation.

The wit of Satan, the Devil, is always related to the heart of the good man; Satan entangles his own malice in the heart of the good man in order to push him away from himself. A good work was Benedict XVI's. You can't separate Jesus from Christ, or Crist from Jesus, There is no Christ without Jesus. There is only One Jesus Christ.

The glory of the Reformation was to separate Christ from Jesus. Luther and the Reformers separated Jesus from Christ; they wanted Christ, they didn't want Jesus: Husband and Lord of the Catholic Church, the Mother of His son to be born.

The Devil, had to destroy the Mother before she gave birth to that generation of sons of God, from the House of Jesus Christ, on whom the Apostle said that the entire Creation was holding its heart together in expectation of the coming of the sons of God. Themselves sons of God, from the line of Abraham, the Apostle could not speak but about a line to be born from their Father in Heaven, ad Lord of the Catholic Church, His Wife.

This said, the mask of the Devil off the face of Luther and his good works, I got to ask, when did said the Catholic Church that to get baptized the aspirant has to go through a Hercules Programme.

Only a madman, raised by the Devil himself, could come with this

assertion. Only a nation of barbarians could follow that man to hell. And bring hell on the entire human race too.

From the very beginning the only condition to be justified, this is to say: to become a Citizen of the Kingdom of God, and ergo to be entitled to eternal life in the Paradise of God, it is to say these words: "Jesus is the Son of the Living God". Finito! Immediately the Baptism proceed, and with it all the sins, bloody or not bloody, remember the crimes of the latter Saint Paul, all the deeds committed under the Power of the Death, all are forgiven. This is the Holy Grace by Jesus given to the Human Race.

What was talking about Luther, and what are still talking about the Disciples of that son of the Devil?

When did the my Mother stop the Mouth to confess the Words of Salvation and repress Herself to baptize the soul of the man in the name of God?

What accusation was that?

Only the Devil could say so and only the Devil had the Power to slave the Mind of the nations to the doctrine of his disciples, Luther, Calvin, and Henry the VIII.

If that was so, my Mother putting conditions to be baptized, why do the defendants of the Devil proceed not to call to the tribunal their witnesses?

It was the Doctrine of the Saint Spirit, by whom was conceived the Catholic Church, that the Eternal Life is given to every man and woman who Confess with the mouth what with their heart they believe, and with the Baptism comes the Citizenship of the Kingdom of God. The accusation of Luther against my Mother had no foundation but the Power of the Devil. The more untrue the accusation the more we considered the Baptism of the Children.

Faith is offered for free to Mankind, and by the Baptism of the Children this Gift from Heaven to Earth is accomplished and was maintained alive by the Wife of the Lord all along the Dark Ages. How could a nation accept such a speech of the devil as to the Catholic Church putting conditions to receive Baptism?

The real matter of fact was that the Reformation was a political expropriation of the possession of the national churches in the name of the Greed of the kings. The massacres of Henry the Eight, the massacres of the German Princes, on the Peasants, of England and Germany, is witness, and those innocents will rise in the Last Day to defend their cause.

So, to turn from Politics to Religion, we have a fact, man receives baptism and man becomes a citizen, not of a kingdom of this world, but a citizen of a kingdom not of this world. This causes a kind of dilemma in the existence of man. As a son of God a man lives in a world under the Power of Death. As a son of man he lives by the law of a Kingdom not of this world. Immortal in a mortal body. How to deal with this situation? Where is the Model according whose life keep his?

Where is the Doubt? We have the Model in us. Christ lives in us. We lead our lives according to Christ's Law. Human laws are for animals. So they call themselves, political animals. The Law of Christ is far above the law of the animal human as the stars are from earth. The animal human has only one law, gold and power, pleasure and satisfaction of his own passions. Of course, the growth of the Civilization in the realm of the Law of Christ has impregnated the laws of men with a sense of Justice and Freedom far better by human expectations ever conceived. Mercy, Love, Equality, Brotherhood, were words by Christ brought to the human society.

Whatever has come to pass to our Civilization the society born under the

Law of Christ keeps its path on those premises even when getting apart from Faith. In the realm of the deeds a Christian and a non-Christian feel the same social aspirations and both fight hand by hand on issues concerning both.

Where is the issue, then, about good works?

There was never an issue about it. We are born in Christ to be rays of His Glory among the nations.

Did Jesus die for Himself? All He did was for His own sake?

If all He did was for Man's sake, when did the Apostles said that we need good works to save ourselves? We follow our teacher, Christ Jesus, whose works were done in order to save us, and so we do in order to save others.

What's the fuss with Good Works? The Devil needs to keep alive the flame of the madness of the Reformation; it is understandable. Why the Anglican Church wants to be the Priest of that Flame, this is the Question.

It is a wonder to read the river of letters born to kill the aspiration of Freedom of the Faith, using the Scriptures to justify the reign of Terror by the daughter, that wonder of the world, of the Anti Christ Henry the VIII, implanted on England. As no witness could be called to sustain the accusation on the Church Catholic of having raised conditions to get baptized, they had to invent a whole theology without a God, a Christ without a Jesus, in this way becoming idolaters by the divinization of a simple man. Once Christ alienated from Jesus, it was absolutely natural to accept as head another man; after all Christ was dead, and the church needs a head, a serial killer most beautiful son of Satan, ao what? Free Will, remember? Would God send to hell His own creation because the creature uses his freedom?

Clever was the Devil, always was.

And to top the pie, what is the highest work Christ did, and ergo to be attained by a man? Let me guess: To do the Will of God?

The Will of God is written. The first of the generation of the sons of God by the entire creation awaited for so long is born. I will not enter in discussion with any defendant of the Devil's Theology. You enter, and then talk. And if you think that for being a son of God am quite hard on you and your teachers, remember that you called my Mother, the Wife of the Lord, a whore. Watch out, God is a fire! Don't you be the log!

CHAPTER XIII Of Works before Justification.

Works done before the Grace of Christ, and the inspiration of His Spirit, are not pleasant to God, forasmuch as they spring not of faith in Jesus Christ; neither do they make men meet to receive grace, or (as the school-authors say) deserve grace of congruity; yea, rather, for that they are not done as God hath willed and commanded them to be done, we doubt not but they have the nature of sin.

We can cut short all this roaming and messing around the Doctrine of Christ saying that Christ being the Head of the Man, an God the Head of Christ, no work of Man done without the Faith of Christ can be acceptable to God as in itself a deed good enough to be rewarded with eternal life. The Faith of Christ is that God works in everything that men do in order to the Salvation of the Nations. Man has been created to the Image of God, and as Man's Intellect springs from this Universal Source, the Omniscience of God is the land in which the deed of Man, as Universal Individual, comes alive.

As I said before, God's Freedom is the source of our Freedom, and consequently everything made under His Law is good in His eyes, while everything done out and away from this Main Universal Source, His Omniscience, tends to death and Self-Destruction. How could God love this walk on the Path of the Devil towards Hell?

However, the creation of life to God's Image implies a material substratum, and Reason comes with it. God does not creates robots and machines, but living beings, on whom He rises the natural intellect to the dimension of His Own Nature, this way opening to the Intelligence of His Creatures their Creator's Omniscience as a dimension of their own. As this Omniscience has rules in itself, and these determined by the Holy Spirit of our Creator, our deeds cannot tend but to Freedom Life, Joy, Science, Wisdom, Art, and everything which makes the delight of God Himself. From this Delight those creatures who gave themselves Satan as Head, they went away, and by digging more and more into that ground they have finished making Hell their eternal home.

We understand that God, Eternity and Infinity have become One Reality, One Entity, unbreakable, inseparable, the Foundations of the Creation, inside whose Dimension everything subsist under the Light of the Law of the Spirit of God's Freedom. God's love for Life being eternal and infinite nothing can be more hateful to His Spirit that the Rebellion against the Light of a Law by whose splendorous freedom Immortality is dressed with Indestructibility. God could not be Love if He was not Justice. Justice is the Column of the Kingdom of God and the Rock upon which God's Civilization is based. God's Conception of Justice being the womb of His Kingdom, out and away from this Justice every single deed tends to kindle War. And as War is the Fruit of the Death, nothing can be more hateful to the Lord of Life that the Destruction by war brought to the Creation.

We lament the conception of the people on God's Power. Not because God is not Power, but because this God's Power is not related to "the power" according the king and queens of this world. God's Power is not defined by "the

power" to destroy nations and peoples, but by "the Power" for Cosmic and Universal Creation. To Someone as Him the conception of power the kings/queens and rulers of this world have, it is an aberration.

Power is related to Creation, to Life, to Freedom, to Justice, to Love, to Good. The other "Power" is related to Evil. Power to destroy, power to manipulate, power to massacre, power to humiliate, power to kill, this conception of Power comes from the Devil. The Power of God is to create a New Cosmos and to open for the Creation a New Road to Universal and Eternal Civilization. Eternity, Infinity and God are the three Pillars of the Creation. Ergo, every deed worked away from this Law cannot be pleasant to the Creator, not because He is the Ruler and the King and the Lord and He rules and reigns putting on the Table His Omnipotent Arm as Reason, making of His Throne a Crown of Terror, but because Civilization between Worlds and Creator and Creature is a fine line upon a rope in the abyss, and only the Love for Justice can sustain the Path to Life and Freedom.

This is the entire doctrine of Christ upon Freedom and Justice. No man before Christ, and outside the Old Testament Heroes, could be pleasant to God as much because their works were done away from the Law of the Creation. But no man could kindle the Hate of God upon him to the point of calling on himself his total destruction due to Ignorance as the root of the deeds of men. Sold to the power of Death by an Act of High Treason against the Crown of the Son of God, by Satan perpetrated when he proclaimed himself the Head of the religion of his nation, the nations lived in sin from the cradle to the grave. The Mercy of God did create in the old world the necessary social international conditions in order to bring Christ's Salvation on Mankind. Which means that everything was worked by God, and God keeps working in His Creation in order to save the Nations from Ignorance and into His Kingdom.

What else has son of God to believe or know? And if you don't know these simple facts how can you boast of serving God? What was the point in dispute and what's the use of any dispute? The Doctrine of Christ is One and Unique: God is His Head, we are His Body, ergo: He does everything, we are only the expression of His Will and Omniscience. Man without his Creator falls into the realm of its own self-destruction, as our History is witness and we are witnessing in our own days.

Does this Fact, us moved by the Spirit of God, deprive us of our Freedom? Of course not, we are born to fight back the Devil's Work and conquer the doors of Hell.

The Last Battle is raging. How could we sit by the top of the mountain while in the valley below our people, Mankind, is been killed?

If you have the Spirit of God, why you divided what God United in the Faith of Christ?

If you are people of God, why you gave yourself a "man" as heads of your religion's nation? This, the Rising of Christ as the Head of the Churches of the Nations, was the Goal which the Devil fought to the death. You, that speaks of good works, and declare yourselves the teachers of men, don't you know that the Sin of Satan was of Rebellion against the Rising of Christ as Head of the Universal Religion, the churches of the nations as the branches of the Tree of Faith? As much as Satan, a son of God, all the sons of God were the Political Head of their Nations. To Unify all the Nations in the Kingdom of the Spirit of God, which you call the Holy Ghost, the FATHER gave them the SON as the Head of their People's Religion. To contest this Act of Universal Uniformity Satan rose in rebellion and declared War on the Holy Ghost, as you, Anglicans,

call it. He declared himself Head of the Religion of His People.

This is the Truth. Now you can see for yourselves from the deed of your nation the nature of the source of your Reformation.

And to turn to the main point : Was it not the deed of Gandhi pleasant to God?

Which deeds were more beautiful in God's eyes to God : Mother Teresa de Calcutta's, of Gandhi's?

This is the Question. After all what says Jesus? : Many who believes themselves of the Kingdom shall be sent away, and some who are not shall be brought in. Yes, who are you to say this is good or no good in the eyes of God? Because you call yourselves "divines" you think you can say to God what is right or wrong? The duty of the Christian Man is to come down to the valley and fight the Last Battle. He who sits and thinks, the blood of those who he could save but he did not because he was discussing with himself what's good and what's not, that blood will be in his hands.

As always, there is no good work but to do God's Will. God's Will is to be His Son the Head of the Churches, he who stays away from the Universal Church Unification, he will not enter in the kingdom of God. But if you think you can cheat God, ahead, forward, also Satan thought so. Be good his example to you, ending as the Devil, in order to low down your head an light the candle now that the oil is poured for free.

ARTICLE XIV. **Of Works of Supererogation.**

VOLUNTARY works, besides, over and above God's commandments, which they call Works of Supererogation, cannot be taught without arrogancy and impiety: for by them men do declare, that they do not only render unto God as much as they are bound to do, but that they do more for His sake than of bounden duty is required: whereas Christ saith plainly, "When ye have done all that are commanded to you, say, We are unprofitable servants".

It is evident from the definition of "superogation" (: supererogatio, payment beyond what is due or asked, from super, beyond, erogare, to pay out, expend, ex, out, rogare, to ask is the performance of more than is asked for, the action of doing more than duty requires. Supererogatory, in ethics indicates an act that is good but not morally required to be done. It refers to an act that is more than necessary, when another course of action, involving less, would still be an acceptable action. It differs from a duty, which is an act that would be wrong not to do, and from acts that are morally neutral. Supererogation may be considered as performing above and beyond a normative course of duty to further benefits and functionality) that the Corruption of the Medieval Roman Church involved in the Indulgences, and the Manipulation of the subject by the Reformation when defining Priest celibacy as an invention of the Devil, dispute in the center of the matter which ended taking away from it its Jesuchristian meaning; it is evident, I say, that both parties, the first for promoting corruption, and the latter to self-indulge in the act of Division of the Churches by the Devil promoted, produced and directed; it is evident, I say again, that in the heat of the discussion both partiers lost their mind and took the subject very far away from its original content.

From the original meaning of works of supererogation, (God knows who invented this name), by which the Deeds &Works of Christ has a value in itself before God, and counting on this value, Christ went far beyond Abraham, who expected to gain from God, by the sacrifice of his son Isaac, the Confirmation of His Oldest Promise, that a son of Eve would come to claim the Throne of his father, Adam; counting on this value of the deeds of men before God's eyes, Christ did not actually sacrifice a beast, as required by the Law, or someone else, as Abraham did, but He presented His own Flesh and Blood to conquer from God a New Promise, that He would never leave Christian Mankind alone, and at the end of the times He Himself, as the head of the sons of God, would lead the Nations to His Kingdom.

How could the Deeds of Christ, whether in Abraham, Moses, David, or Isaiah, or Jesus, had no value in the eyes of God?

If the Deeds of Christ had no value in the eyes of God, and a savior value indeed, as to create a Treasure to buy with it the souls of men, how could be there a Final Judgment, or just a judgment?

But by His Deeds Christ justified the nations of the Past, and by opening to Mankind a Future He offered us all a Chance to present our case before the Tribunal of God. He was Him, Christ, in Noah, in Abraham, Moses and David, who presented our case before the Tribunal of the sons of God, and in Jesus,

Christ took our Defense according to the most powerful speech, the Speech of the Blood. But if the Christian Faith consists only and exclusively in the Creed of the Apostles, this is to say: Jesus is the Son of the Living God, why had they to die? Why did not they relax, sing songs and enjoy their lives in the vision of the eternal life in Paradise?

The Deeds of Christ in the sons of God, in the servants of the Lord, in the people of the King, have no savior value?

The dispute between the churches, Roman and Anglican came about by a woman. The Fall of Man too. However, Christ was the Incarnation of a Deed of Supererogation. Why had the son of Man to give up his life for a bunch of fools? If the Deeds of Christ have no value before God, this is to say, a supererogation value, why the Apostles had to die?

The dispute, once lowered down to the level of pennies and vaginas, became an absurdity.

The Roman Church was the first, buying and selling Souls for a coin, to level the Deeds of Christ with the act of a fool. It was the mission of the Reformation, according to the answer of the nation to that foolish free market, to give an end to that Corruption. Instead the Mission became a negotiation between vaginas and pennies to get free from the corset of the Medieval Ecclesiastical Structure.

If Faith alone, this is to say, (I repeat myself like a retarded intellectual), Jesus is the Son of God, saves, and no need is of anything else, but to be good, cook good, make love good, work good, pay good, and die good, Christianity is not born from this Faith alone, but by the Act of Supererogation of a Series of Generations, led by the Apostles, with whose Blood they bought for the Nations a Future.

If the Reformation was based on the Doctrine of the Kingdom of heavens, by Jesus given to the Apostles, and according to the Reformation's doctrine the Deeds of Supererogation (Deeds springing out of the Law of Freedom) has no value before the Eyes of God, and from this the advising of not boasting on the deeds of the flesh, then, according to this doctrine, Faith Alone, the Apostles were a bunch of fools, and of the worst kind, sado-masochist fools. And because, to them, to the Reformers, we must give them a very very large and loud hands clapping. They deserve it. They were right, the Deed of the Flesh means nothing to God. What else?

O yeah, I forgot to ask: Which of the Deeds of Christ was a deed of the flesh?

If you mean to have or not to have children is the Question, well...

A deed of the flesh, means nothing you can buy something with from God, you are right.

If you mean that the Deeds of the Spirit means nothing to God, you are wrong.

The Cross was not a deed of Flesh, but of the Spirit. Neither the Resurrection was a Deed of the Flesh.

To eat more or less, to dress in black or in yellow, to drive a big car or a motorbike, what? You expect to bargain with God on that premise? You are going to stand up before the Tribunal of God in the name of your fathers and all you have to say is: "Look what a wonderful car, I have, Lord? To a man like this you gotta give him anything".

But all this is nonsense. Just the nonsense the Reformation brought about, and upon this nonsense they called themselves divines.?

We do not live under the Law of slavery, but under the Law of Freedom.

We do what we do because we love to do what we do. But if anybody feels forced to do the Deeds of Christ, it's all right. Just get out of the way, don't close the way to others.

Does the Deeds of Christ has no value before God?

So, the Cross was nothing. Jesus was a slave? He did not act under the Law of Freedom?

But He said: I had the Power of giving and taking back. He was in His Hand to give or to take back. He was Born Free, He was a Free Man. Of course if you want to resurrect, you got to die. But He didn't have to die to go back where He came from. To die or not to die was an Act of Freedom. It is from this Freedom that the Deed of Supererogation came to life.

To center the question in an example more close to us. We have all seen Mother Teresa de Calcutta. She did what she did to be adored or to gain souls for Paradise?

But if the Reformation's doctrine is true and Faith alone is required, Mother Teresa de Calcutta was the greatest XXTH Century's fool. Was she?

Her Deed were deeds of the flesh or of the Spirit?

So, when we speak of Works of Supererogation, what are we talking about?

Of course, from the point of view of an Anglican priest : marriage of celibacy, right?

The question is beyond pennies and vaginas, the questions turns around the salvation of souls. Christ lives to save souls. Christ lives in every son and servant of God in a different way, but the direction, the source, the stream, the nature of every one and all is Christ's Spirit.

All that what we do is a work of supererogation. The entire Christ's Life was a Work of Supererogation.

This being the Nature of the Source, how could the Stream born in it and from it filling the lands not to be a Work of Supererogation?

The Argument of the sons of and Servants of God, born in Earth, in the Defense of the Human Nations is not a Deed of Supererogation?

Sold to slavery in Mankind's Infancy, surrendered to the Power of the Death because the Treason of a son of God born not in this Earth, who, but we, the sons of God, will stand before God to ask for Universal Absolution for the Fullness of the Human Nations from the Omnipotent and Sempiternal Judge of the Worlds?

And how will we get access to the tribunal, to plead our Cause, if our Deeds are those of pennies and vaginas?

You are married, good; he is not married, who are you to make of yourself the measure of the Spirit of Christ?

He is devoted in body and soul to the souls of men, wonderful. You are a husband and a father, devoted to the salvation of the souls too, great, where is your problem? Are you jealous? The Blessing of God is on both, marriage and celibacy. Had no marriage existed there will be no Future; but a Priest devoted hundred per cent to Christ will reach more souls than another whose family deprived Christ of a great deal of time. Is theirs a Work of Supererogation of a lesser value than yours? How can the Wife administer a House so Universal without the Servants of Her Husband?

This is the big question to resolve: Who are you Master?

Turning to History, and to give a first blow to the criticizing of the policy of Gregory VII, I say that the structure of the times did not allow the man to do different. The Devil and his puppet, the Holy German Roman Emperor, were working together to turn the Wife of the Lord into a Whore, type of Byzantine

Church.

Anyway, Her House was still small. The problem is that as the House of the Church became larger and larger the Roman Church, corrupted by the Devil's Seed, remember the Words of Christ, She lost the vision of the whole and instead of giving up the special powers by Gregory VII taken to fight back the Devil, the Roman Church wanted to keep it to become the Lord of the Servants of Her Lord. This malignant situation led the whole question to the Division of the churches.

Now, that the Catholic Church is the Wife of the Lord, no doubt. That Her Lord is the Lord of the Servants of God, and not She, no doubt neither. The Servants are under the Lord who call them and work in His House.

Again, the House belong to the Lord and the Lord has a Wife. She lives in the House of Her Lord and the Servants who work in the Field live in their own houses. The Servants are not constrained to Celibacy. The Wife of the Lord, She is. She is the Body of Christ, the Trunk of the Tree of the churches, the Tree of Spiritual Life.

The Saint Spirit in Paul said it very clearly: "get married, don't burn". He who consecrates himself to Christ he does so during the time of his power; once he can't keep longer, he stays in the Church, at the service of God. Every man who works for God is a Supererogation Soul, under the Law of Freedom; he will not live in the House of the Lord, but will live in his own house, serving in the Field as a brother of Christ.

Those who are called under the Law of Marriage, they do well keep on living under the Law of God in the Holy Sacrament of the Catholic Marriage. As we read in the Scriptures, the Lord has many "virgins", but only One is His Beloved Wife.

From all this we see that the statement of this Article: "VOLUNTARY works, besides, over and above God's commandments, which they call Works of Supererogation, cannot be taught without arrogancy and impiety", it is a denial of the Law of Freedom under which Christ lived, and a denial of the Law of Freedom He bought for us with His Blood, this is to say: with the most wonderful Work of Supererogation ever done in the name of the Salvation of Mankind.

I will not repeat myself over and over again. It is evident from the Freedom proclaimed by Jesus upon His Work, that this Article is a Denial of Jesus's Freedom and of the Christian Man's Freedom, denial who came about for a simple dispute of vaginas and pennies.

Where in the Commandments is written that the Apostles had to die? Or when men born in slavery did not fight for their freedom? When Paul said that he was a slave for his brother's sake, he could speak so because he was free, the Work of Supererogation of his was that slavery he was boasting of before the first generation of Christians.

Now what? Saved by the Faith, to hell with the world? This is the advice of the Anglican Church?

The greatest Work of Supererogation of the Servants of God is to keep the Law of Christ against the storms and the earthquakes of the centuries. It will be good for the Anglican Church to look at herself in the mirror and see if the Scriptures, Foundation of her Creed according to her divines, speak of females Priestess, and Gay Marriage, and Gay priesthood.

He who does not live buy the Law of his Lord, he is not servant of the Lord by him betrayed. For so it is written:

He said to me: "It is done. I am the Alpha and the Omega, the Beginning

and the End. To the thirsty I will give water without cost from the spring of the water of life, Those who are victorious will inherit all this, and I will be their God and I they will be my children. But the cowardly, the unbelieving, the vile, the murderers, the sexually immoral, those who practice magic arts, the idolaters and the liars, they will be consigned to the fiery lake of burning sulfur. This is the second death".

CHAPTER XV Of Christ alone without Sin.

As this Article is still connected with the preceding on Works of Supererogation let's give an end to the discussion saying this: Marriage is a work of the flesh, a marry priest indeed he cannot expect absolutely nothing from the works natural to a marry man; if he did so, even the rats and the snakes and the ants would expect from God a reward for doing what Nature do in them. It is in this respect that Jesus spoke of the idiocy n waiting a reward, of any kind, for a service done under the laws of Nature. But Jesus was not speaking about Christ. A Virgin Priest is not a Work of the Flesh. A priest made at the Image of the Priesthood of Christ Himself, he is not under the Law of Nature, he is under the Law of the Divine Nature, and his life is an entire and complete Work of Supererogation. He lives for the Salvation of men, and the Sacrifice of his Flesh and Blood to God it is done looking to the Salvation of Mankind. He is the Blood and the Flesh of Christ, and it is to this Work of God in Nature, taking for Him a Body to live under the Rule of His Divinity, that we say "YES" we come to the Mass. How could the Work of God has no value?

But to raise the Question a level higher we have to put our feet on the ground where the Final Judgment will take place.

That the Final Judgment Day is a Work of God in order to Glorify His Son, there is no doubt. The Rising of the Son of God to the Throne of the Final Judgment Day implies the Fact already written, God putting in the Hands of His Son the Power of Life and Death over His entire Creation. The Universal Judge has been given the Power of God. He Judges as God. And as God He has the Power to heal all the souls of Mankind. As much as the Duty coming with the Power of the Universal King.

Universal Absolution for Mankind, or Judgment according to the Law of Prophecy, this depends upon which side the balance of justice will fall.

That the Judgment Day is a real Day of Justice and not a Circus of Gods we see it from the fact that Satan and his Rebels are not called in. God's Damnation against His Enemies already signed, there is nothing to say about a Revision of Satan's Case. The Final Judgment Day is related exclusively to Mankind. The Liberation of the Devil in the beginning of the Second Millennium was decided in order to cause an acceleration of the World Historical Circumstances leading to the World Self-Destruction to which Mankind was condemned in the Day of the Fall of Adam, on one hand; and to accelerate the Coming of the sons of God, of the Line of Christ Jesus, on the other. Once this Coming on the ground the Hour has come for the King to hunt the Devil, free the Earth and lead Mankind to His Kingdom.

Once freed the Earth from the Power of the prince of Hell, the Last Battle of God to Free His Creation from Death will put Mankind in a position of Divine Freedom, this way opening to the centuries to come a New World Scenario, upon which ground to prove to the House of God that Mankind deserves Universal Absolution.

The Battle of God once taken to its end, the Liberation of Earth from the Devil done, it will begin a New Battle, the Battle of men for Mankind and every single move of Men and Nations will be a Work of Supererogation, a Prayer for the Salvation of the Fullness of the Nations.

How could the Works of the sons of God have no value when even the work of Five Heathens had that much weight before the eyes of God as to stop the Destruction of cities from old?

The Universal Day of Judgment is based on two principles:

1.-The Divinity of the Judge, invested with Omnipotent Power to sign the Absolution of Mankind, on the Basis of His All-Powerful Arm to Heal the Souls of the Fullness of the Human Nations.

And 2.-The Divine Duty of the King on Universal Peace, the mercy of today on a particular, tomorrow can become damnation for the universal. Mercy is related to Works of the Spirit, not of the Flesh.

Conclusion: The Spiritual Priesthood is the Guideline for all men to work for the Salvation of Mankind, while subjected to the Law of Nature, in order that when the Day comes God will find not Five but an entire World of Good Men, with their Works, made in the Spirit, praying for the Absolution of the Human Race.

This said, let's handle the new Article:

CHRIST in the truth of our nature was made like unto us in all things, sin only except; from which He was clearly void, both in His flesh and in His Spirit. He came to be the lamb without spot, who, by sacrifice of himself once made, should take away the sins of the world; and sin (as St. John saith) was not in Him. But all we the rest, although baptized and born again in Christ, yet offend in many things; and if we say we have no sin, we deceive ourselves, and the truth is not in us.

Again we deal with the Doctrine of the Old Catholic Mother Church, a Doctrine repeated along the centuries by the same mouth with different names, the Mouth of Christ. Again, we see the political nature of the Anglican Reformation, behaving like politicians do, robbing their predecessors of their works, to sign their names on the work by others done, and when something wrong is done accusing their predecessors too. The English Nation was really so numb in the days of the Tyrant and his house as to have never read this Question?

The sole idea of naming sin on the Son of God is a crime. The Anglican Creed took the oldest doctrine of the Catholic Mother Church and made it hers while, as politicians do, sparing no time in condemning the Wife of the Lord because the behavior of a Servant of Her Husband? Why did not Her Husband cast out of His Presence the Servant by God Himself chose to be the hand in whose Finger the Ring of Her Divine Husband would shine, to remember to Heaven and Earth that the day of the sons of God, of the Line of Christ Jesus, had to come? Will the Anglican Church raise damnation on Jesus Christ for having bowed down His Head before the Election of God? Why Peter? Will the Anglican Church open her mouth to make known to God her objection on His Decision?

And on the question of our fragility, let me tell you this, where is the fool who expect from a warrior in under full attack to preserve his hands from blood?

It seems that Paradise Island, when coming to Theology, is full of divine fools.

POINT ONE: "That Christ was without sin, although in all other things made like unto us", where is the news? Where's the novelty? Do the Anglican

folks to be reminded of the historical background and the blood spilt by the Catholic Nations in defense of this Point? So nuts were the English in the days of the Tyrant and his house as to have no clue on History of Christianity? About Yesterday, all right, we understand; about Today, there is no excuse; Freedom has three letters WWW. To discuss this point is nonsense.

POINT TWO: "That all other men (even though baptized and born again in Christ) yet offend in many things", who will deny the fact? The Anglican Creed uses the Doctrine of the Catholic Church to attack the Catholic Church? It seems to me that the Anglican Church used this Article counting on the ignorance of the Anglican folk, who, once having been deprived of the Historical Background of the Christian Faith, was supposed to infer from this Article that the Catholic Church was and is sustaining the contrary thing. If so, why did the Catholic Church not blow away from the New testament Books the references due to this statement? She was omnipotent to do so. Who would have contested Her Right to do so? She did not because how could the Borgias and the like say that they were clean as cotton from sin? I mean, what was the point in making this POINT? Probably because like a malignant virus has to extract from the cell all its contents to insert itself, the Anglican Church, having not Creed bit the Catholic Creed, had to rob for free was not hers and defend her robbery with the Power of the Empire? However, if there is a man who can say "I am as free from sin as Jesus Christ", hey, let him come.

And POINT THREE: "That Christ, though perfect man, was yet free from sin, properly forms a part of the doctrine of the Incarnation, and is therefore intimately connected with Article II (Of the Word or Son of God which was made very Man.)". The washing brain method is based on repetition, to the same thing in different ways until turning the subject into a perfect idiot. This point Three is the perfect example. The message for the Anglican folk is simple: "Remember, the Catholic Church always denied the Immaculate Conception of the Son of God". However, the political aspect of the POINT cannot be obliterated from the answer. The maker of this article was as much religious as the devil. Which was made very Man, the Word of the Son of God? It looks like the guy in charge did not give a shit about it. The Word, the Son of God, who gives a shit? The real thing was the Empire, to reach Empire you got to have behind you a Mass of Fanatics, a Fundamentalist Mass ready to kill his own mother, if the occasion came around. The Word, the Son of God, who cares? The important thing is not Reality, but the Image of Reality you implant in the Brain of that perfect idiot ready to kill for king and country. The occasion, a reign of Terror, was the perfect situation room for implanting in the Brain of the United Kingdom a self-made Image of the Divine Reality. Jesus did not cast out Peter from His Church? Enough, He was a Jew, English have more "parts"!

Notwithstanding my criticizing, the matter of fact is that the Reformation Image of the Church was a fake. The Church did not belong to Christ. The Church belongs to God. The Church does not belong to England, or to Italy, or to Moscow, or to Rome for that matter. The Church belongs to God. How could the Son of God dispose of the Church as She was His Work? How could Germany and England and Switzerland and the other folks to do what not even the Omnipotent Son of God dared to do: To raise against the Chosen of God?

From Luther to Hitler, what? You begin to criticize one of the works of God and end up declaring work on His entire Creation. This is the evolution that son of God called Satan undertook and look who is now, the Devil! How could be a Devil without that Satan? And a Hitler without a Luther? Evolution doesn't lie. If you low down the Work of God, the Spiritual Priesthood to the Flesh, how

could you not end up turning the House of God into a Judas Home? Yesterday is the Priestess, today the Gay Priest, what Tomorrow, the Church of the Devil? What was began by an Antichrist King, where could take you anywhere else but to Hell?

The Battle for the Century has begun. God is rising His Unification Will upon the two sides of the sea to have Mercy on All and gather around the King His People in order to conquer the Doors of Hell and Free Earth from the Power of Death. Freedom is here. The choice belongs to every man.

All we can say from this Article is that in question of Creed there is no discussion between Anglican and Catholic. The POINT is: Where came from the Hate between? God is Love. Who is Hate?

Conclusion to make: while the world is such as we see it, to fall is our fate, to get up, again and again, our Grace, but to use this circumstance to say "Sin, sin, sin, that the blood of Christ washes everything" is to give up Christ and kneel before the Antichrist king.

CHAPTER XVI **Of Sin after Baptism.**

In this Question the most important thing is to define "What is Sin?". Until this Question is not answered we are subjected to many different degrees of manipulation. Basing on this non-definition of the term every church can build a doctrine of her own according the need of the times.

The "Anglican divine" loses no time in calling to the stage the whole team of dead men, to end his journey to Graveyard Land and back saying nothing on what Sin is.

For a Soul whose Life is based on the Rock of Truth, Truth related to Intelligence, not to Power, least of all to the rule of a Tyrant, and in order to begin to walk this journey through Life, the eyes set in a World made not by the hands of men, but by the Hands of God, which is the Heart of the Christian Faith, the Soul got to get free from the doctrines of men and without rejecting their principles subject every one of them to the power of his Thought. How could I accept as Sin anything in the heat of the moment decreed by a bishop, a king or a theologian? Am I a fool? Before to accept any principle I got to understand what Sin is.

What is Sin?

I guess Sin is what the most clever fellow around, with a sufficient back-up power, says. All right.

But this says nothing to me. No one is going to open for me the Door to Paradise, absolutely no one.. but the One whom to Paradise belong. Is there anybody who can say: Paradise is mine?

Yes we hear the voices of mad tyrants saying: "Zimbawe is mine"; so England belong to the Queen; Germany to the New Reich on Power, Russia to Putin. And so on and so forth. So what?

They are shadows on the wall, ghosts with a life burning under desires born in Hell Land. The freedom to kill is there, the right to Self-defense, too. Some have already make of this right to self-defense a crime. Syrian Population is under state of genocide with the blessing of Russia and China. On the other hand the law of America says that the Rebellion against a Tyrant is legitimate, good and necessary.

We see from here that if in things appertaining to the mortal things, which vanishes like shadows beneath the sun, men's intelligence is equal to a wild animal's, in things appertaining to the Soul I got to give it a heavy thought to the subject in Question: What Sin is.

But to settle the question on Christian basis let's begin where everything did begin. Did Jesus or did not abrogated Moses's Law as Judicial Law?

No one go to jail because he dishonors his father and mother. No one goes to jail for adultery. No one goes to jail because he worships a monster. Of these kind were the Laws of Moses.

Under Moses's Law Christ had to die, and He had to die because He came to separate Moses's Laws from Civil Justice.

Jesus's Revolution in the realm of the Jewish State, was simple : Separation of State and Religion.

The fusion of State and Religion, Religion ruling the State, (State running

Religion, case of the Anglican Temple is another matter), led the Kingdom of Israel to its total destruction. With or without Jesus the Nation was walking the path of its destruction. Jesus had nothing to do with it. Israel was waiting better times, a sign from Heaven, a new Roman Civil War, to rise in Rebellion and fight for Independence and Country. Jesus, as was natural to His Divine Nature, saw the Fall of the Roman Empire and took measures to enforce the Resurrection of the Civilization. The Jesuchristian Separation of State and Temple could not be done but by the Conversion of Religion to His Faith. And so it was done.

The Religious conception on Sin was separated from the Civil Justice. Consequently the Law of Moses was abrogated. The Penal Law natural to the Law of Moses was alienated from the Civil Justice, by it the religion of the Jews becoming a religion of the Old World, leveled with the Old religions of the Ancient nations, such as Buddism, Zoroastrism, Paganism, and so on so forth. And with the Birth of the Catholic Christianity a New Conception on what Sin is came upon the scene.

To kill is a crime, not a sin. To rob is not a sin, but a crime. To desire another's man woman is a sin, not a crime. To curse is not a crime, but a sin.

However, Jesus said that He came not to abrogate the Law of Moses. He meant with this that to separate Sin and Civil Justice implies not to abolish the effect of the sin on the human soul. The Law was brought to make the "sin" abundant, and by its existence to become a subject of our study.

Sin is the cause of a certain effect. If I drink I get drunk. If I drive drunk blind I can get hurt.

Sin is the beginning of a chain reaction. Once you begin to dishonor your father and your mother, to desire other's men women, to lie in order to conquer, and so on and so forth, you become a slave of the power of Death. The natural effect of this chain reaction is to reject God, His Creation, His Moral and His Ethics. You begin to walk the Path that once a son of God took.

But the Law of Freedom is there. This is not to deny. You can do anything. You got to accept the consequences too.

Notwithstanding these are nickels and dimes. Sin is the Rebellion, taken on Full Conscience, against the Law of the Holy Spirit. This was the Sin of Satan, once a son of God, and this is the Sin talking about which the Son of God said that "Sin against the Holy Spirit is unpardonable".

How could we Sin?

Christ being Immaculate, and while living in His Spirit, how can we Sin?

We can't. The entire speech of the Anglican Church and the Reformation on Sin after the Baptism is a bread from the Devil to feed the Antichristian Division of the Churches.

Have the Anglican Church committed SIN?

How could She? The Law of the Ignorance was acting. Faith was the Hope on a Future Day when Men would come to the Glory of the Freedom of the sons of God. A Day in which the Father of Mankind will keep His Omniscience away no more from the Future of the World.

Were the Nations freed from this Ignorance in the days of the Reformation?

Not at all! Luther, Calvin, Henry VIII, the Borgias, all were subjected to the same Law. All had to live by their Faith, but Ignorance was there to corrupt their Faith.

Can the Anglican Church "SIN"?

Of course, She has only to reject this Day's Unification Will of God. This

will mean open Rebellion against the Will of God, and the Law of the Holy Spirit. The reward would be Hell.

And this applies for all the churches.

But let's stick now to the Article.

NOT every deadly sin willingly committed after baptism is sin against the Holy Ghost, and unpardonable. Wherefore the grant of repentance is not to be denied to such as fall into sin after baptism. After we have received the Holy Ghost we may depart from grace given and fall into sin, and by the grace of God we may arise again and amend our lives. And therefore they are to be condemned which say they can no more sin as long as they live here, or deny the place of forgiveness to such as truly repent.

The malignant structure of this article is clear: "NOT every deadly sin willingly committed after baptism".

The Jesuchristian Salvation is based on the Ignorance of man. It was because God found Ignorance in his son, Adam, that redemption was promised; it was because "a deadly sin willingly committed" the murderer, another son of God, Satan's Sin, was unpardonable.

It is this WILLINGLY that marks the difference between Christ and the Devil. That every single son of God, of this Earth, finds himself stranded between Mortality and Immortality, how can be denied? That the World we have Inside and the world as there is Outside is on perpetual War, only a fool will deny. As I said yesterday, who expect to find t the face of the warrior just coming out of a bloody battle shiny like the face of a mother of pearls? Are you out of your mind?

We live in a world flashing temptations of every kind at the speed of light, we live driving between them the best we can. Sometimes we get hurt, sometime we hurt somebody. The difference between the World before Christianity and the World after turns to this point: Men before Christ did not care about the hurting they did; we do.

Do we depart from Grace because we have to learn to live in a world continuously showing its back to all what we love?

Of course not. We only depart from Grace when we do Evil "WILLINGLY".

The Article says that even done WILLINGLY not every Willingly Deadly Deed (SIN) is unpardonable. But the Article does not say which Willingly Deadly Deed is pardonable neither. It would be wonderful to be informed of the entire scale of deadly Sins by the Anglican Divines imposed upon the Universal Judge of Mankind.

Of course to stare at a girl with profligate eyes is not a crime, but it leads to crime. Ask to the millions of girls raped in the Muslin World and in India. The Law of Freedom is given to attack the last criminal effect of the desires of the Flesh by the world fed. The Modern Psychiatry will tell us that this Jesuchristian Deed of Perfection by which we fight back crime attacking the evil to the root in us, it is a repression of our instincts. They are very welcome in the World were girls are raped by the thousand every day.

Turning to the Article, "After we have received the Holy Ghost we may depart from grace given and fall into sin", we see the Puritanistic fundamentalism note in this Article as repugnant to the "holy Ghost". God can fall? Christ can Fall?

Of course, if you have not a clue what Sin is before the eyes of God, of course you can fall. Because I am driven mad by a world ruled by madness, I fall?

I fall and only I commit Sin only when I rise against God, WILLINGLY, imitating the Devil, to repudiate God's Law on Truth, Peace and Justice.

But why should I do this? Am I mad? The Holy Spirit Words were clear: If you Sin you are not of Christ.

How can you be a Christian and sin? But if you sin you are not of Christ, but of the Devil, because to Sin is to rise against the Law of the Holy Ghost.

Faults, sins according to the Law of Moses, hey man, I lost the count. About this said the Apostle that if we say we don't sin, we are liars.

"And therefore they are to be condemned which say they can no more sin as long as they live here...".

And not only here. By the Spirit we have been born in, we will Sin not here not in Eternity. The way from here to eternity is a long and narrow road, sometime anger, sometimes frustration, sometimes treason, sometimes, desperation, sometimes... These facts have nothing to do with our Spirit; we are born in the Spirit not as a guest in the house of a stranger, in any moment ready to leave. The Spirit and us, we are one.

Do you receive the Spirit? But have not heard "that you have to be born from the Spirit?"

It is my soul not mine? How could me and my Spirit be two things apart? Now you have, now you don't have it!

"...or deny the place of forgiveness to such as truly repent".

And as always, when did the Catholic Church deny forgiveness to the "sinner" according to the Commandment laws? It is all the contrary, I dare to say, and because the Roman Church made of Forgiveness a Market, where even the Violation of the Mother of God could be pardoned, in Luther's Word, the "sin" became so abundant as to allow the Devil to harvest the fruit of its labor: The Division of the Churches.

Concluding remarks:

By Baptism we were born to Eternity in the Spirit of Love to the Law of the Holy Ghost;

We cannot Sin:

We will never Sin:

We will live forever and ever by the Jesuchristian Law.

There is not such a space to a Possible Future Fall in us.

We conceive not that space in us and we will not give in us space to such a Sin: the Sin of Rebellion against the Word of God.

This is the Sin that is unpardonable.

The rest, those sins whose effect is death of the Faith, are left to our Freedom, in the knowledge that once in the Power of Death the Fall from Faith has no returning way. Forgiveness for all those sins are Mother Church Matter, and as to the Mother belong the things of the House of Her Lord and Father of Her son, I said what I said, and will say no more, but this: "He who is free from sin, let him throw the first stone".

CHAPTER XVII. Of Predestination and Election.

PREDESTINATION to life is the everlasting purpose of God, whereby (before the foundations of the world were laid) He hath constantly decreed by His counsel, secret to us, to deliver from curse and damnation those whom He hath chosen in Christ out of mankind, and to bring them by Christ to everlasting salvation, as vessels made to honour. Wherefore they which be endued with so excellent a benefit of God be called according to God's purpose by His Spirit working in due season: they through grace obey the calling: they be justified freely: they be made sons of God by adoption; they be made like the image of His only-begotten Son Jesus Christ; they walk religiously in good works, and at length, by God's mercy, they attain to everlasting felicity.

As the godly consideration of predestination and our election in Christ, is full of sweet, pleasant, and unspeakable comfort to godly persons, and such as feel in themselves the working of the Spirit of Christ, mortifying the works of the flesh, and their earthly members, and drawing up their mind to high and heavenly things, as well because it doth greatly establish and confirm their faith of eternal salvation to be enjoyed through Christ, as because it doth fervently kindle their love towards God: so, for curious and carnal persons, lacking the Spirit of Christ, to have continually before their eyes the sentence of God's predestination is a most dangerous downfall, whereby the Devil doth thrust them either into desperation, or into breathlessness of most unclean living, no less perilous than desperation.

Furthermore, we must receive God's promises in such wise, as they be generally set forth to us in Holy Scripture: and, in our doings that will of God is to be followed, which we have expressly declared unto us in the Word of God.

The conclusion of this Article is "God is dead", "we don't need God. Who needs God? We have the Scriptures, and by it we are predestinated to Heaven, God wills it or not. Halleluiah!".

The article says: "and such as feel in themselves the working of the Spirit of Christ, mortifying the works of the flesh..." which means that marriage of the priest is not a carnal fleshy thing. What is it then? What a mortification of the flesh carnal, isn't? "Come here girl, mortify me with your flesh".

What happen with those great English Historians and Scholars, adorers of Newton, Hume, and so on and so forth? They had no intelligence to get into the hypocrisy of this Article? It says exactly what Calvin, the Defendant of the Devil says: Some are born to enjoy glory and some are born to enjoy shit. Of course, the English, body of the goddess-queen, is born to enjoy perpetual glory.

... "and to bring them by Christ to everlasting salvation, as vessels made to honor".

Of course, those Apostles butchered in the eyes of the world, treated as the worst wreck ever born on Earth, they were vessels of honor, weren't they?

The Anglican predestinated, as much as the predestinated Swiss born to enjoy the gold of the world, whether from blood or the devil, the holy predestinated Swiss does not care, the Anglican predestinated is born to enjoy all spiritual things, commencing with the girl in bed, very spiritual indeed, and to reach richness, and all kind of honors before the eyes of men. Right!

The Anglican Predestinated goddess queen is born to enjoy Empire, how could her body be fed with the fate of those wrecks, the Apostles, born to be food for the beasts?

"Predestination is the everlasting purpose of God", and they know this, for sure, because they had a chat with God. God told them that His Purpose was to take away the Ring of Christ from the Hand of the Catholic Church and give it to the English Goddess-Queen, for Honor and Empire.

But if so, what happened to Adam, "divine" masters?

From this Article the conclusion cannot be but that God fucked it up. Absolutely! Or will we say with Calvin that God predestinated both Satan and Adam to represent the Comedy of the Fall of Man?

The temptation to feel a man of a Superior Race, the Predestinated, the Chosen of God; hey man, how to fight back this shit?

Right I am. How could I fail to do so? Predestination being the purpose of God from the eternal times, Amen, once this stated, how could you avoid to get together with Calvin, to become a Puritan?

Did God Predestinate Adam to fall, and Satan to betray God? Because this is what comes out of this Article, and so clear and evident that the next generation took the final stand and became the Predestinated Nation of God, the New Israel, the New Holy Chosen People: the New Sacred Nation spreading the wings of its Election on the New World, to massacre entire populations and make it's the Land of America, Australia, South Africa and wherever their hooves planted its feet. Then they followed the paths of the Catholic missionaries in Asia and Far East, doing there what they did in Europe, behave like a virus emptying of its nature the cell, in order to reproduce its own gen.

PREDESTINATION is the foundation of the Scripture and the Word of God in Christ? If so, then the Luther was absolutely right: rape your mother, don't mind, the blood of Christ wash anything, rape your daughter too, you are predestinated to glory, and this no matter what you do. Heil Luther!

If PREDESTINATION is the core of the Jesuchristian Doctrine and to believe that Jesus is the Son of God is the Door to Heaven, who needs the Church? And what is more crazy: Why should be the Devil sent to Hell for believing what Luther, Calvin and the Anglican God believed? Satan knew this, and that with absolute certitude, Jesus is the Son of God. Why got to be sent to Hell and the Protestant, German, English, Swiss and Scandinavian, be sent to Heaven?

This Article says it with all words: Because PREDESTINATION.

This is to say: Not Because God is Justice, but because God is Power. Ergo: God is a Tyrant, made to the Image of the English King, or is it the other way round?, and accordingly depending on His cock God sent to hell or to heaven. Ant that is. And great many Halleluiahs, because God's cock went on the side of the European Arian Race, and by this same law He sent to hell the Latin Race. And who will argue with the English God-King-Queen?

High Treason, shop off his head!

Let me laugh to death! Listen to this: "He hath constantly decreed by His counsel, secret to us, to deliver from curse and damnation those whom He hath chosen in Christ out of mankind".

What a secret? A secret to us?

A secret by the "Divine Anglican fellows", slaves of the English Crown, what a contradiction "Divine Slaves", had made public for ... whom? Secret for whom?

What else? God did not take counsel from His Spirit of Justice, but from

His Spirit of Power.

Islam thinks of God's PREDESTINATION in identical manner. The Islam thinks that Justice has nothing to do with God's Counsel, Power is the Source of God's Salvation. And so says the Anglican in this Article: The Source of God's Counsel is a Secret to us because its source is God's Power! What a bunch of hypocrites the authors of this Article!

However, having being given the Spirit of Christ, this is to say: not "lacking the Spirit of Christ", and the Spirit of Christ being the Spirit of God, until new call, of course, born to believe that the Spirit of Justice is the Foundation of the Crown of God, how can God's Counsel be "a secret to us?".

The Foundations of the Church are based on the Power of God or on the God of Justice?

The Redemption of Mankind is based on the Power of God or on the Justice of God?

Wherefore the "Secret to us" is not secret but by to him who does not agree with God about His Self-Counsel for conducting the Salvation of His Creation. Ergo PREDESTINATION is the rejection of the Spirit of Justice, of the Spirit of Christ.

The Reformation abjured the Foundation of Salvation on the Spirit of Justice and decanted on the side of Islam's Theology: The Foundation of Salvation is the Power of God to do whatever He Wills. Now He send to hell the mother and later to heaven the son, or vice versa, who cares, because who will dare to say to God: "Fuck off"?

The Rebellion of the sons of God of the race of Satan was based on this rejection of the Spirit of Justice as the Source of God's Counsel about the Future of His Creation.

To us God sent is Spirit of Justice in Blood and Flesh for us to see it with our eyes and listen to His Counsel with our ears.

NOW, where is that "Secret to us?!"

If I have the Spirit of Christ, meaning "Not lacking the Spirit of Christ", how can God's Counsel of the Salvation of Mankind be a Secret to me. But If I don't have God's Spirit of the Justice how could it be not the Counsel of God on the Salvation of Men a secret to me?

This Article is the core of the Religion of the Antichrist King. The Devil says in this Article: God predestinated me to betray Him, as much as he did predestinate Judas to betray Christ. And WHY? Well, divine guys, God is All-Powerful, and omnipotent, isn't so?, who will tell God to go to Hell or to go to fuck Himself? You don't do that! You don't want to go to hell, after all in Paradise there is wine and girls. God is a Terrorist, so what, I live by His rule, and that is, you don't like His Power, watch out then!

The final part of the Article is the best. The Devil could not be more proud of himself. Once upon a time God wrote His Will, is written, and that is, we need to know no more of God. Ergo: God is Dead.

But all this butchering the Article in pieces means nothing at all. The Question is who wants to be Predestinated from his mother's womb. Knowing, as we know, that every single man who is it, he is called to live a life of misery and pain, to ending his life as meat for the dogs, again, who wants to be predestinated from his mother's womb?

Of course all of them were PREDESTINATED to be the Disciples and the Apostles, and all of them, except One, were butchered to the last bone.

But of course, this PREDESTINATION is not the PREDESTINATION the Anglican Divine is talking about. He is talking about CALVIN'S

PREDESTINATION, based on Luther's Theology on the Blood of Christ: Rape your mother, children, no matter what you do you are called to Paradise Land.

And Hey, let's fuck Son's God too, why the Mother only?

The Question is: Has God any Right of Intervention in His Creation? Has God any Right of Directing the Universal History Scenario according to His Counsel?

And more strictly told: When did God lose the Direction of His History, Creation being Part of His Memoirs?

Therefore: Can God chose a man from his mother's womb to give Flesh and Blood to His Intervention in the History of the Nations of His Kingdom? Does this Choosing transgress the Freedom of His Creature by depriving him of his own will in order to make his God's Will?

From this Article we understand that by this "walking the Path of the Scripture" and only the Path of the Written Letter, this Right of God to intervene in the Life and History of His Creation is absolutely denied.

God, leave us alone! This is the motto of this Article; we have the Scripture, we need God no more.

Following the Question : Was Jesus PREDESTINATED from his mother's womb to become The Christ?

The Scripture is witness and God had been telling about it all the time ever since Moses came around.

In David, the Christ's PREDESTINATION from the Womb is too classified as "a secret to us"?

The Answer is one and admits no reply: God has all the Right to Intervene in His Creation's History, when and How He thinks it better. After all is this not the right that all the creative souls have as their own Power?

Can you imagine a law by which the painter cannot touch his work? Madness! However, this is the madness by this Article blessed. It says that having once upon a time given us the Scripture He, God, has no Right to write anymore, this is to say, to Intervene in the History, Life and Times of His Creation.

From eternal times He had predestinated some to glory some to shit. And nothing you do can change this fact.

Conclusion: If you convert to Catholicism, for example, you abhor God's Predestination and go with the Devil. Which is an absurdity, because if you are PREDESTINATED by the eternal "secret counsel of God" to be saved, by being an Anglican, how could you break this bond by the All-Powerful and Omnipotent God of the Crown of the UK tied around your neck?

And if PREDESTINATED why the Jesuchristian doctrine says: "What will you give in exchange of your soul?". And this too: "Many of the kingdom will be cast out and many from the outside welcome to the kingdom". Can Jesus Christ break the Will of God on PREDESTINATION? What an absurdity: God predestinating to Paradise and Jesus cutting the way!

For all that we know God is a Living God. God is not dead, as this Article states when saying that the Anglican needs no Church but the Scriptures alone, and on this basis the English founded their own National Church.

Predestination as stated in this Article, Pure Calvinism, but on the English way, is a Prayer for the Devil's Innocence, and a condemnation of Christ's Justice, by which being both parts of the same Predestination Game, God excused Adam and sentenced Satan to hell on the charge of premeditated murder.

Notwithstanding this, being God Himself who from eternal times and

according to His Secret Counsel called onto the Historical Scenario both the murdered and the murderer, the whole affair becomes a joke, God a Tyrant and His Power the source of an eternal an infinite reign of Terror.

Let me put it this way: We are not saved but in Hope. And this Hope sustained by the Jesuchristian Spirit of Justice we are born with.

However we can always run to the side of the Devil. This Freedom comes with the Glory of the sons of God. Did God predestinate Satan to betray Him, did God predestinate Judas to betray His Son? Was Judas not exposed to the same Law ruling the world of the Disciples? All of them were left alone to their own reasoning powers.

What if Judas had never betrayed Jesus? Or Satan to God, for this matter?

There is not "IF". IF has no place in the world. Freedom is supreme. Every man and woman has to decide whether to live under the King of Heaven or a king elected by humans. God's election is upon all who believes, and men and women are all called to come and live under the Law of the King of Heaven.

PREDESTINATION by Election as meant in this Article is contrary to the Will of God. God calls the entire Human Race, the Fullness of the Nations to come and live here on Earth by the Law of the King of Heaven. There is no predestination as to the election. The Election of God is upon the entire Human Race, no Nation excepted.

But when coming to the Particular Act of God as Creator, Director, and Producer of the Universal History, His Right to Call from the mother's womb is Out of Discussion, and this Call done according to His Wisdom and Justice.

CONCLUSION: NO ONE is Predestinated to Hell; all men are called to Predestinate himself to Eternal Life in God's Paradise.

The Election of God is made on the Ones whom He Calls Himself to take the Jesuchristian Call to all the Nations. However, that this Election frees from the Temptation of the Devil, depriving of Freedom those called, this is Antichristian belief.

The one who calls as the one who is called both have to live under the Law of the Spirit of the Justice, by which Law we will be Judged according our words and works.

Faith is the beginning of a new road, the road to God's Paradise; all the deeds, as in the case of Saint Paul, are left behind by the Baptism, but after Christ a New Life begins, and with it the Glory of the freedom of a son of God it comes the right to do good and evil. The choice is of every one. To attach the evil to God comes from the Devil, and Speech of the Devil was Calvin's Theology, on which this Article was built.

Whatever theology the "anglican divines" gave as a dialectical body to this Conception of Election and Predestination it came as a speech from the Devil, and who is the nuts to discuss with the Devil such a proposition?

The Son of God, image of the sons of God, came to destroy the work of the Devil, and not to have a chat.

And so am I. So you.

The Division of the Churches from the Mother Catholic Church was the work of the Devil. Now is your time to rise and justify your fathers with your Obedience to God's Will of Unification.

From this Article you may ask yourself: Am I predestinated to obey the King of Heaven, or to obey the kings and Queens of the Earth?

The ball is on your roof. Do as you please. You are free. Whether you go to Hell or to Paradise is in your hands. Freedom is the Most Valuable Treasure from God to his sons and people. But God's Freedom is based on Justice and

Truth.

All-Power and Omnipotence belongs to God as the Creator of the Land of the Galaxies; with His Creatures, His sons and people, God deals on the level of Truth, Justice and Peace. What Justice will be there on creating a man to send him to Hell and another to Paradise? Only if the Image of God you have received is based on Power this is conceivable.

The Image we received from Jesus Christ was not a God of Terror, but a God of Love for Live.

This entire affair on Predestination brought by the Reformation and adopted by the Anglican Church as her Creed: is a Treason against the Spirit of Christ, of which, according to this Article "they are not lacking". Am afraid they are.

So you, boy, run for your soul, grasp a Catholic Priest by the arm, "baptize me, father, now and here, in the name of the Father, the Son, and the Saint Spirit".

God, my friends, is not a GHOST! God is not DEAD. God is ALIVE. Didn't you read? : I AM THE LIFE.

Finally: "so, for curious and carnal persons, lacking the Spirit of Christ, to have continually before their eyes the sentence of God's predestination is a most dangerous downfall, whereby the Devil doth thrust them either into desperation, or into breathlessness of most unclean living, no less perilous than desperation".

Do you copy? The Devil is looking for your soul. But if you are predestinated to Paradise, what is the problem?

The author plays with Christ and the Devil as those demagogues who to his friend says YES, and to his friend's enemy YES, too.

This Article is another bar in the jail's door behind which prison the Antichrist King sold the English Nation for Empire. You will do to yourself good by breaking through and coming to Freedom.

We are born to destroy the Devil's Work, not to listen the Devil's Theology in the name of the King and Queens of this world. Your work is to do Today's God's Will on the Unification of the churches.

A mountain is made of infinite little stones. To be part of it, or stay away from, this is your choice.

Those who speak on Universal Predestination denies to men Freedom and Glory. She who makes himself body of a human head, cannot do this but at the price of the subjection of a nation to slavery in the name of her god-king. The prison is in your mind. The chains are around your soul. They sold your fathers for Empire. Justify your fathers by breaking free.

CHAPTER XVIII Of obtaining Eternal Salvation only by the Name of Christ.

We get a more clear picture of the evil origin of the Predestination affair when taking a look to the Genesis's Chapter on the Fall of Adam. That Predestination is the denial of God's Creation to His Image. Freedom the Nature of the Creator *par excellence*, we see this projection from God to Man in the fact of having in Eden Two Trees. There was a door to Hell and a door to Heaven, which would the Man take? God left the Decision to the Man. Freedom being there, where we will fix that Predestination in the last Chapter told about, being for the eternal times in God's Mind as the road to salvation?

Again we see this Freedom in Jesus Christ's life. There was a Cross and there was a Throne, which would He take? If Predestination was there from eternal time the Two Trees, as much as the Cross and the Throne, should had been just a ridiculous masquerade. And what need had a God of the Kind of the Creator of the Cosmos to play the most stupid crazy game? If Predestination was there, from perpetual times, why play the nuts with the Man, if after all Christ was dammed to hang on the log?

Predestination, we see, is the Denial of the Freedom of Man, and Freedom from Creator to Creature. Predestination, ergo, was an invention of the Devil to justify His Crime in the Omniscient Power of the Eternal God. Justification that the Reformation took in its bosom and the Anglican Church, adjusting the question to the interest of her New Master and Lord, the Crown of the UK, took as their own Creed.

Predestination is the new name that the modern people gave to the "Fate" of the Classic World. Predestination means Destiny, this to say, the denial of Freedom. A Freedom by God manifested by opening two doors before Adam, first, and Christ Jesus, after. There is no Destiny, no Predestination, but Freedom to take one door or another, Freedom to make a choice between this and that.

Incapable of understanding this Freedom, the Swiss Reformer bent on the side of the Devil and came with a Theology to exculpate Adam and Satan in the fact of having they no Power of Freedom, as it is natural to those who were merely and solely puppets of the Omniscient and Omnipotent Master, God, that same Hidden God of Luther, and author of "that secret to us" of the Anglican Reformation when attacking the same question.

Incapables of comprehending the Freedom from God to Man they came with that "secret to us". And to justify this satanic answer, Predestination, they manipulate the words of the Saint Spirit in Paul on the cases he spoke of "predestination". Saint Paul wasn't using this word in the sense of the classics or the modern "divines", but on the Right of the Creator to keep on creating, and so putting in the picture a constant new figure, in this case the Apostles and their brothers in Christ, a generation created, engendered, to bear witness to the Resurrection of the Son of God, and to put their blood and flesh as token of their truth before the world. This Act of Creation by which God enters in the womb to make His the creature to be born, in order to keep on forming his soul, this is the Predestination Saint Paul was talking about.

The Predestination according the Anglican Creed, as we read it in the past

Article, is a process kind of magic according which God pours on a man His Grace and then He retires and goes away. No need of God no more. God is a wizard!

What we see in the Predestination as spoken by Saint Paul is the continuous Presence of the Creator, as Father now, in His creatures, His sons at that time. There is no magic in the Creation. No magic in the Call. God calls and He is always around to complete His Creation. Freedom the epitaph of it, the last act belongs to the Man: Cross or Throne, Life or Death.

This said, once the satanic origin of the Theology of Predestination of the Reformation crashed, we keep on, not predestinated, but as free souls and persons, reading the Creed of the Anglican Church.

THEY also are to be had accursed that presume to say, that every man shall be saved by the law or sect which he professeth, so that he be diligent to frame his life according to that law, and the light of nature. For Holy Scripture doth set out unto us only the name of Jesus Christ, whereby men must be saved.

The hypocrisy of the "divines" cannot be more repugnant to God. They are speaking of the Mother Church, the Catholic Bride of the Lord. They call Her a Sect. A Sect the same Church built by the Apostles and the Lord Himself, a Sect that Church who fought Death and Empire no saving her flesh and blood from the horror of the Antichristian Caesars, a Sect that Mother Church who during fifteen centuries cultivated the Civilization in Europe, a world devastated by the Invasions on which the Catholic Church spread the Seed of Faith while suffering constants attacks from Death and the stupidity of mankind, and who made it through, finally, by putting Her feet of the shores of the World beyond the Ocean who never ended. That Mother Church from whose Trunk was born the Tree of the churches, the English church, and the Scandinavian churches, and so on and so forth, a SECT.

But the most funny part is not that they believed so and brought Death Penalty on whom dared to deny this Article, the really fact of hiding the real name of the Church on the "divines" rose High Treason is the core of the hypocrisy of the Anglican Creed. Why not say it with all the words?

Instead of speaking it with all the words and with all the letters writing their Eternal Excommunication from the Kingdom of God on the Catholic Church, Why to use this subterfuge "that every man shall be saved by the law or sect which he professeth"?

By this same Article weren't they condemning themselves to the damnation they call on "every sect"?

Fifteen centuries struggling with earthquakes, floods, and all kind of attacks suddenly meant nothing?

After fifteen centuries of deadly struggle with the forces of Death, during which the foundations of the Catholic Church had been texted to the limit, did not the Work of the Wise Man who founded His House on Rock, deserve any cheering but "go to Hell"?

The Roman Empire, the Barbarians, the Islam, the Holy German Empire, was not a proof enough from God to Men that the Founder of the Catholic Church was a Wise Man?

Later on, the Reformation, the Wars of Religion, the Communism, the Two World Wars, and my God!, She is still here, Old as She was meant to be,

remember? : "Peter, Peter, when you come old another will take you when you will not". And even so the Founder of a Church Two Thousand Years Old is not to be occasion of glory for the Wise Lord?

She is a Sect, the Anglican Church is not a Sect. But let's stick to the letter.

In the first part of the paragraph the Devil hides the leg, in this second part keeps that same policy and says that "For Holy Scripture doth set out unto us only the name of Jesus Christ, whereby men must be saved". The Greatness of the Manipulation on the Truth that this second line of the paragraph states can only be matched by the Line of Genesis in which the Devil says: "O, don't be silly girl, you won't die, you'll be a goddess, look at me, am a god, am I not?". A Lie as this could not be spoken at the light of the day, neither defended by intellectual process, ergo, the Divines had to bring High Treason on the responders.

Why did the Intellect of the famous English brained man not pen his mouth to say a single word on a Lie so tremendous and absurd? When did the Catholic Church that Man is saved in Her Name?

The divines slaves of the Antichrist Queen are speaking of the Article of the Mother by which is stated that outside the Christian Tree of Life cannot be the Fruit of Salvation taken. The Catholic Church the Trunk of this Tree of Eternal Life, it is understood by those who enjoy Intelligence at the Image of their Creator, that the only Fruit bringing us that Eternal Life is the Fruit related to that Trunk.

The Doors are before men ever since God Created the World. The Two Trees have been always here. The Freedom of men and women to reach one or the other is here. With the Satanic Tale of the Predestination the Reformers blew away this Freedom from God to every Man given and by it the Natural Decision to be taken. If you are predestinated isn't decision to make, right?

The same Law by which the Devil brought about the Fall of Adam is on, now and forever. The Choice is before every man and woman. A door leads to Hell and another to Paradise. Predestination says that this is no true; that we are machines, animals without freedom, and consequently we don'ot have to worry about it. Man has just to follow their "divines", stick to your sect, abide by its laws and that decision counts for nothing.

And I ask, Is this not the Method of the Sects? The only condition to belong to a sect is to give up freedom and the Power of Self-Decision-Making in the hands of the leader, in this case "divines"? Am I wrong? Do not the sects demonize the potential enemy as a political need to control the minds and the souls of their mental slaves?

Why not to speak clearly and say that the Catholic Church is that "sect : to be had accursed"?

Hypocrites! Don't you know that to live you got to eat? If you don't eat the fruit of the Three of Life, which is Faith on Jesus Christ as Lord, King and Head of the Universal Church, how will your soul live forever? Do you plant another and says: Here is the fruit of the Life of tree? In the name of whom?

Watch for your souls. "Unify", or all you will die. The Anglican Church is a broken branch of the tree of Life, still holding the bond to the Trunk. Before her, she has the Door of Life, Unification, and through Unification the bond restored by the Lord; or final rejection, in which case God will cut her off from the Tree of the Life of Eternal Life. Freedom is given to Self-Decision Making. Predestination was the New Lie from the Devil to the churches. Time is clicking, the oil is burning, the door is open, after a time the doors will be closed and the churches fund outside the Walls will be cut off from the Tree and gather

together to be burnt. Freedom or Predestination? What say you?							

ARTICLE XIX. **Of the Church.**

Before diving inside the sectarian sea of this Article let's state one thing without whose comprehension Religion is another way of tyrannizing and slavering people. Then I will proceed to dismantle the manipulation implied in the Act of the abolition of the Christian Religion by means of putting in its place "the Church".

First, the most important of both figures: Justice.

There is no such a thing as a Justice of God. I explain myself. There is no such a thing as a justice of the king, another justice of the emperor, another justice of the tyrant, another justice of John, another justice of Peter, and another of whomever be. This kind of particular "justices" are nothing else but the way that a man has to submit to the tyranny of his will a certain mass of people.

When we say that there is a Justice of the USA, another justice of China, another justice of Russia, another justice of France, we say that these countries are dictatures, their dictatures more or less tyrannical depending on the will of the masses subjected to the criminal activities of the men in power.

Hence, saying that there is a "Justice of God", speaking in a particular or individualistic level, leveling "justice" with the local "justices" that every State invents for itself in order to maintain the Nation as a Prison under the will of the leader in Power; saying this, we say that God is another tyrant, that His Kingdom is another kind of tyranny, another Prison, whose Walls are the muscles of the Will of the one of whose name it is said to exist "that justice".

There cannot be a "Justice" of God in the way we speak of the "justices" of the people and the countries. All those justices were invented to maintain in an inhuman way of life the people upon whom the will of a man reigns supreme, Death Penalty on anybody rising to context those laws the foundation of that "justice".

No matter how soft can be or how they are softened as time passes by, "justices" made to maintain under the Will of a man, a house, or a group of men, entire nations, are criminal justices, and accordingly the world cannot live but under a World Civil War situation room till the arrival of its total self–destruction scenario. On this knowledge God said "Dust you are and to the Dust you will come back", talking to Adam as head of the World.

Whether you think that the justice you are living under it is of a better quality of the justice your neighbor is living by, what? He is in hell, but you are half way in hell. Right! That one got three time yours. Are you more happy now? This is the philosophy we call the wailing of the crocodile. Am in a jail, but I can walk the dog; that one is isolated for life. OK! He is dust, you are dust, what's the difference, you eat a piece of the shit cake, he eats a lot. Are you happier?

Speaking of the Justice of God in the way we speak of the justices of the human powers we commit a deadly mistake. There is an infinite distance between a "God of Justice" and the "justice" of God. By the first "God and Justice are One", by the second God is another tyrant, another tyrant founding his law on the weight of "his parts". You kneel or you die, this is the real and only foundation of the justices of the nations. No wonder in watching the

Human Race living in a World Civil War Scenario for more than six thousand years.

Justice and God are two separate things to be considered. We have the Individual and we have the Universal. Justice comes with Life. And Life, as much as God, is an Uncreated Force. Creation is not based in the birth of the Cosmos from a Nothingness. This figure was made by people living in the Dark. Us, living out of the Dark and into the Light, we cannot accused our people for making universes out of the blue, but we cannot accept their figures neither. We have Intelligence of our own.

Justice is a Universal Reality from the Beginning without beginning of the Uncreation ruling the World of the Living Beings. Justice is a conception coming with Life and Nature to fill the Cosmos with Wisdom. Wisdom is the Mother of Justice. As much as Life without Freedom means nothing, so much for Freedom without Justice.

Justice being in Life, and Life being in God, Justice was in God. But God had the power to make His own Justice. God had the power to become a Tyrant, a Dictator, father of Terror, godfather of Horror. He did not lacked the Power for doing so. But He didn't. Instead He became One Thing with Justice. He gave His Power to Justice to reign His Creation. And this is the way there is no a "justice of God", but a "God of Justice".

You may say yourself, what the hell has to do this "God of Justice" with the Article on the table?

Well, of course, nothing. However, Faith is the daughter of that "God of Justice". And since we are dealing with "Faith Alone" as the Door to Salvation, how can you speak of Church, and Faith, and Salvation, while not understanding the difference between the "Justice of God" and the Justice of men and nations?

The closest thing we have ever been of this UNIVERSAL JUSTICE happened to be during the Declaration of the Right of Man. Political fuckers came around and the dream was turned into a nightmare.

Speaking on PREDESTINATION, one more time, the dilemma of Jesus at the Gethsemane Garden had a lot to do with this Election: To become One Thing with the "God of Justice", or to rise "his own justice". He did not lack of Power neither; as father as son, humanely speaking, He gave His Power to Justice, becoming "King of Justice", instead of being his "the justice of the King".

It is absolutely obvious that the divines who were enforced to displace the Justice of God, (now that we know what we meaning with this we can use this expression without violating the Universality of the term), those divines committed a terrible error. They displaced the Justice of the King of Heaven and placed in its stead the justice of the king of England. This is to say, they committed the error of giving up the Freedom of the sons of God under the Justice of the King of Heaven, because He is Invisible, and commended themselves to the justice of the king of England, which, as told some lines above, as much of the other kings' justices, it is no more than the expression of a criminal Will born to maintain the privileges of the individual upon the death of the universal, because he is visible.

This Jesuchristian Revolution, by which the Universal came to reign the Creation, God sitting Justice on His Throne to reign His Kingdom, this wonderful and marvelous revolution by which the justices of the individuals, whether men, houses or nations, was abolished forever, this Act of God was abolished inside and between the Four Walls of the Tudorian National Prison.

In the realm of the Britons the Justice of God had no more Power. They had the Scriptures, they need from God no more, but the Empire.

The problem is that by the Jesuchristian Revolution the Empire was abolished too, and ergo, only the from Death could the Briton buy the Empire.

But, divines as they were, they were infallible, they could not err, the churches from the old days of misery and persecutions, ay ay they could err, the Briton, the cream of the Teuton Race, nay nay.

Now we can land into the Article, but not before reading this clearing up of the table by the Infallible Anglican Church Divine. Says he:

AFTER speaking of God's election, "probably" meaning thereby election to the blessings of His Church; after declaring that the promise of salvation is not to be held out to all persons of all sects and religions; the Articles proceed to define the Church itself, into which God predestinates individuals to be brought, and which is appointed as the earthly home of those who embrace the Gospel and would be saved.

That "probably" makes of the entire paragraph an absurd. Who gave a shit about it anyway? The tyrant was there to make them swallow an elephant and to ensure that they choke with a mosquito! Many had paid dear their opposition to the justice of the king, why should they follow their path? After all the Church is the "appointed earthly home of the faithful". You see? The Church is not the House of the Lord on Earth, to gather in all those who will be taken to His Paradise. The Church is "the earthly home of the Christian". Who needs Heaven? Who wants Paradise? This is your house, piss on it, guys.

Later on the divine will travel far away, going in circles, round and round, to blind the Briton's Mind on what is important, that the Church is not the "earthly home", but the House of the Lord on Earth. A fact of blindness to be done in order to accomplish the displacement of the King of Heaven as Lord Head of the Church and the king of England taken as God-head of the Church of England in His instead.

But, hey, they do not err, the others do, the Briton Teuton Race, nay nay. Let's listen the voice of the Infallible Wisdom of the English Divines.

The visible Church of Christ is a congregation of faithful men, in the which the pure word of God is preached, and the Sacraments be duly ministered, according to Christ's ordinance in all those things that of necessity are requisite to the same.

As the Church of Jerusalem, Alexandria, and Antioch, have erred, so also, the Church of Rome hath erred, not only in their living and manner of ceremonies, but also in matters of faith.

Amen. Halleluiah! "the visible Church of Christ is a congregation of faithful men". Wow, no wonder they call themselves "divines". "In the which the pure word of God is preached....",

Obladi oblada.... during sixteen centuries the Universal Cosmos had been waiting the coming of the Godhead of the Britons "to preach the pure word of God", which was preached never ever before, and they were going to preach now and forever on, the pure word of their God-Head, the Goddess-Queen. All right. Right on!

"And the Sacraments be duly ministered", well, you better do so, divines, death penalty on your heads is swinging like a mad sun across the sky, what

should it be?

Who cares anyway?

How many sacrament do you want us to sign, Divine Queen?

"According to Christ's ordinance in all those things that of necessity are requisite to the same?".

Ay ay, get me the people on their knees before my Divinity, and do as you please.

Ergo: "As the Church of Jerusalem, Alexandria, and Antioch, have erred, so also, the Church of Rome hath erred, not only in their living and manner of ceremonies, but also in matters of faith".

The demonizers of the Infallibility of the Popes claiming for themselves the power of the demon they abhor! How clever!

They all do err, we do not. Why?, we are Britons, we are the champions of the world, we don't. And if you say so, if you dare to say so, you commit high treason against the Divinity of Her Majestic Queen.

Weird as it is, let's proceed with a clear mind to dive inside the Article.

First paragraph : "The visible Church of Christ is a congregation of faithful men".

This stupidity is not written to state a new doctrine. What is new in this paragraph is the action of a brain washing machine sect demonizing all the people ever born before the Foundation of the Anglican Church by Henry the Eight. Before Henry the Eight there were not congregation of faithful men anywhere in the world. Before Henry the Eight all of those who during sixteenth centuries called themselves Christians were depraved and insane bastards predestinated to hell. To mask their desolation they played the game of the martyrdom. Idiots! Had God chosen the Britons to play the part of the First Christians no faithful man would have die without selling dear his life. Those Mediterranean PIGS! But heil Henry the Eight, at your feet, on our knees, your people salute you and adore you with the adoration due to the God-Head of the Church.

This act, to erase from the Mind of the members of a sect any contact with the people before their coming to the real and true church of the "congregation of the faithful men", this act is a required dish in the menu. You got to feed the new congregation with hate, serve the congregating of the faithful men a menu of hate, strong and rich enough for them to cut with their Past.

This act of schizophrenia, again, we saw it in motion when the Islam came around. Islam rooted out the nations from their own history and made their history begin with Islam. Same way did the Anglican Church. The History of the English Church was demonized and transformed into a New Congregation of Faithfull men, which, until then, the English never were.

The second Paragraph, is no less interesting at the hour of the study of the Method for Brain Washing at a National Level. The case of Germany during the Twentieth Century of the Second Millennium is another chapter to study; however, being the last chapter of the book of the German Reformation Brain Washing Schizoid Method, it may present a very low interest.

It says: "in the which the pure word of God is preached".

Again and again, all Sects behaving like a Brain Washing Machine got to demonize every single piece of the Past of their members. This is a requisite of a high importance for the future of the Sect. Any contact with the world outside got to be broken, if the will of the sectarian got to be made dependent of the Brain Master.

The Pure word of God!

What is that?

The Catholic Church fought infinite battles against the sects of the moment. From the beginning of the Catholic World the battle for the Bible had no other goal, than to preserve the Text, and the Text in its Original Context.

This Context is what the Brain Master of the Anglican Sect had to erase from the Mind of the Congregation of the faithful men: the New Israel, the New Chosen People of God, the Bearers of the New Covenant from Christ to Henry the Eight, from King to King, both sons of God, "most probably".

But if you don't know to read beyond the letter, you are dead. Why you think Jesus said: "The Letter kills"? He was not speaking about the Book of God, "faithful men!", He was talking about those who use the words as secret messages between them.

This Article is not for you, "faithful men", it goes from the Brain Master to Brain Master, sending to themselves a message on the Need of keeping on the table the Bread of Hate towards the Catholic World hot, and plenty.

A Sect acts as a Virus. He enters in the Cell to empty its content and pour in its own. The Cell in this case was the English Church.

The third paragraph goes hand to hand with the same Brain Mastery Policy. Says: "and the Sacraments be duly ministered, according to Christ's ordinance in all those things that of necessity are requisite to the same".

In this case the Sectarian Virus don't mean to erase the entire content of the Cell in which it comes to be the master. After all a virus cannot reproduce itself. The secret of a virus is the need of a self-reproducing system. This is why it needs to invade the cell and pour in it the "pure word of God", its own genetic code.

The Sacraments are the Self-reproducing system of the Catholic Church. The Anglican Sectarian Virus could not erase this Sacramentarian Reproducing System.

Neither could let it be alone to its own device, because it would reproduce more Catholic People.

The need of manipulating this Self Reproducing System we will see it written in another Article.

Finally the "divine" says: "As the Church of Jerusalem, Alexandria, and Antioch, have erred, so also, the Church of Rome hath erred, not only in their living and manner of ceremonies, but also in matters of faith".

Heil Briton!

If so, and I believe they all did, instead of terminating the problem by cutting the head, errors being a question of improving attitudes, numbers or whatever, what was wrong with lending a hand?

But it is from old known that the evil man waits for the moment of weakness of his neighbor to come and kill. Was it not behind this Article this policy?

Peter has deny Christ, and three times, now is our chance, let's send him to hell!

Heil Henry the Eight, the Lord-Head of the Church of the New Chosen Nation of God, the New Israel!

Infallible can be only One. The Pope was got in an error. Now is our turn, O Superior Race of the Teuton Briton: We are the One!.

Love is all you need. Buy it now, come to "the Congregation of the faithful men, in the which the pure word of God is preached, and the Sacraments be duly ministered, according to Christ's ordinance in all those things that of necessity are requisite to the same".

What Crist's ordinance are those? Because for all that we know Christ had no other ordinance but the ones written in His Gospel. Are we blind? Still we don't know how to read? Do we need another mouth and eyes to read for us what with our eyes we can read and with our mouths speak out? Ordinances?

Christ gave ordinances, O yes:

"I command you to love each other.

I command you to love your enemy;

I command you to Love the God of Justice with all your hearts, souls and minds.

I command you to do to the other as you do to yourself".

What Ordinance is speaking this Article of?

All right! Christ is dead! We can invent so many ordinances as our Power allow us. The Lady-Lord-Head of the Anglican Church had lot of Power.

As a matter of fact the Article let the door open to Her Divinity to Order anything, they were their slaves, a congregation of faithful men living on their knees for Country and Empire. Let's hope that in a next Article the Creed of this "Sect" reveal to us the nature of that o Christ's ordinance.

The sneaky way this Sectarian Creed had to throw the stone and hides the hand command us to keep on jumping from Article to Article.

Believe me when I say that day the Jews were tried by Jesus to throw the first stone, the Teuton Race was missed. The proof of it? the speed the Germans rose to stone that Popes during the Last Hour of the Dark Ages. The Swiss delayed no long in following the Holy example. The English gave it a second thought, but once on business there were not stones enough on the whole earth to fill up the cup of their Divine Sectarian Hate against the Catholic World.

Very much Christian indeed, the Hate of the Reformation; a very Christ's ordinance it was, certainly.

What else? O yes, the God of Justice is the One who's calling to Unification.

Why?

"Probably" because He follows the Command He gives, and loving His enemies he offers them a Final Peace.

Your answer?

I don't know. I just know that once upon a time a son of God sent that Peace to Hell, and wit it went too.

The choice is yours, "congregation of faithful men"; all men have to decide which will be the fruit to eat, the Fruit of the Tree of the Science of God and Evil, War, or the Fruit of the Tree of Life, Peace. To reject God's Unification is to declare War on God, to Come to Unification with the Catholic Church is to sign Peace with Christ.

On the church that do not sign the Peace of Christ, on her head the Decree : "She will not subsist".

Run for your soul while the door is open.

CHAPTER XX Of the Authority of the Church.

Let's go to the core of the matter. Enough with this hangin' 'round the subject of the Immortality of the Soul, Rock upon which the Christian Faith was founded, and that Immortality to be dressed with the Indestructibility of the Nature of God.

What is Religion and what is the Church?

Henry VIII was God. He was the Head of the Church, the Head of the Church is Christ, Christ is God, the king is God. All on your knees! Because written it is "You will kneel before God". Amen, Halleluiah! Heil!

With this stupid song I only try to rise the eye of the reader to the fact that from the very old days all the kings of the Four Mesopotamian Regions were crazy about to be adored as gods. Between the Self-divinization of Shulgi, in the days of Abraham, and the divinization of Octavius Augustus, what?

Power, to be an absolute Ruler, needs the rising of the head of the kingdom to the nature of the gods. By the rising of the king of England to the Head of the Church this was done. Henry the Eight was God.

Now, once the Divinity brought to the ground, let's see what Religion is.

Basically speaking Religion is the belief in the existence of a Being, whom we call God, not of this Earth, not even of this Universe, on whom we can project what Unlimited Power, Intelligence, Justice, and so on and so forth, we suppose it got to be. As an abstract Idea, God is a projection of the Intellectual Power of Man to conceive all Perfection as the Nature of a Being beyond our conception. This dilemma—conceive a reality which is beyond our conception—creates the idealization of that Being, which abstracted from the Being Himself allows us to pour on that Abstraction whatever qualities is suggested to us by our own circumstances and needs.

This, basically, was the mental ground on whose historical soil the nations gave way to the different Mythologies and Religions to us known, some dead, some still alive and kicking. The existence of that God-Being a genetical thing from which men could not get rid of, the own frustration of men's experience with Life, subjected to powers all in all antagonist to those attributes to that Being given, by the impossibility of the alienation of God from men's life, men had to, and did so, invent a God on whose conception the frustration with Life could meet its meaning. Depending on their own and particular circumstances men dressed that Idea with the qualities and attributes better fit to satisfy their need of peace of mind.

This is basically what Religion is, the meeting of God and Man at a genetic and universal level. Not only Life leads men to the Idea of God, Nature conspires with Life to give to that Idea the dimensions of the Universe. God is everything.

In the midst of those days of God Makers arose the Religion of the Jews. But the specific qualities to God given by their predecessors, the Egyptians, Sumerians and Babylonians, had by that time reached a final level: Omnipotence and Justice, the two legs of the deity, so to speak. However, while the God of the Ancients was a Being existing on the other side of Death, the Jews brought God to this side. God was alive and leading the History of the

Nations to the meeting of the Son of Man. God was no more a Being that far from us, He was acting here and now, always, so near that Abraham was His friend.

In the History of the Evolution of the Revelation of God the religion of the Jews under the Law of Moses was a Revolution, at that time, equal to the French Revolution in our days, talking about the Spiritual World. But that was. From Moses to Christ no new detail was brought forth. As a matter of fact Egyptians' and Jews' Religion, when compared to each other, differed one from the other in the name given to their God. Men lived to face God after Death. So did the nations of the Far East.

Religion became a system of rules between the birth and death of man in order to attain Paradise, or whatever was on the other side.

This factor of impossibility of Alienation from Life of the Existence of God suggested to the men in Power to divinize themselves, this way taking upon themselves those qualities of omnipotence and justice natural to the Idea of God, and so Absolute Power be theirs. As I said before, from the reign of the King of Ur to the Emperor of Rome, what?

The fact was that men had born in a labyrinth and they could not find their way out. No matter the centuries men ended in the same hole, self-divinization. The method for doing so changed from time to time, from land to land, but the goal was the same to all, Absoluter Power, Divine Power, the Power of a God.

I think the first to suggest this self-divinization was Satan, that Old Snake, to Eve, the First Lady of the kingdom of Eden, her husband, Adam, the first of the Mesopotamian kings.

Anyway, we know on which premises Octavius Augustus was divinized and his sons were taken as living gods. The circumstances which served Shulgi, king of Ur, to become a living God, are more difficult to grasp, though not to understand. The Ideal of a king is to have Absolute Power. The rest, what?

It was at that time when the Greatest of the Civilizations of the Old World had taken the road to Hell that the Greatest of the Religious Revolutions, ever, came about. If Moses broke through the Wall of Death to make God become a part of his nation's life, with Christ Jesus God had come down to Earth and was walking among men like a Man.

This is to say, with Christ the Knowledge of the Divinity was overcome. There was no need any more of projecting our ideas of what God is on that First Cause of the Classic World. God had come to show Himself. "Here I am", He said.

Religion had fulfilled its goal, the Jews had found the way out of the Labyrinth. God exists not as a mad inheritance of Man, bred by a crazy projection of Nature on Mankind, but as a reality, , as a Person. God is a Person. God is on this side of the Life. Still more transcendent: God is on the Side of Life.

The Reformation said that Religion is all we need. Faith alone, Religion alone is all we need to cross to the other side. We know that God is Father, and that He has a Son, this knowledge alone suffices to get man into Paradise. And keep the Bible by your side. That's all. This is the Grace of God.

The world? Fuck the world! Every dog got to leak his own wound!

God is there, He has a Son, we know it, we love it, end of the Christian Religion.

The Jewess religion had made of the sons of Abraham a nation of prisoners between the walls of an infinite system of rules controlling their lives from dawn to dusk. No wonder that when Jesus came around madness was all over the country. They could not breath without asking the blessing of the Temple.

By separating that system of absolute spiritual controlling power from the Bible, Jesus freed Religion from Madness.

And by taking as His Inheritance the Bible, Jesus passed it on to a New Nation, a spiritual Nation, Citizens of the Kingdom of God.

And indeed if Mankind as a whole had been taken away from the Old World Labyrinth, the Reformation should have been right, Faith is all we need.

Unfortunately there was a War going on. Life and Death were armed to the teeth and fighting each other no rest.

The Battle for the Creation broke out the day when the Kingdom of Eden fell in Civil War. God took the side of Life. The sons of God of the race of Satan, took the side of Death.

From this scenario came the Battle for the Kingdom. By the crushing of the murderer of Adam, Jesus became the Universal King of Heaven, to which by Faith we all become Citizens. We have no king but Jesus Christ.

Still, there was to be fought the Battle for Mankind.

The Catholic Church became the Door to the Kingdom of Heaven, by Her Baptism men are granted the Citizenship of the Divine Kingdom, of which we cannot be deprive nor we will give up to it by no matter the circumstances. We are born to be Immortals and live like Immortal, with a motto written in our souls: "NO retreat, NO Surrender".

Religion being Faith alone, Faith alone being knowledge of the Divinity, the Reformation was a Rational Process by which men were deprived of the Citizenship of the Kingdom of God, from which Immortality comes to the Soul, and by which the door once opened for us it never closes. This is the Power of the Church. This is the Life of the Church: To engender sons of God.

Now that these two Facts: Religion and Church, have been cleared, let's go to the Article, the Twentieth. It says:

THE Church hath power to decree rites or ceremonies, and authority in controversies of faith; and yet it is not lawful for the Church to ordain anything that is contrary to God's word written, neither may it so expound one place of Scripture that it be repugnant to another. Wherefore, although the Church be a witness and a keeper of Holy Writ; yet, as it ought not to decree anything against the same, so besides the same ought it not to enforce anything to be believed for necessity of salvation.

The Brain Washing Anglican Machine is again on the run. Without saying which were those "unlawful things ordained by the Catholic Church", and based on the Absolute Power of their Divine King to terrorize the will of contesting those presumptions, Death Penalty on High Treason against the Divinity of the Crown of England on the air, the Article injects in the common English folk a generic programme of "unlawful things committed by the Catholic Church". Which were those? This was not for the common English fold to discuss, the duty of the mental-slave is to follow the rule of his brain-master.

The second paragraph is most hypocrite: "...although the Church be a witness and a keeper of Holy Writ..."!

Well, if She, the Catholic Church, whose Birth goes back to the Days of Christ Jesus, Her Head, was the Keeper of the Holy Writ, by which Power the Anglican Church took away from the KEEPER OF THE HOLY WRIT what was given to Her from God Himself?

Before the Catholic Church's Birth the Temple of Jerusalem was the Keeper of the Holy Writ. And no man could take away from the hands of the Jews what was to the Jews by God given. Only God Himself could take away from the hands of the people of Moses what He gave to the Jews. God sent His Son to do so. He did it and gave the Book of God to the Catholic Church. Now, in what name came Luther, Calvin and Henry the Eight to take away from God what God took in His Hands: The Holy Writ?

We understand that to claim this Authority the Divinization of the king of England was necessary, absolutely. As no one but God could take with legitimacy the Bible from the hands of the Jews, no one but God could take from the Catholic Church's Hands the Bible. Ergo, "His Majesty had to become the Head of the Church, this is to say, be invested of the Power of God and the Authority of God Himself". Hence the Act of Supremacy by which the King of England was proclaimed God on Earth.

Now to the ideas:

"yet, as it ought not to decree anything against the same, so besides the same ought it not to enforce anything to be believed for necessity of salvation".

When did the Catholic Church decreed anything against the Bible? She, the One who fought numberless battles against those who wanted to decree plenty things against the Bible, when She did change anything against the Spirit of the Bible?

The "divines" know a lot about History of the Old Church, but, in that History, the Catholic Church does not exist.

How so?

It is essential to any programme of brain washing machine to detach REALITY from the private interest of the brain master. Once the Catholic Church deprived of Her Historical Life, the Old Church taken Her place, the connection between the English Church and Christ is made not through the REALITY but through the channel by the brain master created, the OLD CHURCH.

Who denies the English power of brain? Isaac Newton is witness of that power. I will not deny evidences. The question is that accusations got to be materialized, not on a reign of Terror but on facts on the table. Where are those Unlawful things committed by the Catholic Church against the Bible?

Facts!

Keep for yourself the terror. Live on your knees.

To top the insanity the "divine" says:

THERE are contained in this Article three positive or affirmative, and two negative or restraining assertions.

I. The affirmative are : -

- 1. The Church is a witness and keeper of Holy Writ.
- 2. The Church hath power to decree rites and ceremonies.
- 8. The Church hath authority in controversies of faith.
- II. The restraining assertions are: —
- 1. It is not lawful for the Church to ordain anything contrary to God's word written.
- 2. Besides the written word, she ought not to enforce any thing to be believed for necessity of salvation.

This is to say, the Anglican Creed states: that the Anglican Church takes

the position of the Catholic Church as Keeper of the Holy Bible, and with it all the Authority from the Lord to Her Wife given on mater of Doctrine and Religion.

And this Treason against the Crown of Heaven to be committed because "It is not lawful for the Church to ordain anything contrary to God's word written".

Which are those "unlawful things", the divine says nothing.

Why?

Because it is the way the Sects act.

The Brain Master has no need to explain to his slaves his actions, or what he intends to do with his powers. The Catholic Church has a King, Jesus. The Anglican Church gave herself a king. The Catholic Church has a Head, Christ, the Anglican Church got herself another. This is all the common Anglican slave needed to know. Can you imagine a God, the head of the Church of England, discussing with a flock of slaves, the English common, his actions? Or subjecting his deeds to the common? How can a God be taken into Court, or forced to respond of his actions and deeds before a Tribunal? The king is God, God save the Queen. Heil England!

The song is the song, you know? An goes on like this: "Besides the written word, she ought not to enforce anything to be believed for necessity of salvation".

Of course not, only God has that Power.

Now that they had a God, now they could decree what was good and necessary for the salvation.

First: Hate the Catholic Church.

Second: Hate the Catholic World.

And that is, now you can sing your own song.

What is bad for salvation: To light a candle in the church?

To exterminate the entire population of a continent is good for salvation!

To hang a wonderful masterpiece of a painter on the walls of a church is no good for salvation?

To attack and kindle wars between your neighbors is good for salvation!

The Crimes of the Anglican Church are not written?

Is it not contrary to the Holy Writ the gay priesthood?

The Priestess-ship is not contrary to the Holy Writ?

I see, you stole the Holy Writ to decree against the Holy Writ: in the name of your God, the King and Queen of England.

A serial killer the Head, and the Body is not stained with his murders?

A whore the Head and the Body is not stained with her prostitutions?

They didn't like the Borgias, that house of madmen. They had a better madman, a real madman, not a stupid fuck like that Spaniard PIGS.

And this is the "Sect" who stoned the Catholic Church?

Low down, your Highness, and come to the ground, still there is time to forgive and forget!

God never took away from the Catholic Church Her Nature of Keeper of the Holy Bible. It was an illusion by the Devil projected on you. Death is a blind force with its hours counted and has only a goal, to take to Hell as many nations as the Devil can win from the Last Battle of the War for the Creation: The Battle for the Fullness of the Nations, the Battle for Mankind.

The Call for Unification is on. On the church which dare to turn her back on the Present Will of God, on her God will turn His. Do as you please.

On account of this Article nothing else can be said. It is empty of Jesuchristian content, and full of poisonous hate.

CHAPTER XXI. Of the Authority of General Councils.

GENERAL Councils may not be gathered together without the commandment and will of Princes. And when they be gathered together (forasmuch as they be an assembly of men, whereof all be not governed with the Spirit and Word of God), they may err, and sometimes have erred, even in things pertaining unto God. Wherefore things ordained by them as necessary to Salvation have neither strength nor authority, unless it may be declared that they be taken out of Holy Scriptures.

This Article, my good friends, was inspired by the Devil himself. I show you why. Gathering in general council (under the will of the Lord of England, their Prince) the "divines" agreed with themselves that no general council has any authority whatsoever. Ergo, this Article, coming from a general council of the English bishops, is worth absolutely nothing, and its authority, springing, exclusively from the Throne of Terror of the English Prince, can be only recognized by a nation which loves more her life than her soul.

My dear friends, when and where is it written in the Scriptures that Jesus is the Uncreated son of God? Or that Jesus Christ is the Universal and Unique King of Heaven?

You can imply from the Scriptures these consequences, concerning the Kingdom, but the Scripture contains not a single word on the Uncreational Nature of the First Born of God. Therefore all the Churches are liars, all the churches are under treason against the Holy Writ? Isn't so?

But let's be merciful with those who live on their knees, in the hope that they may stand up and choose to live on their legs instead of living on their knees, as their fathers did.

Those "divines" had no a clue on Divine History. All they wanted was Empire, and the precious things that Empire brings with: Gold, pleasures, privileges, mansions, women, palaces, power. Wasn't Christ the Materialization of the Abolition of the fusion of Church and State by the Roman Empire consecrated when the Caesar took the High Pontiff Figure in his hands, and passed it on to Octavius?

Was not this fact the origin of the divinization of the Julian house?

Was not the open uprising of Christ against the Theocracy of the Jews, His claiming for Separation of Church and State the cause of the death sentence written against Him?

Then comes the "divines" and say that the Destruction of the Work of Christ was a Decree from God to the English Nation. The Kingdom of the English became, therefore, more Jewess that the Kingdom of the Jews were before Christ. Not in vain they call themselves the New Israel. What God made by the Hand of His Son, the English Reformation unmade it by the hands of the Tudors. Means this subjection of the Church to the Princes not the abrogation of the Power of God to intervene in the People's Nations through His Church?

The Power to intervene in the Future of the Nations through His Body, the Church, was abrogated by this Anglican Creed. God had no right to move a single inch the decisions of the English Princes. "England is mine" was the motto of those Princes.

By a General Council was decreed that the Son of God is Uncreated, of the same Nature of the Father, a decree not to be found in the Scriptures. By this Article, the General Council which decreed so, is not under suspicion?

This Uncreated Nature of the Son not a figure in the Holy Writ, why should it be my entrance in Heaven denied?

The Son said that all who believe that He is the Son of God, all those are saved. Why then were Satan and his allies cast out of Heaven? They all knew that Jesus is the Son of God, God's First's born. What makes the difference between the Devil, in question of Knowledge on this subject, and the Christians under the Decree of the general Council of the Catholic Church?

It seems evident to every single free intelligent man that this difference lays in whether to live under the Decree of the Catholic Church, by which it is recognized the Uncreated Nature of the Son of God, though this Nature not written in the Bible; or living under the Decree of the Reformation, by which the knowledge of knowing that the Son of God is the Son of God it is enough for the Salvation, and by this Knowledge, and by it alone, men are saved.

Ergo, the General Council has no authority. This is to say, the Christian is leveled with the Devil in matter of Faith.

The Devil knows that Jesus is the Son of God, even so he is not saved.

The Reformed faithful knows the same, but he thinks that, contrarily to the Devil, he is saved. Why, because the Authority of the Princes?

Germany, though a nation of fanatics, when even by Luther instigated to put the Church in the hands of the princes, she never did so. The German Princes were interested in the Property of the Church. By no means they were for the rising of an Authocrator of the Byzantine kind. Once the properties of the churches fell in their hands they made a fool of Luther on the matter of the Power of the Princes over the Church, a door which could give birth to a Tyrant, kind of Henry the Eight, Lord, God and King, all in one man, a Tyrant to be scared of his power, and thence a door to be closed forever.

But in this matter the disciple surpassed the teacher, and the English became the fundamentalist movement of the Reformation, the Taliban of the day.

To break with the Catholic Church you have to break with Her Head, Christ, but a church needs a head, and a head equal to the divinity claimed buy the "divines", this to say Lord, King and God in one person. The Anglican Reformers put inside out the Decree of the Church. If this decree is that there are three Persons and only one God, the Anglican said that there is only one person in which are the tree gods: God, Lord, and King. And if they do respond that the Lord, King, and Head of the English Church, did not assume the Person of God, by the separation of Jesus, the King, and Christ, the Lord, as Head of the Anglican Church, the Tree Persons being one God, the King Lord of the English could not be but a Servant of the Devil, because the Kingdom belongs to Jesus, the Lordship to Christ, and both were from God invested with the Glory of His Uncreated Son.

We see then that this Article is a Declaration of Rebellion against the Crown and Lordship of the Son of God over all the Nations of the Kingdom of His Father, a kingdom which comprehending the entire Creation, Past Present and Future, admits no other Divine Authority but the Authority of the Son of God, Head of the Universal Church, the Only One who can Call to National and Universal Councils.

They say, "but well, the Antichristian General Council in which the Antichristian, or not Christian, Decree on the Uncreated Nature of the Son of

God, was written, a Decree not to be found in the Scriptures, that Council was summoned by the Emperor". And I got to say, wrong. The Emperor acted under the power of the spiritual head of the Christian World, as it is seen in Constantine's submission to the authority of Hossius. The price that the Council paid from this call was heavy, as the history of Athanasius reveal to us, and the continuous bending of the house of Constantine to the Arian Cause, show us well.

The Creed of the Arians, the Son is not Uncreated, this Creed was founded in the impossibility of finding in the Scriptures this Fact. The Anglican divine, living on his knees, comes to defend the cause of Arius, and, though going not on the Arian side, he takes this foundation of the Arianism as his and rises it up to the Nature of an Article of Faith, the Faith of the Anglican Church. An Article which by its own nature has no value whatsoever, its only authority springing from the terrorist head that the Anglican Creed proclaim by the Authority of the Master, Lord, King, and, naturally, God, of the English Nation.

However, leaving now this area of controversy and diving into the Omniscient Mind of God, not that Taliban King of UK, but the God, Father of the King and Lord of His Church, Jesus Christ, we see that the Salvation of Mankind, because the War on the Saint Spirit by the house of the sons of God, of the nature of Satan, proclaimed, this Salvation of the Fullness of the Human Nations was pushed to the background.

To cut short the story told in the God's Memoirs, the shock God received by this Rebellion pushed Him to take a last stand, on a universal level, in order to set His Creation on a foot never more to be scandalized by the rising of a War between brothers. The Empire of the sons of God was abolished, all their kingdoms abrogated, and only one Lord and King, at Universal Level, was to take all the Power of the Princes in his hands.

To top this New Kingdom God called to Life a Body of Priests, and called to be the Incarnation of this Eternal Truth, not written in the Bible: The Father is God, the Son of God, the Saint Spirit of God. A Body of Priests devoted in body and soul to this Creed, the Creed of Christ.

Christ Jesus becoming the Head of this Body, and because He is the Son, the Body of Christ received from this Head the Nature of God, this to say, Indestructibility and Eternity. Indestructibility seen in flesh in the Catholic Church, for the Salvation of us all for the Eternity.

This Body is the Holy Body of God among the Fullness of the Nations of His Kingdom, and by Him the Creed of Christ is proclaimed forever and ever all through God's Creation, and this Creed instituted as the Pillars of the Future of all the Nations of the Kingdom, Past, Present and Future.

A Body to share the Nature of God cannot have but God as His head. And through this Head the Body receives the Indestructibility Natural to that Head, the Uncreated Son of God. This is the Religious Body that God has given to His Kingdom in order to gather all the Nations, Past, Present and Future, in one Universal Soul under the Doctrine of the Trinity.

The origin of the trouble began with the Doubt on the Uncreated Nature of the Son of God. To fight back this Doubt and put the Light on a footing equal to His Nature, God made His Truth flesh and blood, Christ, Head of the Church, the only One who has the Power to Call the churches to national councils and the Universal Church to General Council.

Any submission of any church to any Prince of the Earth, therefore, is a treason against the Head of the Church. The Church is a spiritual body, living in flesh, subjected to God Himself. The State is a political body governed by men

and for men. Only Judas can deny the Authority of a General Council, called by the Lord Himself, to treat matters of the Spirit appertaining to the Soul and the Future of the Kingdom of God. If not because a terrorist of the Kind of the Crown of England had on their knees that body of divines, there would be not forgiveness for those who beheaded the Church of England and gave herself as Head a Serial Killer, a murderer of good men, the author of the massacre of the English peasants.

This question was a decisive question on the days of the Western Schism. Is the Bishop of Rome under the Authority of the General Council?

The answer cannot be but one, the Lord is the Head of the Body of the Priests, Lord of all the Bishops, of all of them, not exception, all the Bishops and priests are under the Authority of the Universal Council by the Lord gathered.

The other question is: Can a National Council treats of matters relevant to the Universal Church?

The answer cannot be but one: Not at all.

Can the Universal Council decree the expulsion of a local or individual church from the Tree of the Churches?

Ves

And finally, by the Divinity of the Lord: as Chief of the Body of the Bishops of the National Churches, the Mouth of the Universal Council is the Bishop of Rome

Are these things written in the Holy Bible?

No.

Are matters of Faith?

Yes.

However, when I say that the Uncreated Nature of the Son of God is not written in the Holy Scriptures I say this speaking in a humane way.

The problem is not the Holy Scripture, but the Author. In the case of the Reformation, as in the cases of the heresiarches from old, the reader considers the Intelligence of the Author of the Human Kind.

The Ignorance of the nations before Christ survived in the nations of the Reformation, evident truth is shown in the emphasis with which the divines, all of them, used to raise themselves to the level of the Intelligence of the Author. The sole idea of a man being able to grasp the omniscient deepness of the Intelligence of the Divine Author after a reading of the Text shows us, with plenty force, the quality of the ignorance of Luther and the other Reformers as Interpreter of the Bible.

The Omniscient Creator of the Land of the Galaxies writes a Book, and a man, a bunch of dust in the wind, comes to grasp the entire meaning of a Book written to be open by the Heir of Adam, in the first place, and the Heir of Christ, in the second?

Didn't they know that the Bible enclose two Testaments? Christ, was the Heir of the First. Who was to be the Heir of the Second, the New?

The Heir of the Old Testament, who could be but the son of Adam?

Who but the son of Christ could be the Heir of His testament?

Or didn't you read that a Testament Needs the Death of his author?

You are, Divines, and you don't know these things?

Didn't you read that the entire Creation was expecting the day of the sons of God? Weren't the Apostles sons of God? Who were they speaking of, then?

Everything by the Catholic Church decreed on Matters of Faith are contained in the Bible. She is the Trunk of the Body of Christ. She could not decree but those Articles by Her Head given to the Nations in order to their

Salvation. As yesterday, so today and tomorrow.

The entire history of the world after the Fall has been an evolution from ignorance to wisdom. And so after the Resurrection of Christ the entire History of the Christian World has been a progress in the sciences in order to rise the Intelligence of Man to the unlimited nature of the Intelligence of His Creator. In between, men have to fall to the pressure of wanting to know everything and having the access denied for reasons to them unknown, "the Hidden God of Luther".

This is not a Day of Vengeance but of Joy, this is not a day of Revenge, but of Forgiveness. The Day by the Saint Spirit prophesied, the day of the gory of the freedom of the sons of God, had come. And where is the Glory of our Freedom but in the Intelligence of God, to which Image we were created? The Heir of Christ is born, the Light of the New Day is pushing ahead, and it will cover the Earth for the sake of the Salvation of the Fullness of the Nations. This is not written in the Holy Scriptures, is it? Even so, it will come to happen and you will see it with your eyes.

CHAPTER XXII Of Purgatory

Indeed, once the Rebellion against the Work of God, the Separation of Church, or Temple, and State consummated, High Treason on the Crown of the Son of God dictated by the Act of Supremacy, the "divines" had to kneel before the Devil begging from Satan's sufficient wisdom to use the Scriptures against the Work of Crist, the Catholic Priesthood. We see this fact in the opening line, when the divine says "The Romish doctrine". Trespassing the line between Creator and Creature, between Man and God, already trespassed by the Rising of a man as head of the Church, this is to say, as God, and because the Crown of England was raised to the Nature of the Divinity, the Anglican Divine dare to judge the entire Christian Catholic World according the Judgment by God passed on Adam, when by the sin of a man the entire world was sentenced to death.

That the Third Denial of the See of Peter on Christ (Borgia's Age) was repugnant to men and God, yes, indeed, it was. That by the Sin of a bishop the entire Body of the Bishops was to be judged and sentenced to Hell, only the Devil could do such a thing, inasmuch as Jesus Himself did not dare to judge Peter but submit to the Election of God. This is the Crime that the Anglican Divine committed: to spit in the face of Jesus for having not dammed Peter after having deny Him not once, but three times.

We see Peter's Three denials in the History of the Church, the first happened when the Bishop of Rome crowned Charlemagne, the second when the bishop of Rome denied the Power of the Universal Council of the Saint Spirit, and the Third during the Borgian Age. After this Events took place the Bishop of Rome came back to the Light and never ever, excepting cases of the kind of Paul correcting Peter in the First Council of the Church, the Bishop of Rome never ever had fell under the Power of the Dark.

This Prescience of God by which He can see the Future, Millennia before events come to happen, this Prescience is not new to the reader, we see it in the Book of the Psalms, and we see it ruling the Future of the Church from the Events that the Son of God lived. He said it clearly, the Devil had to come during the Night of the Bishops to destroy My Work, the Church, a fact that could not be accomplished but through the Breaking of the Unity of the churches, by it pushing them against the Decree of God Himself, "A house divided in itself will not subsist". This is the Trick Satan used in the Eden. And this was the only way the Devil had to destroy what God has created, the Catholic Church.

The Act of Supremacy of the Anglican Church meant the Destruction of the Work of Christ, on one hand, the Separation of Church and Temple; and the Destruction of the Unity of the Universal Church, from which, according to the Word of God had to come the Destruction on the Christian World, on the other hand.

We understand that this Act of Supremacy could not be signed by the Old English Mother Church but by her subjection to the Antichrist himself, whose reign of Terror only the Universities of England, say Cambridge and Oxford, could deny as a historical fact. All right, the Antichrist won for England the Empire. But at what price? High Treason against the Crown of Heaven!

Obviously this Price, the Empire, could not be paid to England by the Father of Jesus Christ, but by the Devil, and from the Devil the Antichrist King won the British Empire.

This Act of High Treason against Christ and God once signed, the divines had to erase from the memory of the English Nation the Nature of the Old English Mother Church, and twist the Theology to the point of imposing Death Penalty over all the English citizens on the presumption on their potential threat against the Law of the Crown.

We see that the fate of the Old Orthodox Church, the Byzantine, was decided by her subjection to the Emperor. The Second Orthodox Church, the Russian, perished by the same fate; she who tied her destiny to the destiny of the Czar, she had to die with her new master lord. The Germans tried to import the byzantine type of relation Church-State during the reign of the Henry the Fourth. The struggle it is known as the Question of the Investitures. The battle was won by Christ and the State and the Church stood each on its side.

The English Universities always lamented the failure of the Germans for doing so. We understand that this lamentation on the German Crown was based in the victory of the Crown of England to do what the Germans could not: Destroy the Work of Christ Himself: The Separation of Church and State, in modern words: The Separation of Religion and Politics.

Two terrible crimes we see springing from this Article. First: The attribution of the Divinity's Omnipotence and Omniscience by which God could judge the entire world by the sin of a single man. Ergo, by the sin of one, the Bishop of Rome, the entire Body of the Bishops, this is to say the Body of Christ, was excommunicated and sentenced to death in the eyes of the English Nation.

Who wonders they called themselves "divines"?

And Second: By the Act of Supremacy the Anglican Church, while rising against the Unity of the Churches, went to the side of the Devil. Ergo, the Supremacy of the King was the Rule of the Antichrist, whose conclusion had to bring the Destruction upon the universal Christian world.

But... we read in the Scriptures that in Eden's Case God did what He did because He could not do but what He did. However, He would not do so anymore. Every sinner will pay for his own crime, not innocent to be comprised in the sentence on his transgression. This means, as "the divines", if "divines" are, will understand, that the church which won't walk back to Unity through Unification, she would be destroyed.

God said to the Churches: Get away from the Empire, Rome got to fall. The Greeks did not so, they thought that lying wyth the Emperor by the love of their church God would not destroy Rome. The First and the Second Rome were destroyed. And the Third Rome, the Empire of the Roman Czars, too. I wonder if Putin is tempting the Russian Church to consecrated New Moscow as the Fourth Rome.

Anyway, that is the situation room in which the English Nation is in this day. If the church of England does not separate, absolutely, from the State, and come to Unification, Crown and Church will fall.

Now let's get to the point.

THE Romish doctrine concerning purgatory, pardons, worshipping and adoration, as well of images, as of reliques, and also invocation of saints, is a fond thing, vainly invented, and grounded upon no warranty of Scripture, but rather repugnant to the Word of God.

Everything that the Wife of the Lord and King of the Christian Creation did or said, once the Act of Treason against the King of Heaven was signed, had to be treated as things of "beasts", things of the PIGS. The Superior race, that Teuton Race!

As a matter of fact the Final Battle for the XXTH Century was fought between the two branches of that same Race, the Briton and the Saxon, for World Supremacy. The Mediterranean Race was in their eyes, and still is, an inferior race, a Sapiens sub-race. The sub-race of the PIGS.

The Bread of the Reformation was not of Love, but of Hate. Five centuries after that Bread is still doing its work.

First Point: PURGATORY.

Purgatory is "repugnant to the Word of God"?

All right, and under which authority the divine says so? He who claims the Scripture as his foundation of his creed he got to show that every single word that he says is based on the Holy Writ. Am I wrong? Where does the Bible says anything against the existence of a room between this Present World and the Future World, where the souls sleep until their Resurrection?

The assumption that the people die and go to Hell or to Heaven is a doctrine of the Devil. Jesus said it very clearly, "At My Voice the souls will wake up".

Then, dear divines? If souls are sleeping, they are not in Hell. From Hell there is not ticket back.

Of course, Jesus was born two thousand years ago and you may say that His knowledge of Hell and Heaven, and of the Judgment of God, in comparison with the Anglican Church', it holds the difference between the brain of a child and the brain of a man. And you will do well saying so, because once you have made of the doctrine of the predestination the angular stone of your Creed there is no place in there for a Fair judgment Day. Am I right?

The fact of a Judgment Day is based on the premise of souls still living in an non-judged condition. People don't go to Hell after physical dead. Even a great prophet of Israel was sleeping when Saul came to wake him up.

Now, how would you call that place?

You deny the existence of this place. And with it you deny Christ, with it you deny the Judicial Basis of the Judgment Day too. In this Article you say, simply, that after the death man goes straight to heaven or straight to hell. By saying this you deny the Doctrine of Christ, the entire Doctrine of Christ on the condition of the Souls during the time between death and resurrection. This simple denial makes of the rest of the Article a Decree of the Devil, by his Prince, the Crown of England, signed.

How can be a Judgment Day if every man is already in the place assigned to him after his death?

In this Article you make a Joke of the Judgment Day, of the entire Doctrine of the Kingdom of heavens on the Salvation of Mankind.

I could discuss with you the value of the stupidity of the common folk and the malice of the medieval priest on the question of relics and so and so forth, if you were a brother of mine in God I would agree with you in the stupid character of the adoration of the images, and so on and so forth. But as you have signed an Article by which you deny the essence of the Doctrine of God's Salvation, I won't discuss with you questions reserved to brothers in God, it will be to discuss with the Devil on matters of faith. However, once on this side of the Unification Door, we will have plenty time to discuss anything on the

premise of the Love for God and Mankind, staring at the Salvation of the Fullness of the Nations as divine goal. Obey first, and then we will talk.

Even so, in attention to the common folk, let's say that the Purgatory, understood as an existential state of sleepiness equal to a waiting room after death and before resurrection, being a reality not to be denied, still so the Bible says clearly that any attempt to get involved in it, mingle with it, it is a crime.

The crime of the medieval roman church was to pierce in its room through the Indulgencies. It was this crime the source of the Reformation and the crime which needed it correction, "reformation"; instead that crime was used to justify the policy of the princes on the question of the expropriation of the churches, a fact which only a fool could deny. Unfortunately England has some of the two biggest schools of fools in the western world, Oxford and Cambridge, both at the service of her Divinity, the Crown of England.

CHAPTER XXIII Of Ministering in the Congregation.

The fallacy of the Anglican Creed is based on the destruction of the Body of Christ, whose head is Christ Jesus. The Church is born in order to give to God's Crown a Body of Priests consecrated to Him in body and soul, to sustain the Faith of the peoples in the Doctrine of the Uncreated Nature of the Father, the Son and the Saint Spirit among the Nations of the Creation, Past, Present and Future.

The Fallacy in this Article is quite evident to people with some intelligence on matters of Divinity. God created for His Kingdom a Body of Priests consecrated to Him, and at the same time everybody is a priest. Is God an idiot? It engenders a priest to preach me, myself a priest according to the Creed of the Congregation as the reunion of the faithful?

I am the shepherd and the sheep? If so, who needs Christ? If the Church is the Congregation of the faithful, making no distinction between priest and believer, why did Jesus deny the entrance to the Holy Mass to the rest of the people, taking with Him exclusively those who God gave Him to be His Priests? If we all are the Body of Christ who need priests?

The doctrine of the Church as the Congregations of the faithful means that I am as much a priest as anybody, the only difference between me and the Canterbury Archbishop laying in the fact that he has connections and lots of money and me hardly to pay me my daily bread.

What a joke the Reformation made of Jesus' Institution of the Christian Priesthood! You have money, all right, yours is the Canterbury See; you have little money, well, you gotta give to the Canterbury Archbishop some of your little money in the name of the King, head of the Church, God of the English Salvation!

The Holy Mass celebrated by the Lord Himself was a Congregation of the faithful?

I am a faithful. Jesus was a faithful?

The faithful is the sheep. The shepherd is the priest. How can I be shepherd and sheep at the same time? Of course, that was the Miracle of the Reformation. WE are all shepherds, WE are all sheep. An to round the idiotic system, we are all kings too.

And why not gods, said Henry the Eight?

According to the Christian religion by Jesus instituted during the Holy Mass, the Congregation of the faithful gathers around the Body of Christ, a Body of Priests, to celebrate their Faith together, and this Faith founded on God's Church, from whose Baptism we have received the Citizenship of the Future World. And it is to this Body, the priest, to which we say AMEN, because the Body of Christ is the Priest, flesh and blood.

It is from this AMEN by which we are freed from kings and lords, and by our own will we tie our souls to the Crown of God, Lord and King, and before the King of Heaven only the man kneels, Today and Forever.

This is the Doctrine by which the Apostles died and the Church was edified. You may understand that once you deny the Kingship of the Son of God

on the entire Creation of His Father you got to deny the Body of Christ, and come with the Antichristian Congregational thing.

The Article in question, this step taken of refusing the Crown of Heaven as Head of the English Church, comes to say :

IT is not lawful for any man to take upon him the office of public preaching, or ministering the Sacraments in the Congregation, before he be lawfully called, and sent to execute the same. And those we ought to judge lawfully called and sent, which be chosen and called to this work by men who have public authority given unto them in the Congregation, to call and send ministers into the Lord's vineyard.

This is to say, once abolished the Power of the King of Heaven to intervene in the direction of the English Mother Church, there was no other thing to do that to take away from the Holy Spirit His Power to elect for His People men born to be priests according God's Prescience and Will.

The origin of the corruption of the churches in the root of the Reformation, the control of the access to the priesthood by the princes and their aristocracies bodies the real origin, this control the real point to be reformed, the English Reformation jumped to the opposite side and gave the control of the church to the princes and the money people as the remedy to the situation.

All along fifteen centuries the european aristocracies fought for the control of the national churches. Following the attitude of the Old Jewess priesthood, who controls the Temple controls the religious treasure; the English aristocracies undertook the Reformation as the best way to control the religious sources of the nation.

Firstly, by the expropriation of the church's properties the power of the priest was reduced to none, and secondly, by the submission of the election of the preachers of the congregation to the king and his puppets, the priesthood of Christ was abolished and in its instead brought to life the Anglican Congregation: "All shepherds, all sheep". The Germans fool said "All kings too". The English were not that nuts. After all, King can be only one.

And of whom are they spoken when saying "And those we ought to judge lawfully called and sent, which be chosen and called to this work by men who have public authority given unto them in the Congregation"?

Where is God? Where is the Election of God by which Jesus gathered around Him those who were to be shepherds of the flocks? Imagine the money powers of those days saying to Jesus "Marc?, all right!; John?, I don't know; Andrew?, I don't like the man".

Conclusion: Those who renounced Jesus as the Head of the Church, had to renounce the Saint Spirit as the source of the priesthood. The Divine Church became the "Church of the divines", very divines all of them, indeed.

CHAPTER XXIV

Of speaking in the Congregation in such a tongue as the people understand.

IT is a thing plainly repugnant to the Word of God, and the custom of the Primitive Church to have Public Prayer in the Church, or to minister the Sacraments in a tongue not understood of the people.

Repugnant to God is to massacre nations, repugnant to God is to assist to the massacre of a nation and doing nothing to stop the murderer. Repugnant to God is taking from the poor to giving to the rich, bail-out they call it; repugnant to God is to destroy the Unity of the Church, repugnant to God is to deny the Kingship of Jesus Christ and take a man as king. Repugnant to God is to deny the Headship of Christ Jesus as the head of the Church.

Repugnant to God is speaking in Latin?

Then came the English and God fell in love with the English Language and dammed to hell the Languages of the Mediterranean Nations. From this we understand the absolute impotence of the English folk to speak French, Italian, Spanish, Greek, and so on. These are languages repugnant to God, aren't they? These are languages of the Devil!

Repugnant to the customs of the Primitive Church?

Of course, they spoke no English, man! They were nuts, more than that, they were PIGS!

Was not Latin the International Language of the Day as much as the English is the International Language of the Day?

Does this Article means that the Priest speaking Latin to the Spanish Nation before the Divine Nation of the Teutons came around, that priest was repugnant to God because he spoke Latin but not Spanish?

Can we conclude from this Article that the Apostleship was repugnant to God, not so much because those "repugnant" fellows could not speak the Language of the English Church, but because they addressed to the Nations in Latin?, the bastards!

No wonder in the repugnant instinct of the Nations born from the Reformation toward the Mediterranean Languages.

Theirs are the languages of God. Which takes us to this point: And to the Native Americans to preach them in English, that was not repugnant to God? Of course, if in Spanish, oh yeah, that was repugnant to God.

We see that as the Creed goes on the Taliban Fundamentalist Soul in the Subscribers come to surface more and more evidently. Now they can say even what is repugnant to God. A thing very estrange indeed for a "God who has no passion", as the first article did state in the face of the history and the world.

The stupidity in the declaration is so enormous than only a perfect stupid could waste his time in demolishing a statement made for the control of the souls of a nation subjected to a reign of terror with the blessing of the Anglican Church. It is not the preaching which is made in Latin, but the formulas natural to the Cult, understood by all folks by the continuity of the use.

The Pater Noster in Latin or in Hungarian, so what? When did the English Priest preached to the common in Latin, you fools!? But when it comes to the sacra formula of the Mass, so what?

The Pater Noster in Latin is repugnant to God? No one is so fool as to pray the Pater Noster in Latin in the intimacy of his room, or alone in the Temple. Is there such a fool? The English can't help thinking of himself as the most clever creature of the planet, as a matter of fact his Language is the Language of God.

What would the English says of this Article if the English were the Latin of the day, would they abolish this Article as repugnant to God?

CHAPTER XXV **Of the Sacraments.**

SACRAMENTS ordained of Christ be not only badges or tokens of Christian men's profession, but rather they be certain sure witnesses, and effectual signs of grace, and God's good will towards us, by the which he doth work invisibly in us, and doth not only quicken, but also strengthen and confirm our Faith in Him.

There are two Sacraments ordained of Christ our Lord in the Gospel, that is to say, Baptism and the Supper of the Lord.

Those five commonly called Sacraments, that is to say, Confirmation, Penance, Orders, Matrimony, and extreme Unction, are not to be counted for sacraments of the Gospel, being such as have grown partly of the corrupt following of the Apostles, partly are states of life allowed in the Scriptures, but yet have not like nature of Sacraments with Baptism, and the Lord's Supper, for that they have not any visible sign or ceremony ordained of God.

The Sacraments were not ordained of Christ to be gazed upon, or to be carried about, but that we should duly use them. And in such only as worthily receive the same they have a wholesome effect or operation: but they that receive them unworthily, purchase to themselves damnation, as St. Paul saith.

As can be seen from the reading, only a reign of terror and an aristocracy who finally made it, take the temple as their own source of richness, could deploy such a antichristian decree by which the Edifice, the Building of the Church, by God ordained and by His Sons, Jesus at the head of them, built, was abolished, demolished, destroyed, and in her stead a Congregation of slaves, servants of their New Lord, the Crown of England, rose supreme to rule the faithful according to the interest of the house of the British Empire.

It is a law from old, by all the readers of History known, by the English Universities not at all, that the constitution of an empire need the subjection of the temple to the crown, and that this subjection is part of the imperial system, the more the fusion of both the stronger the rule of the empire and emperor.

In the case of the British Empire the fusion was absolute, only to be compared with the empires of the most old days of the world. The justification for this regression to the rule of the Murderer Houses from the most old days of the history of the world gave body to the argument of a non-look-for empire, which came to England as a gift from God. The manipulation of this argument, by the Universities of England, supported during centuries, it is a denial of the Historical facts, related to the continual English invasions of France, and the interference of England in the affairs of the European nations, the Empire the main goal of the English nation ever since she obtained an united crown.

Henry VIII had the Empire in mind, and so his successors, finally attained in the days of Elizabeth. The Need of the Anglican Creed had to be, therefore, subjected to the reality of the Imperial System.

The subjects had to be slaves of the Crown, and any essay on subversion put under death penalty, the sole conversion to Catholicism treated as High Treason.

The Cromwellian War was the natural reaction of a nation on her way to slavery, to which she was driven by the Imperial destiny behind the English Reformation.

When the time came to give a decretal body to this reality the Creed could not be but such as we are dealing with: The Nation had no God but the Crown of England and no Christ but the Anglican Divine. Only this couple could say what is repugnant to God, and even what God is allowed to feel.

The Sacraments, this is to say, the Cult of the Church, had to be, equally, demolished.

The Catholic Church had proved Herself faithful to her King, Jesus Christ, and only to Him. An Emperor could not allow any external Power taking control of the souls of his subjects. The Nation is the body of an emperor, and he had to be his head, at all levels, religious too.

Every single Article of this Creed is subjected to this Holy Mission of the English Nation: To do on Earth what Jesus did not, this is to say: To enthrone Himself as the King of the World.

This Article says that the Marriage is not a Sacrament. This is to say, that when God said "They will be two bodies, but one person", God was drunk. They say, them divines, that the word of God is God and from here the need of subjecting every single move to the word of God, but when God speaks they are who defines what God means and what God means not, and God Himself is to shut up and move according to the English divines interpretation of His Word.

God said it in the beginning, remember Genesis, and then He said it later on by the mouth of His Son, remember Jesus. But as Jesus was no more the Head of the Church, the Anglican Congregation was not subjected to His word, because everyone is subjected to the words of his own head.

How could a serial killer of the kind of Henry VIII subject his flesh and soul to a Sacrament of God? How could a Whore of the kind of Elizabeth subject her flesh and soul to the Decree of God on the Union of the Woman and Man?

Absolutely, when God and Son said that the Marriage is for life, ergo, a Sacrament, because a sacrament is an ordinance of God Himself to rule Nature in Mankind, from the point of view of Henry and Elizabeth and the divines, God was drunk!

Those guys took from the word of God what they liked, and what they hated, for imperial political reasons, they simply dismissed. Very divines, indeed, very English!

A congregation of faithful, of course. The question is "Faithfull to whom"? To their men and women? how could be so? Was Henry faithful to any? Or Elizabeth to any of her lovers? As the head, so the body.

"Sacrament" means "Sacred Mind". Meaning we go to the marriage with a sacred will. A will to be father and mother to the end of the life. Meaning we don't marry for money, nor for lust, but for love. How could the English Whore and his Murderer father give their minds to such a PIGS thing?

What a joke, the English Creed!

Let's move on. They say that Penance, this is to say, the Power of Confession, the Power of forgiveness of sins, is not a Sacrament.

They Article says that Jesus was not Holy, that Jesus was not the Son of God, of the Uncreated Nature of God, that the Son and the Father are not only one God. Ergo, when Jesus passed on His Apostles the Power of Forgiveness, Jesus was drunk too. Those divines! Cowards by birth! When did you hear from the Spanish landing in America that they eat each other, meaning cannibalism, to escape death? This is the first thing we hear from the English landing in America! Very holy congregation of the faithful indeed!

How discuss with those who rose in rebellion against the Father and the

Son and the Holy Spirit, this Power of the Catholic Priest to forgive sins?

I don't discuss with the Devil. The Bible is there to be read. Today is a day of freedom. The reign of Terror of the English Crown is over. Read for yourselves the Gospel. Plenty occasions you will find in which Christ showed His Power of forgiveness. Head of the Church, Head of the Body the Bishops, the power of Forgiveness comes to the Priesthood from His Head. Other, is argument of the Devil. My Father has told me not to discuss with the Devil, and so I do.

Sacrament means Sacred Mind, and with it we drive our souls to the priest asking for forgiveness for our sins. As a malignant cell got to be uprooted the sooner the better, so the sin if not uprooted grows and brings cancer to the soul. And this Power is not taken and given but by and from the Body of Christ, the Catholic Priesthood.

Let's move to the next denial of the Powers of Christ: ORDERS.

This is a logical denial of the Power of God to intervene in His Creation and elect for Christ's Body men born to be priests, this is to say, to be the Doctrine of the Uncreated Trinity in flesh and blood between the Nations. We see that nobody but God did elect the Men who were to be His Body, this was Ordination, Order, the Power of God to choose among the families of mankind those precious vases of honor in which His Glory is to be announced to all the Nations, Present and Future.

An Edifice, as Body, and Religious Body, no politics, the Ordination began with Jesus and from Him expanded to His Apostles, from whom the process of Edification of the Body of Christ was continued and passed on from bishop to bishop.

The Church has been built by the Church, so to speak. With her growth came the Hierarchy, not as an invention of men but as the materialization of the Model God had and was brought to Earth by His Son. Not in vain He gave the keys of the Church to His Apostles, the First Bishops, and to Peter, especially the Chiefdom among the Bishops. This meant Hierarchy. How could a Edifice born to be tested century over century not to be structured as a Hierarchical Body? Did not God know that the Roman Empire was to throw all of its power against the Church? That His Enemy, Death, would move all the forces on Earth to destroy His Church? Is not the History of the Christian Nations the record of the struggle between God and Death for the Church?

Then, when the victory was finally won, comes the English and says : All right, the Church is mine.

Yeah, Zimbabwe is yours.

Next: Extreme Unction. It comes with the Love for the Souls of men and the Salvation of Christ. It is the expression of the Hope of Salvation from Christ, in His Church, to all the men born under the sign of the Cross. We can't sin, understanding rebellion against our King, Lord and God, but under the circumstances of this world we make mistakes, many. This is the last confession. Does one man or woman deserves less or more Heaven because the priest was not around in their final hour? Of course not. But under which premises is right to take away from men the last confession of faith?

And finally we have Confirmation. Which came about from the Baptism of the children. We baptize our children under the Sacrament by which we deploy all of our forces to water that seed and feed that tree of life until it gives the fruit of Faith. The rest belongs to them alone.

Baptism of the children without this Sacrament of their fathers to cultivate the tree of Faith in them, better not doing it. However, if you were baptized but

the tree of faith was kept sleeping under the circumstances of your life and world, Confirmation is all you need.

The flesh and the blood lives in the material world, the souls in the spiritual world. Both are necessary to realize ourselves. You can live without the word of God, but without your daily bread how long will you live?

Give the Caesar which is to the Caesar and to God what is to God. This Creed gives everything to the Caesar and nothing to God. How could be other way? Empire is the goal the subscribers had in mind. Not a Sacred Mind at all. How could they love the Sacraments of God?

CHAPTER XXVI

Of the Unworthiness of the Ministers, which hinders not the effect of the Sacrament.

ALTHOUGH in the visible Church the evil be ever mingled with the good, and sometimes the evil have chief authority in the Ministration of the Word and Sacraments, yet forasmuch as they do not the same in their own name, but in Christ's, and do minister by His commission and authority, we may use their ministry, both in hearing the Word of God, and in receiving of the Sacraments. Neither is the effect of Christ's ordinance taken away by their wickedness, nor the grace of God's gifts diminished from such as by faith and rightly do receive the Sacraments ministered unto them; which be effectual, because of Christ's institution and promise, although they be ministered by evil men.

Nevertheless, it appertaineth to the discipline of the Church, that inquiry be made of evil Ministers, and that they be accused by those that have knowledge of their offences; and finally being found guilty, by just judgment be deposed.

This is an old dispute coming from very ancient days. In our days we see it alive in the question of the crimes of priests raping children. It is a Question resolved already longtime ago before this Article came to adopt the same answer by the Catholic Church done to the problem of unworthy shepherd and innocent sheep.

When I go to communion, with no knowledge whatsoever of the unworthiness of the priest in office, is My Sacrament diminished in Power because that unworthiness?

While in office my confession receives forgiveness, the unworthiness is to be imputed, before God, to Christ?

It is of Christ, as Lord, to take care of His servants. When am hungry a eat bread, and it feeds me no matter the hand it gave it to me. It belong to the law to prosecute the man, if the bread was stolen, and there ends the jurisdiction of the law, at least that a tyrant wants the bread back, or put me in jail for having eating that bread.

The evil is not in the office, but in those powers in the Hierarchy which knowing the unworthiness of the priest behaves not like the Article says: Deposing the man. On those powers the Lord will put the damage done to His Name and Church.

Will I go not to church because it could happen that the priest in office is a perpetrator of the crimes he preaches against? Will I have to ask the Church the history of every single priest in office before going to church? Of course I will go, leaving to the Lord the damage done to His Cause by His Servant. I am just a sheep. The wolves are the duty of the Shepherd.

CHAPTER XXVII **Of Baptism.**

BAPTISM is not only a sign of profession, and mark of difference, whereby Christian men are discerned from others that be not christened, but it is also a sign of Regeneration or nee birth, whereby, as by an instrument, they that receive Baptism rightly are grafted into the Church; the promises of forgiveness of sin, and of our adoption to be the sons of God by the Holy Ghost, are visibly signed and sealed; Faith is confirmed, and Grace increased by virtue of prayer unto God. The Baptism of young Children is in any wise to be retained in the Church, as most agreeable with the institution of Christ.

Again, if there is not Confirmation as a Sacrament for the fathers and mothers to cultivate that tree of life, Baptism of children is unworthy of Christ. Baptism is a Confession of Faith for grown people, and with it comes the Doctrine of the Kingdom of God, by adult people understood in all the lines. A child cannot be baptized but under the Sacramental duty of the fathers to water its soul in the name of the Power given from God to Man as procreator, working hand by hand with God himself, through His Church, for the Formation of men and women as a sons of God.

Baptism operates all the attributes given in the Article on grown people alone. Children baptized left to their own will live a dead faith. Faith will be dead in them. A seed sleeping in the soil.

To pretend, as this Article does, that by the Baptism of the Children all the graces of the Baptism on an Adult flows from Christ to the child is a denial of the Work of God.

In the beginning God called His sons to Confirm Mankind, to act as Cocreators. It was from this Act of Confirmation of the Faith in God, from Nature taken, that Man was given the power to be a son of God. This is the power from God to the procreators given, which reaches its fullness by the co-creative act of Confirmation, God acting in Both: Church and fathers.

Confirmation is needed. Jesus said it very clear: "Let's the children come to me". This is to say, to the Church, where the Water of Life is taken, for the tree of Faith be grown and its Life may kindle in the soul the freedom of a son of God. A freedom which, it is to be understood, cannot be "most agreeable with the institution of the king", or any other institution based on the mental slavery of the sons of men.

CHAPTER XXVIII Of the Lord's Supper.

After having emptied of meaning the Christian Baptism, Baptism is the passing of the Soul from mortality to immortality, when they say: "By Baptism: Faith is confirmed, and Grace increased by virtue of prayer, unto God", by this Decree separating the Works of Jesus from the Words of Christ, which they do following the Tyrant Rule of destroying the Work of Separation between Temple and State, let's see how they empty the Sacrament of the Mass, Instituted in the so called Lord's Supper, by which God gave to His Saint Spirit a body, of flesh and blood, the Catholic Priesthood. From the manipulation of the Sacrament, "By Baptism Faith is confirmed", we understand that they abrogate the Need of Confirmation for the children after Baptism, this way showing that the source of this Creed is an Hypocrite Tyrant whose goal was Imperial Absolutism. That Tyrant casted out from his realm the Spirit of Christ, because, after all, Jesus was dead, hence the Holy GHOST. They say so:

THE Supper of the Lord is not only a sign of the love that Christians ought to have among themselves one to another; but rather is a Sacrament of our Redemption by Christ's death: insomuch that to such as rightly, worthily, and with faith, receive the same, the Bread which we break is a partaking of the Body of Christ; and likewise the Cup of Blessing is a partaking of the Blood of Christ.

Transubstantiation (or the change of the substance of Bread and Wine) in the Supper of the Lord, cannot be proved by Holy Writ; but is repugnant to the plain words of Scripture, overthroweth the nature of a Sacrament, and hath given occasion to many superstitions.

The Body of Christ is given, taken, and eaten, in the Supper, only after an heavenly and spiritual manner. And the mean, whereby the Body of Christ is received and eaten in the Supper, is Faith.

The Sacrament of the Lord's Supper was not by Christ's ordinance reserved, carried about, lifted up, or worshipped.

The Tyrant and his Court of murderers had no clue on the Divinity of the Lord. Why would they, anyway? The Church had a New Lord and King, ergo a New God. The Church's Godhead had been passed from Christ, in whom live God, to the Crown of England. How could the Body of the King of the Britons have a clue on the Eternal and Infinite Meaning of that Supper on whose Table the Fate of the entire Creation was decided, sealed and begun?

They say: "The Supper of the Lord is not only a sign of the love that Christians ought to have among themselves one to another".

The Supper of the Lord is a sign of Love that Christians ough one to another? WOW! Divines indeed they were, all of them, living on their knees before their God-Goddess, the Tyrant-Whore.

A Table around which the Son of the Creator of the Land of the Galaxies,

Heavens and Earth, sat with the Man whose Life He gave birth by saying "Let there be Light", my friends, ladies and gentlemen, that Table, compared with the table of the holy drunkards around the father of Hitler, Martin Luther! WOW!

All right, not only love is all we need, lalala, from me to you, kiss kiss, my ass, idiots, "but rather (The Supper) is a Sacrament of our Redemption by Christ's death". (Here come the hands clapping and the cheers and the Heil heil God save the Bitch!). Because the Lord's Supper was not the Institution of the Union of God and Man in a Body, Jesus the Head, Christ the Body, Jesus Christ everything in God, and God everything in Man, by this Work of the Uncreated Father God's Spirit coming to the realm of the Creatures for the Salvation of the Creation, and by it we say that the Saint Spirit is a Person, Three Persons in One God: YAVÉ the Father, JESUS the Son, CHRIST the Saint Spirit. Jesus the Head of Christ, the Church: the Body of Christ.

A Work of God, as Great and Eternal as the Creation of the Galaxies, had come to pass, and the only thing that the divines of the Tyrant had to say is "rather is a Sacrament of our Redemption by Christ's death"?

Heil England! God, Infinity and Eternity were going through the Greatest Revolution, ever, the last stage of the Revolution which turned the Uncreation into the Creation, to be give it an End there and then around that Sacred Table where the Father and the Son were sitting in order to join to their Sprit the flesh and the blood of the Creation as Part of their Lives, forever and ever, and you divines of England all you have to say is that "The Father and the Son's coming to sit around the same Table with Their Creation was to celebrate the Death of Christ, sign of our Redemption?".

I understand, Christ died to bring to your little island the Empire! Christ died for you to abolish the Word of God on Union between man and woman? Christ died for you to put down the Laws of the Creation and bring forth a new system of laws based on the superiority of the Race, Nuclear Weapons and the Instinct of Survival?

Tell me this, Who showed to you Christ as dead?

Didn't you hear the News?

Jesus came out of the grave, Jesus is the King, and His is the Crown of England. Now, you give it back to Him or you will not pass to the next century.

The rest of the Article, what is or is not repugnant to God, comes from the Devil. I will not discuss with the servants of the Devil, a single word. The Flesh and the Blood of Christ is the Priest. *Finito* the TALK.

CHAPTER XXIX

Of the Wicked which eat not the Body of Christ in the use of the Lord's Supper.

Let's be the WICKED!

First: God took to the Table exclusively those who He previously chose to be One Body with Christ, Flesh and Blood.

Not even the Mother of Christ was invited to come and celebrated the First Mass ever celebrated on Earth.

We all know that the Passover was a Family Celebration. If the Lord's Supper was a Celebration of the kind of the Jewess Passover, which is what the Anglican means, in this case why did God shut the door in front of the face of the Mother of Christ?

Were many the men who believed in Jesus of Nazareth, the son of David, and all of them were in Jerusalem to celebrate the Passover. Why the Father and the Son excluded all of those who followed Jesus and took with Them around the Table only those who were chosen to be the Body of Christ, this is to say, to be the Priests of the New Temple?

They had to eat and drink the Flesh and the Blood of Christ Himself to become One with God. Christ is the Body of God among His Creation. And this Body is the body of the Priests. A Body dependent only of the Father and the Son. They have no King but God, they have no Lord but God, they had no Universal High Pontiff but God. The Priest is the flesh and the Blood of Christ, the Priest if the Body of Christ.

That was the First Mass celebrated on Earth. Around the Altar were the Father and the Son and the Saint Spirit, this is to say: CHRIST.

That was neither a Table of holy drunkards, nor a table of omniscient and omnipotent hypocrites saying what it is or is not repugnant to God.

I'll tell you what is repugnant to my Father. To have a king other than Jesus Christ, this is repugnant to God.

To have two Lords, this is repugnant to God.

The Church having other Head than Christ, this is repugnant to God.

This is the Bread of the Saint Spirit, which comes from God to fortify the Immortal Soul in the road to Life Eternal.

What are we cannibals? How are we supposed to eat the Flesh and the Blood of Christ?

Let's be enlightened:

THE Wicked, and such as be void of a lively faith, although they do carnally and visibly press with their teeth (as St. Augustine saith) the Sacrament of the Body and Blood of Christ, yet in no wise are they partakers of Christ: but rather, to their condemnation, do eat and drink the sign or Sacrament of so great a thing.

Excuse me? Is anybody out there understood a single word?

Of course, the "divines" will tell that the devil cannot understand the things of the "divines".

But really is there out there anybody who can read behind these words anything else but the decree of a tyrant telling? : "All on your knees".

I understand, after having proclaimed, with the Reformation, that we are all priests, it comes by itself that we all have to drink the Wine and eat the Bread around the table of the Lord's Supper. In other words, we have to break in the Room where God was sitting with His Servant and claim our share in the wine and bread! And this, in the name of the English Tyrant King.

I understand, you do not agree with God closing the Door to the Body of Christ for the flock. Your Goodness is no boundaries; God's has it.

To call you sheep is an insult. You want to be a shepherd.

The problem is that you don't want to lead the life of a shepherd.

So what?

If we all press on God at the end He would give way!

Oh yes, this is what Satan thought and came out with the idea of eating the flesh and the blood of Man as the better way to proof to God his right to the Universal Throne of the Gods.

What a mess he did, didn't he?

Now comes the Britons telling the same, they want to eat the flesh and the blood, but not of men, of those they had their mouth full, but the flesh and the blood of Christ Himself. Not like Priests, as humble shepherds, but like shepherd kings.

Man needs a woman, and children to feel warm, fuck the mountain cold! What happen with God?

Why did He not consult the Britons about the Coming of Christ?

And to top the pie the Britons call on one of the fathers of the Catholic Theology to shoot on the face of God theirs hate on his Work : The Catholic Church.

As a son of God I say: Father, have mercy on them; as a man, Britons, go to hell!

The Anglican Theology is the Anti-Theology by God spread in Books, Saint Augustine one of the "Pens" He used to take His People from Ignorance to Wisdom, and thence to Intelligence.

On the wisdom of the Teuton Race, Saxon and Britons, to manipulate the Truth we are all aware. Two World Wars and the many wars fought in the name of the Balance of Power are witness against those nations before the Tribunal of the Son of God. So, let's keep keeping on.

CHAPTER XXXX **Of both Kinds.**

THE Cup of the Lord is not to be denied to the Lay-people: for both the parts of the Lord's Sacrament, by Christ's ordinance and commandment, ought to be ministered to all Christian men alike.

And I say, Where on hell is written in the Holy Scriptures anything about this "goodness surpassing the goodness of God"?

Those who subject their enemies to a certain law, they abolish that law to themselves?

They anathematized the Infallibility of the Bishop of Rome on matters of Faith and they claim their Infallibility as a matter of fact, High Treason against the Crown any discussion of the subject?

Let's see if I make myself clear.

The nature of the Terrorist Theory is based on the Right of a people, whether for nationalism or religious matter, it's all the same, to put themselves beyond and above the law.

To kill is a crime. The Terrorist Theory says that their killing is not a crime. The Terrorist clams the right to Kill and to be accepted his crime as a good deed.

Stupid to say it, the Terrorist Theory is a perversion of the Human Rights, the Terrorist levels himself, in the domain of the Divines Law, with Satan. He is a follower of the Devil.

"I do to you what I don't want you to do to me". This is the Theology of the Devil.

It is an Antichristian Theology, as we all understand. The Law cannot have exception. There is no such a Good Deed as State Crime. From the moment the State buy to itself the right to kill, no matter the justification, the State becomes a Criminal Organization.

Terror can be find all along the strata of the human society. In the case on the table we see a terrorist Law on action. "THE Cup of the Lord is not to be denied to the Lay-people: for both the parts of the Lord's Sacrament, by Christ's ordinance and commandment, ought to be ministered to all Christian men alike".

By this Law God is declare out of the Church, a natural conclusion once they had taken away from God His Headship on the Body of the Anglican Church.

Why this Article is a terrorist Law? I said it before. God Himself shut the Door to the Cup of the Blood of Christ to the Mother of Christ Herself, this way revealing to the Creation that the Blood of Christ is partaken exclusively by God's Priests.

No one but the Priest can eat the Flesh and drink the Blood of Christ. He is the Flesh and the Blood of Christ. And this Flesh and Blood the Priest eats it and drinks in the Day of His coming to the Priesthood.

From that moment He is the Flesh and Blood of the Saint Spirit, the Third Person of the Trinity: The Physical Existence of God in this Earth, Today, and In Heaven, Tomorrow.

It is to this Creation, by which God and Man became One, we say YES before the Altar.

Now, what were they talking about in this Article that Sect of Cowards,

"divines" they called themselves, who chose rather to live on their knees before a Tyrant than to die like men of God in the defense of the Supremacy of Christ upon the Church of England?

Think about it.

CHAPTER XXXI Of the one Oblation of Christ finished upon the Cross.

We can draw one first conclusion. This: God, the Father and the Son, came to gather around the Table of the Lord's Supper to give an end to God's Work on the Foundations of the Creation. Before Christ the Creation of Life was based upon the structure of an Empire, the Son being the King of kings and Lord of lords, under the Father as the God of the Nations. After Christ, the Empire becomes One and Only Universal Kingdom, by which the King of king and Lord of lords become the Universal King and Lord, no other Crown to subsist. And this Crown of the Son to last Forever.

With the Kingdom, the Father and the Son raise Christ as their Servant. Jesus the Head of Christ, the Ordination of the Christian Priesthood begins. A New Tree of Life, whose Root is God, is engendered in the Flesh and the Blood of Christ, and with it begins the Succession of the Catholic Priesthood. The Apostles are invested with the Priesthood of Christ and from them the Flesh and the Blood of Christ, through the Ordination, grow throughout the Nations.

From this Trunk will come the Branches, the National churches. It is the Trunk from which the Flesh and the Blood of Christ is given to the Branches, Not vice versa. This is to say, when talking as Priest, the Bishop of Rome, Successor of Saint Peter, Chief of the Bishops, when he says that there is no Salvation out and away from the Catholic Church he is saying that the Communion with the Trunk of the Tree of the churches, whose Fruit is Faith, it is necessary for the life of the branches; if broken, the Succession is broken, and with it the Covenant between Christ and God by which the Nations become Citizens of the Kingdom of God, is torn in pieces.

Christ, the Body of Jesus, receives from God His Uncreated Nature, and ergo God's Catholic Church becomes Indestructible.

The Father comes to the Son to take Him back Home. He sent Him as Kings of kings, He takes Him as the King and Lord, in whose hands He puts His entire Kingdom.

With the Son, the Father takes to His Kingdom a Servant, the Saint Spirit made Flesh and Blood, Christ, to be God's physical Presence among the Nations. The Birth of the Catholic Church is completed in the Divinity of His Founders. The Truth is made Man. And Man is made the Incarnation of the Religion of Infinity and Eternity: God is the Father, the Son and the Saint Spirit, Three Persons, One God, Lord and King.

The Transubstantiations of the Flesh and the Blood of Christ takes place in the Act of the Ordination of the Priest. From that moment the Succession from Christ Jesus to the Apostles, to follow from the Apostles to the Priests to be born in Christ, the Priest becomes the Flesh and the Blood of Christ, Today in Earth, and Tomorrow in Heaven. He lives forever. Flesh and Blood of Christ, He receives the Nature of Christ to become the Physical Presence of God among the Nations of His Kingdom.

The Lord's Supper becomes, then, the Commemoration on this New Creation by the Father and the Son undertaken and by which Life is founded on Eternal Premises: TRUTH; JUSTICE, PEACE. WE say YES to this New Creation, we say AMEN to the Body of Christ, the Priest before us, Flesh and

Blood of Christ, and we take from his hand the Fruit of the Tree of Life, the Bread of Life, Faith, as a symbol of our Love for God and Obedience to the King beneath whose Throne He has put His entire Creation.

Tell me, when you eat the fruit of a tree, you drink the blood of the tree or his flesh, the fruit, in which that blood is contained? If you are not opriest why should you drink the Blood of Christ?

And if you don't believe in transubstantiation, why to command the wine on the church, you hypocrites!

Let's not deal with the last section of this Creed.

THE Offering of Christ once made is that perfect redemption, propitiation, and satisfaction, for all the sins of the whole world, both original and actual; and there is none other satisfaction for sin, but that alone. Wherefore the sacrifices of Masses, in the which it was commonly said, that the Priest did offer Christ for the quick and the dead, to have remission of pain or guilt, were blasphemous fables, and dangerous deceits.

It got to be said again, because when dealing with hypocrites under the command of a tyrant, whose reign of terror lived from century to century, words are full of life or death, depending on whom speak them out.

It is a matter of fact that the Reformation was a response to the sickness of the Church after the long and narrow road through the Dark Ages. We will not subject to judgment those evil doctrines of some scholars of the English universities according which the Dark Ages was caused by the Birth of Christianity. Madmen are to be found everywhere! The fact that the English universities did not take any action against those scholars it comes from the nature of the Anglican Creed, according to which, as in love and war everything is allowed, so too when taking on the Hate on the Catholic World even calling on the Devil as ally it is a "divine" thing.

The Prescience of God is beyond discussion. The Powers of the Uncreated Nature of God, also. How could the Son of God restore eyes to a blind man it is beyond our power of understanding. He does it. *Finito* the talk.

That God saw the different schisms of the churches coming from before their birth, only a non-Christian man will deny. That the Liberation of the Devil was a Need springing from the Love of God to Mankind, in order to cut short the road to the Freedom of the sons of God, of the Line of Christ, only a non-son of God will discuss. As a man uses a certain product to accelerate a certain reaction, so God used the Devil, once a son of God, to accelerate the events after which, once occurred, the Creation, under the crown of the King of Heaven, had to gather to free Mankind from the Power of death. These facts considered, the international circumstances brought on us by the Dark Ages, on one hand, and the long and narrow road of the evolution of our intellect from total ignorance to unlimited Intelligence, on the other hand, these facts had to bring upon the scene the Corruption of the Trunk of the Churches, on which subject Saint Peter spoke when he said: "The Faith, subjected to corruption". Meaning that "Faith alone" tends to die. Notwithstanding this the Church being the Body of Christ, once She had received from Her Lord and Husband His Indestructible Nature, that Corruption, though bringing sickness to the Trunk, would not take to the dust the Tree. As the Son could not be held in the grave, so the Church, His Wife, could not be held in sickness to the point of dead.

The fact is that the last of the sickness of the church, the Age of the Roman

Pornocrats, Alexander the Sixth the most visible exponent, caused a call to General Council, this is to say, to healing. The Denial of the Italian Bishops, the Roman Bishop at their head, to obey the Call of the Lord could not but exasperated the national churches, the branches of the Tree, demanding drastic measures against the denial of the Roman-Italian Bishops of being under the Law of the Lord. This is the Denial once offered by the Italian National church, when using the Pope as their pourparleur during the day of the Western Schism. Once again the Italian church denied the Power of the Lord on the Universal Church, to whose Power is subjected the entire body of the bishops, the Bishop of Rome as much as the bishop of Moscow or of London.

The antichristian behavior of the Italian clergy in matters of clerical life, making of the Priesthood of Crist a house of whores and murderers, had, by nature, to cause a tremendous earthquake in the realm of the Christian nations. And so it came to happen.

However, the branches cannot live without the trunk. And this Trunk was made indestructible. Indestructibility which was used as natural excuse by the Italian clergy to bear a clerical life repugnant to God and men. And this was the sickness of the Trunk.

Reformation meant to heal this sickness. That and only that was the task of the Reformation. This healing was finally undertaken by the Sack of Rome, the subjection of the Pope to the Spanish Emperor and the Supremacy of the Spanish Theology upon the body of the Italian church. One again the Spanish Church came to the rescue. It was the Spanish Theology which prevailed in the Council of Nicaea, and it was the Spanish Theology which prevailed again in the Council of Trent.

Unfortunately the Reformation flamed the passions of the princes and the theology of the Reformation became only that : the New Gospel for the Justification of that robbery. The Catholic Creed was erased and New Creeds came upon the international scenes. This Anglican Creed, tough from the beginning postulates its adherence to the Creed of the Catholic Church, has nothing to do with the Creed of the Fathers of that Old Church on whom the divines called, over and over again, in support of their Articles.

They say "THE Offering of Christ once made is that perfect redemption, propitiation, and satisfaction, for all the sins of the whole world, both original and actual; and there is none other satisfaction for sin, but that alone". And we say: Yes, perfectly Catholic. This is the doctrine the so-called f'athers of the Old Church', and the Catholic Church, even in the days of Her sickness, kept preaching over and over again all along the centuries and the nations. In this aspect the doctrine of the Redemption was never changed and was transmitted from Christ to His Apostles and fro His Apostles to the Catholic Church with the perfect clarity read in this first line of the 31 Article. But then after the Confession of Live, comes the Decree of Hate:

"Wherefore the sacrifices of Masses, in the which it was commonly said, that the Priest did offer Christ for the quick and the dead, to have remission of pain or quilt, were blasphemous fables, and dangerous deceits".

Again and again we see the method of a Brain Washing Machine Sect implanting Hate against the World outside the boundaries of the Sect. In this case the Catholic Church, the Mother of the Creed of the Christian World, the Trunk of the tree of the churches, in those days defended by the Spanish Empire, is the target. A layer of Love surrounding a hard core of Hate, what

hypocrisy!

Is the Mass a sacrifice?

Or the Commemoration, as I said above, of the Gathering of the Father and the Son and the Holy Ghost around the same Table, by this Act the Table becoming an Altar, the Altar of the New Temple?

Was it the Sacrifice of Christ an offering of Himself for the sake of the Forgiveness of the Sin of Adam and the World, Sin committed under Ignorance, Ignorance required by the Law?

The Sacrifice of Christ being the Offering of Himself, how can a man says: "The Offering of masses for the remission of sins, is blasphemous?" and not calling Christ "blasphemous"!

Did not say Saint Paul, the hero of the Reformation, that by the conversion of a man, this is to say, by the salvation of a soul, the many sins of converter are washed in the eyes of God? Was he a "blasphemous" too?

The malignant mind behind this article is clear. The Old Doctrine was that the Mass is a Sacrifice, this is to say, the Offering of Christ as Lamb of God, who had to be sacrificed for the sake of the Salvation of Mankind. The "divine" manipulates the doctrine and speaks of "the sacrifices of Masses". A perfect hypocrite, a perfect servant of his new lord, the crown of England, how could he say other than that!

The doctrine was and is that the offering of Masses for the sake of the soul of the Christian dead is graceful to the Lord. It is a prayer for the sake of beloved of dead, in which the Priest of Christ, His Flesh and Blood, acts as intermediary between the soul of the Christian dead and his Lord: for the mercy of the soul. Love is the origin of this Prayer. Subjected we all to the law of a world ruled not by the Justice of God but by the justice of the individuals, we can't help doing things about which we can't but feel sorry for what we did. We are all stained with our own deeds. Who's the first to bend and throw the first stone? Oh yeah we know it, those divines, always walking the stone!

Blasphemous my prayer to the Lord, enforced by the prayer of His servant, flesh of His flesh, blood of His blood?

But of course, we know it, the Briton sub-Race is perfect in all matters, and die in white, so white that it has the power to say to the Lord, "Oh shit up, you blasphemous, Faith alone overrules your Rule".

However, those who don't love the living how would they love their dead!

CHAPTER XXXII Of the Marriage of Priests.

The Christian Priesthood is an invention of men or of God Himself?

If it is man's invention, then the priest is subjected to the laws of men and as man he has the right to follow the lives of the men.

If it is a Creation of God then the Christian Priesthood is ruled by the Law from God to Priest.

What will be?

Even when God made Man he raised a Model, an Image. He said "Let's make man to our Image". The Image was already there. Man was made to the Image of the sons of God to be a son of God. Hence the Genealogy of Jesus ends in Adam, son of God.

When He gave to the Hebrews a Temple, and with it a priest, He brought forth Aaron to be the Image of the Hebrew Priesthood. And according to the Image of Aaron al the generations of priests from him to Caiaphas followed that rule.

In the case of the New Temple how could be other way? However as the New Priesthood came without a Book of Rules, the Rule and the Image came both things in One, Christ Jesus, the priest of God, the Image of the Priesthood of the New Religion. We say New because the Old, that of Moses, was based on God as One, without entering in the Inside of God. The New Religion did this and brought to us the Knowledge of the Personality and the Persons in God.

Moses related the knowledge of God to Power. Christ took us to the Knowledge of God as a Person defined by the attributes natural to the spiritual world: Truth, Justice, Peace, Freedom Love, Life, and so o and so forth. The Old Priesthood was surpassed and left behind as a relic. The New Priesthood took the place Aaron left, and began to shine all over the world its Light. A New Temple was born and with it a New Priesthood, and as the Old had the Image in Aaron, the New has its Image in Christ.

The Nations which took the Image of Aaron as the model of the Christian priesthood, becoming the Orthodox Greek church, Byzantine first, Czarist Russian later, were destroyed, and left a remnant in order to receive mercy on their Obedience to the Unification of the churches.

The Question is: to what Image was called the Christian Priesthood, to the image of Aaron, then, they can married, or to the Image of Christ, born to live Immaculate before God, the offering of his flesh and blood a Perpetual Commemoration of the Love of God for Man?

In the Priesthood of Christ Jesus, God's Image for the New Priesthood, we have alive the Omnipotent Power of God to overrule the Laws of Nature, on one hand, and by this Power His Presence made Physical to the Creation, on the other hand. In Christ Jesus we have the Man offering Himself for the Salvation of Mankind. Christ Jesus puts His Soul in the hands of God, giving up His life as individual, for the sake of the Salvation of the Human Race. This is the Spirit of Christ Jesus the Priest, He is the Image of the New Priesthood, a Priesthood to be fought back by Death, first, and by the Devil, later on. Christ Jesus align on the side of God without no restriction. God's War on Death is His War. And who is the warrior who jumps in the middle of the fight, where the battle is raging

bloodier and harder, with children and wife?

They wanted to be shepherds, but drinking wine and eating at pleasure, women and children pleasantly waiting and cooking while the dogs were watching the flock. Who wonders in the Devil finding a rich soil to throw the seed of the division of the churches? This said, let's give the word to the divine:

BISHOPS, Priests, and Deacons, are not commanded by God's Law, either to vow the estate of single life, or to abstain from marriage: therefore it is lawful for them, as for all other Christian men, to marry at their own discretion, as they shall judge the same to serve better to godliness.

The hypocrite cannot speak but like an hypocrite. Can be the head nor married and the body be married? Was not Christ Jesus, the First of the Priests of the New Temple and Final Religion, by Law commanded by God to be Virgin from birth to death? And these people called themselves "divines"? And the English Universities shut their intellects to this declaration of Antichristian Belief?

Again: Jesus Christ, the Universal High Pontiff of the New Temple, Lord of the Universal Church, the First of the Priests, was not commanded, Christ Jesus, the Lamb of God, to be Immaculate from the cradle to the grave?

The life of the Old Priesthood was the offering of animals for the salvation of men. The life of the New Priesthood, Christ Jesus its Image, was the offering of His own Flesh and Blood to God as token of remission of our sins and the conquering of the Mercy of God on us. And God did not command His New Priest to be Immaculate?

The destruction of the Old Temple on the horizon the future of Mankind was Hell. Who would win from God that Mercy by which He would sign a New Alliance with the Nations, to protect them and to save them? Who would conquer the heart of God? And at what price?

Then Christ stood up and offered His Life, His Flesh and Blood; and conquering God's Heart He won for the Nations a New Temple and Priesthood. This offering, dear to God, irresistible to His Heart, was the way His Son showed to us men

Who but His Son could know the Heart of God? And who but God could make us Love His Son so much as to make men follow His Way, by it maintaining the victory of Christ Jesus alive forever and ever?

Because in the Old Temple the Flame of the Love of God was based on matter. But in the New Temple the Flame of the Love of men for God and the Love of God for men is based on the Life of Christ, who lives in the Priest to maintain that Flame alive forever and ever, and this Flame lives from and by the Offering of men to be the Flesh and the Blood of Christ, alive, ergo, to be Brothers of Christ in the Lord Jesus.

Of course, in the beginning the Law of Moses ruled the lives of men. God could call to the New Priesthood but men already married. Those who were called virgins, as John, they stayed immaculate to the last day. According to this they said that if you were called already married, stayed so, but if virgin, stays virgin. So supernatural was the virgin life of the Christian Priest in the beginning that in order to save the soul, Saint Paul said: "Marry, don't burn". However, the Future of the Priesthood was to reach the Image by God given, Christ Jesus. And we observe that first is the Word, so God said: "let's make man to our Image", and then a long process of formation of mankind began.

Same in this case, first it was given the Image and then a long process of Formation began.

The Reformation acted on Christianity following the as the action of the Devil by which the Process of Formation of the Man was aborted in the universal. The Process of Christianity by which the Priesthood was near the target, to reach the Image of Christ Jesus, was aborted, fortunately not in the Universal. Given this, the Teuton sub-races got to ask themselves who were the real Master of the Reformers.

In the case of the Unification the answer is this: Are you married, stay married, but your succession is over.

In the case of those who want to devote a space of his life to God, do so, but getting married you leave the priesthood, without however leaving the church, working for the Salvation of the Nations. But if crime against Christ was committed, by using the Sacraments to breed the satisfaction of your flesh, you will leave the church, as one who came in the House of the Lord to dishonor the Lord. If crime against the law of men was committed, by the laws of men you will be judged. Christ is the Incarnation of the Justice of God by which no Exception is made before the Law. Whether the bishop of Rome of the archbishop of New Work, he who is a criminal according to the human laws, he is a criminal according to the laws of God, he will be deposed without excitation, thrown away from the church for the law to take him away

CHAPTER XXXIII Of Excommunicate Persons, how they are to be avoided.

We see here than those who rejected Christ Jesus and adopted the Aaronic priesthood as their Supernatural "divine" Image, by nature of reason had to display the same dialectics of the priests whom they took from the type of priesthood. They speak of "Publicans". Let's read:

THAT person, which by open denunciation of the Church is rightly cut off from the unity of the Church, and excommunicated, ought to be taken of the whole multitude of the faithful as an Heathen and Publican, until he be openly reconciled by penance, and received into the Church by a Judge that hath authority thereunto.

Hypocrites!

They see the straw in their neighbor's eyes and can't see the log in theirs! They swallow an elephant and choke with a mosquito!

Puritans, divines, rejecting Christ, and loving Jesus.

They loved Jesus, they hated Christ, that bigot, Immaculate, Offering is Life as Sacrifice, His death a Mass for the remission of the sins of mankind!

Thanks goodness Jesus was gone to Heaven, and Christ, that bigot, was gone forever. They would bring back, now that He was dead, Holy Ghost, the laws of the Jews, and by them they will abide.

He is a leper, out of the society with him; he is a Catholic, high Treason, death to the demon.

Much better still, not the law of Moses, not the law of Christian the Teuton sub-races will bring a better law, the law of their power and will, the law of the stronger power, the law of the Supreme Race.

Publicans! And Pagans!

CHAPTER XXXIV Of the Traditions of the Church.

It is not necessary that Traditions and Ceremonies be in all places one, and utterly like; for at all times they have been divers, and may be changed according to the diversities of countries, times, and men's manners, so that nothing be ordained against God's Word. Whosoever through his private judgment, willingly and purposely, doth openly break the traditions and ceremonies of the Church, which be not repugnant to the Word of God, and be ordained and approved by common authority, ought to be rebuked openly, (that others may fear to do the like,) as he that offendeth against the common order of the Church, and hurteth the authority of the Magistrate, and woundeth the consciences of the weak brethren.

Every particular or national Church hath authority to ordain, change, and abolish, ceremonies or rites of the Church, ordained only by man's authority, so that all things be done to edifying.

Ergo, as everything have been writing by the hands of men, and everything have been ordained by men, everything is invalid, therefore men are absolutely free to do as they please. The more so the Church being not the Body of Christ, but the Body of the Crown of England, every church can do and undo according to the will of the tyrant on office. Because the Church, as a Body, must, as every body does, to obey one rule, equal to all the parts of the body. However, once the Anglican Church was abolished as the Body of Christ, to expect anything but tyranny of the imperial will from that Anglican Church which abolished the Church as the Body of Christ, would be stupid to death.

Let's move on to the next.

CHAPTER XXXV Of the Homilies.

The second Book of Homilies, the several titles whereof we have joined under this Article, doth contain a godly and wholesome doctrine, and necessary for those times, as doth the former Book of Homilies, which were set forth in the time of Edward the Sixth; and therefore we judge them to be read in Churches by the Ministers diligently and distinctly, that they may be understanded of the people.

Of the names of the Homilies.

- 1 Of the right Use of the Church.
- 2 Against Peril of Idolatry.
- 3 Of repairing and keeping clean of Churches.
- 4 Of good Works: first of Fasting.
- 5 Against Gluttony and Drunkenness.
- 6 Against Excess of Apparel.
- 7 Of Prayer.
- 8 Of the Place and Time of Prayer.
- 9 That Common Prayers and Sacraments ought to be ministered in a known tongue.
 - 10 Of the reverend estimation of God's Word.
 - 11 Of Alms-doing.
 - 12 Of the Nativity of Christ.
 - 13 Of the Passion of Christ.
 - 14 Of the Resurrection of Christ.
- 15 Of the worthy receiving of the Sacrament of the Body and Blood of Christ.
 - 16 Of the Gifts of the Holy Ghost.
 - 17 For the Rogation-days.
 - 18 Of the State of Matrimony.
 - 19 Of Repentance.
 - 20 Against Idleness.
 - 21 Against Rebellion.

See the hypocrites, after having left to every single church the question of ceremonies and traditions, now they come with the subsequent tyranny on what the churches got to teach.

- 1 *Of the right Use of the Church*. Shows the extension of the hypocrisy: why they do not say it with all the words? : The right way to use the church is like a whore at the service of the Crown of England.
- 2 Against Peril of Idolatry. Shows idem of the same: The Catholic Church is the Idolater. The peril of falling in the religion of the Mediterranean PIGS got to preserved with Death Penalty on Conversion. High Treason against the Antichrist King understood.
- 3 Of repairing and keeping clean of Churches. Probably because the Catholic World had monkey men huts for adoration of God, and the English, the inventors and builders of the first cathedrals of Europe, could boast of Temples of a better quality and beauty than those caves of the idolaters from France,

Spain, Italy, Poland, Austria. Oh Yes!

- 4 Of good Works: first of Fasting. Of course: to fuck himself is the best work of the world, not repugnant to the Word of God at all. They say so, and they can say so even to God: O Lord, that's repugnant to the Word of God. Yes sir.
- 5 Against Gluttony and Drunkenness. What an hypocrite. That's all about Christ's Religion, On the Belly and the throat!
 - 6 Against Excess of Apparel. And on jeans and shirts and shoes.
- 7 Of Prayer. Of course, Jesus said, when you pray do it so: PATER NOSTER; ETC. But the hypocrite was there saying: Am the best of the world, Lord, in fact am the champion of the world, look those blab la bla. But I forgot: King Jesus was Dead, long live the king.
- 8 *Of the Place and Time of Prayer*. Next would be of the time and place where shit and piss. Tyrants can't help making of the lives of men a hell. Even to breath you got to ask a blessing!
- 9 That Common Prayers and Sacraments ought to be ministered in a known tongue. So cool!
- 10 Of the reverend estimation of God's Word. Weren't they nice! Britons are born idiots, their divines ruled every single move they did for their own sake. Following the rule of Saint Paul "I become slave for you to be free", those divines became tyrants for the Britons to enjoy democracy. What a wonderful Transfiguration!
- 11 *Of Alms-doing*. Yeah they invented the donations and the charity. They were the first men to have mercy on the poor. Those Catholic PIGS they did never share their bread with, as a matter of fact, with nobody but the Devil. To hell with them all!
- 12 Of the Nativity of Christ. Yeah, Christ was born in England, had a crown, and his name was Henry the Wight! We all know the story.
- 14 Of the Resurrection of Christ. And the following too, don't we? Then came the Devil dressing as a woman, Mary the Bloody Hell, who sent to the grave the Anglican Christ. And to match the Devil's work, God dressed his New Resurrected Christ as a woman, with the name of Elisabeth. And God save the Queen.
- 15 Of the worthy receiving of the Sacrament of the Body and Blood of Christ. Because you must understand that before the Anglican Church came around there was no Church on Earth. As a matter of fact the fifteen past centuries had only one meaning, to wait for the coming of the Anglican Christ. Amen.
- 16 Of the Gifts of the Holy Ghost. Which are: Empire, Gold, World Dominion, and so on and so forth.
- 17 For the Rogation-days. Yeah, the Anglican God is one day in a mood and the other in the contrary. You got to knock first on the door of the divines to know when is best, if you throw the bones on your own you may risk your head.
- 18 Of the State of Matrimony. Ask the mothers of the invention : Swinging, they know!
- 19 Of Repentance. What a joke! Firstly they say that the Blood of Christ cleanse all the sins, past, present and future, and now they say that repentance got to be considered. They mean for being not a good slave of the Crown!
- 20 Against Idleness. And for not working like a dog for the sake of the crown.
 - 21 Against Rebellion. Because your head is on the edge, boy. Watch out!

CHAPTER XXXVI Of Consecration of Bishops and Ministers.

THE Book of Consecration of Archbishops and Bishops, and Ordering of Priests and Deacons, lately set forth in the time of Edward the Sixth, and confirmed at the same time by authority of Parliament, doth contain all things necessary to such Consecration and Ordering: neither hath it anything, that of itself is superstitious and ungodly. And therefore whosoever are consecrated or ordered according to the Rites of that Book, since the second year of the forenamed King Eduard unto this time, or hereafter shall be consecrated or ordered according to the same Kites; we decree all such to be rightly, orderly, and lawfully consecrated and ordered.

Of course, who needs God? Did not Jesus ask the permission of the Caesar to consecrate his bishops and ministers? Why would not the Anglican Church do the same, holy same thing? Only those idolaters, the Catholics PIGS, consecrate their bishops according to the Image of Jesus, those fools born to burn in Hell! Who could expect anything else from that bunch of cowards that beheaded the Body of Christ and kneeled before their New Christ-Godhead! Since when the Church was a thing not of this world, with a king not of this world? Jesus was not good in the head, and that's why the Jews placed him in the Cross. The Church is not a thing of God, but of men and kings and queens and tyrants and divines! O yes! Pour me some more holy ghost wine, sir!

CHAPTER XXXVII Of the Civil Magistrates.

THER Queen's Majesty hath the chief power in this Realm of England, and other her Dominions, unto whom the chief Government of all Estates of this Realm, whether they be Ecclesiastical or Civil, in all causes doth appertain, and is not, nor ought to be, subject to any foreign Jurisdiction.

Where we attribute to the Queen's Majesty the chief government, by which Titles we understand the minds of some slanderous folks to be offended; we give not to our Princes the ministering either of God's Word, or of the Sacraments, the which thing the injunctions also lately set forth by Elizabeth our Queen do most plainly testify; but that only prerogative, which we see to have been given always to all godly Princes in Holy Scriptures by God himself; that is, that they should rule all states and degrees committed to their charge by God, whether they be Ecclesiastical or Temporal, and restrain with the civil sword the stubborn and evil-doers.

The Bishop of Rome hath no jurisdiction in this Realm of England.

The Laws of the Realm may punish Christian men with death, for heinous and grievous offences.

It is lawful for Christian men, at the commandment of the Magistrate, to wear weapons and serve in the wars.

What's was the difference between the Laws of the Roman Empire on Christens during the Catholic persecutions and these Decree on Persecution of Catholics over the Property of the Crown, this is to say ENGLAND? What heinous and grievous offences is talking the Tyrant of but the Crime by which the Christians of the Catholic Age were accused: The Crime of having no King but God, and this in the Person of Jesus? Why did the Caesar extend this same decreed against the Catholics but because their Creed was to be citizens of a Kingdom not of this world, and, ergo, they would not kneel before any man, crowned or not crowned? The resurrection of the Decree of the Caesars on Catholics is it not prove enough on the goal of the Anglican Reformation: EMPIRE!? The Inquisition to be fought back and was a stain in the Dress of Christ, that same Inquisition was taken 'officially' by the New Roman Empire as a Duty of the Crown of the Britons! The Separation State-Temple by Christ fought and conquered was abolished and the State, becoming head of the Temple, served the Temple by elevating the Inquisition to the rank of a public office, normalizing this way inside the Island what outside the Island was seen as a Crime. Ergo, the Anglican Temple became a criminal Institution equal to the Temple of the Jews. Theocracy was back! And so, that theocracy that was repugnant to the Briton, because a Pope wanted to be a king, that same theocracy was adorable to the Briton because the King was a Pope. Resulting from here that they did not criticize the Poe because a theocrat, but because he did not allow the king to be a theocrator.

On the Jurisdiction of the Body of Christ on England the ban on the Servant of God over the realm of England was a ban on his Lord. A thing very

well understood when considered that over one land there can be only one king: Jesus Christ or a Briton. The choice had to be made. And made it was. Accordingly they have to raise death Penalty on the Catholic English. Very "divine" indeed! But that the churches are governed by Christ through a series of ministers we see it in the Book of Revelation, where we see Seven Ministers under the Lord governing the Churches of the world. This Model had to come to the ground, until then and the churches were to make their way from a state of persecution until the day came to build to build the Government on the churches according to the Model in Heaven. And this is wisdom and truth! The expression of this Article is a decree on War on the Government of the churches of the world by the Lord Jesus Christ!

This decree could not be followed but by a Holy War on the Catholic English, accordingly king and divines agree on raising the killing of the Catholics to the nature of a Holy War: A Lawful thing to kill the PIGS.

But if you don't know behind the letters, you are a fool!

CHAPTER XXXVIII Of Christian men's Goods, which are not common.

The Riches and Goods of Christians are not common, as touching the right, title, and possession of the same, as certain Anabaptists do falsely boast. Notwithstanding, every man ought, of such things as he possesseth, liberally to give alms to the poor, according to his ability.

Can you imagine the Tyrant of the Britons sharing in common their Millions and palaces and wine cellars and lovers and whores and gay toys? Unnaturally the First Christians shared among them absolutely all. And their Master went so far as to share His own Flesh and Blood. What a collection of fools! That section of the Word of God on sharing was repugnant to the king and the divine. It is not God who says what is repugnant to God, but the Anglican Divine, that dog at the feet of his king-godhead!

Notwithstanding this Anti-sharing decree could not be so absolute as ordering the divine nation of the English to behave like that rich man who banned the poor from under his table, to give the left overs to his dogs. No! The English is a little bit worthy than a dog! Not that much, but a little, oh yes! And finally:

CHAPTER XXXIX Of a Christian man's Oath.

As we confess that vain and rash Swearing is forbidden Christian men by our Lord Jesus Christ, and James his Apostle, so we judge, that Christian Religion doth not prohibit, but that a man may swear when the Magistrate requireth, in a cause of faith and charity, so it be done according to the Prophet's teaching, in justice, judgment, and truth.

I will not add but the Word of God, repugnant to the Anglican Creed: *Mathew*, *5. on Oaths*

33 "Again, you have heard that it was said to the people long ago, 'Do not break your oath, but fulfill to the Lord the vows you have made.' 34 But I tell you, do not swear an oath at all: either by heaven, for it is God's throne; 35 or by the earth, for it is his footstool; or by Jerusalem, for it is the city of the Great King. 36 And do not swear by your head, for you cannot make even one hair white or black. 37 All you need to say is simply 'Yes' or 'No'; anything beyond this comes from the evil one.

The Jews invented a lot of decrees to abolish the Word of God. And so, the Anglican Creed invented decree after decree to abolish the "repugnant "Word of God"

THE END

